

Determinants: Cramer's rule &  
formula for  $A^{-1}$ .

Recall: Given  $A \in M_{n \times n}$ ,  $\det(A)$  is a real number such that  $\det(A) \neq 0$  exactly if  $A$  is non-singular. We can compute it in various ways (expand along rows or columns...).

Recall: If  $A \rightsquigarrow B$  by a row operation,  
the determinant of  $B$  is obtained from  $\det(A)$   
by a simple rule: Eg  $A \xrightarrow[\substack{R_i \leftrightarrow R_j \\ R_i \rightarrow kR_i}]{} B$ ,  $\det(B) = -k \det(A)$ .

$$H \mapsto B \quad \det(B) = \alpha \det(H).$$

Theorem: Let  $A, B \in M_{n \times n}$ .

Then  $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$ .

To prove this, you can use the behaviour of  $\det$  under row operations.

Example:  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix}$

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax + bz & ay + bw \\ cx + dz & cy + dw \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\det(A) = ad - bc \quad \det(B) = xw - yz$$

$$\det(AB) = (ax + bz)(cy + dw) - (ay + bw)(cx + dz).$$

Exercise: check  $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$ .

---

Can use  $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$  to reprove

$$\boxed{\det(A) \neq 0 \iff A \text{ non-singular}}$$

Indeed, suppose  $A$  is nonsingular. Then there exists  $B \in M_{n \times n}$  such that  $BA = I_n$ .

$$\text{Then } \det(B)\det(A) = \det(I_n) = 1$$

If  $\det(A) = 0$ , we get a contradiction. So  $\det(A) \neq 0$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\det(A) \neq 0$ . We saw last class how to show that  $A$  is non-singular.

---

Theorem: let  $A$  be an invertible matrix with inverse  $A^{-1}$ . Then

$$\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)}.$$

Proof:  $A \cdot A^{-1} = I_n$ .

$$\text{Hence } \det(A A^{-1}) = \det(I_n) = 1.$$

$$D_1 \cap D_2 \cap \dots \cap D_k \subseteq D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \dots \cup D_k$$

But  $\det(A^T \cdot T) = \det(T) \cdot \det(A^T)$ ,

So  $\det(A) \cdot \det(A^{-1}) = 1$ .  $\square$ .

Example:  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$A \cdot A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 0 & 1 \cdot (-2) + 2 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 0 & 0 \cdot (-2) + 1 \cdot 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\det(A) = 1 \cdot 1 - 2 \cdot 0 = 1$$

$$\det(A^{-1}) = 1 \cdot 1 - (-2) \cdot 0 = 1 \quad \checkmark$$

Theorem: Cramer's rule. Let  $A \in M_{n,n}$  be invertible.

Let  $A \vec{x} = \vec{b}$  where  $\vec{x}, \vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$  Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_k = \frac{\det(M_k)}{\det(A)}$$

where  $M_k = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{A}_1 & | & \vec{b} & | & \dots & | & \vec{A}_n \end{bmatrix}$  is obtained from  
 $A$  by replacing the  $k^{\text{th}}$  column by  $\vec{b}$ .

Example: Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$      $\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

① Check that  $A$  is invertible  $\Leftrightarrow \det(A) \neq 0$ .

$$\det(A) = 1 \cdot 3 - 2 \cdot 0 = 3. \quad \checkmark$$

② Apply Cramer's rule to compute

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ s.t. } A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{b}.$$

$$x_1 = \frac{\det(M_1)}{\det(A)} \quad \text{where } M_1 = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \vec{b} & \vec{A}_2 \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\det(M_1) = 1 \cdot 3 - 2 \cdot 1 = 3 - 2 = 1.$$

$$\det(A) = 1 \cdot 3 - 2 \cdot 0 = 3.$$

$$x_1 = 1/3.$$

$$\dots \text{ etc. } M_1 = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \vec{b} & \vec{A}_2 \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

$$x_2 = \frac{\det(M_2)}{\det(A)} \quad \text{where } M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 & b \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det(M_2) = 1 \cdot 1 - 1 \cdot 0 = 1$$

$$\det(A) = 3.$$

$$x_2 = 1/3.$$

Hence our solution is  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 \\ 1/3 \end{bmatrix}.$

**Remark:** Cramer's rule allows you to compute a single entry of the solution  $\vec{x}$  of  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  without also computing all the other entries.

Proof of Cramer's rule:

Consider the matrix  $\boxed{X_k}$  obtained from  $I_n$  by replacing the  $k^{\text{th}}$  column by  $\vec{x}$ .

Ex:

$$X_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{e}_1 & | & \vec{e}_2 & | & \vec{e}_3 & | & \vec{x} & | & \vec{e}_5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $A X_k = [A\vec{e}_1 \mid A\vec{e}_2 \mid \dots \mid A\vec{x} \mid \dots \mid A\vec{e}_n]$

$\uparrow$   
 $k^{\text{th}}$  column

$$= [\vec{A}_1 \mid \vec{A}_2 \mid \dots \mid \vec{b} \mid \dots \mid \vec{A}_n] = \boxed{M_k}$$

where we used that  $A\vec{e}_i = \vec{A}_i$

$$\& A\vec{x} = \vec{b}.$$

So  $A X_k = M_k$ . It follows that

$$\det(A) \det(X_k) = \det(A X_k) = \det(M_k).$$

Exercise: Show that  $\det(X_k) = x_k$ .

Hint: expand along the  $k^{\text{th}}$  row.

It follows that  $\det(A) \cdot x_k = \det(M_k)$

$$\Rightarrow x_k = \frac{\det(M_k)}{\det(A)}. \quad \square$$

---

Theorem: Let  $A \in M_{n \times n}$  be invertible.

Then

$$[\bar{A}^{-1}]_{ji} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j} \det(A(i|j))}{\det(A)}$$

Notice the similarity to Cramer's rule.

Example: Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Want to know  $[\bar{A}^{-1}]_{13}$ .

We have  $[\bar{A}^{-1}]_{13} = (-1)^{1+3} \cdot \frac{\det(A(3|1))}{\det(A)}$

$$= (-1)^{1+3} \cdot \frac{\det \left( \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \right)}{1}$$

$$\det(A)$$

(used that A is upper triangular,

so  $\det(A)$  is the product of diagonal entries)

$$= \frac{(-1)^4 \cdot (2 \cdot 3 - 1 \cdot 1)}{(3 \cdot 1 \cdot 2)}$$

$$= \frac{1 \cdot (6 - 1)}{6} = \frac{5}{6}.$$

Proof: Uses Cramer's rule. Sketch:

$$A \cdot A^{-1} = I_n$$

$$\text{Hence } A \cdot A_i^{-1} = \vec{e}_i.$$

Cramer's rule tells us:  $[A_i^{-1}]_j = \frac{\det(M_j)}{\det(A)}$

where  $M_j = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{e}_i & \cdots & \tilde{A}_n \end{bmatrix}$

$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{array} \right]$   $\uparrow$   $j^{\text{th}}$  column.

Check that  $\det(M_j) = \det(A(i|j)) \cdot (-1)^{i+j}$ .

---

## Eigenvalues & eigenvectors

Definition: Let  $A$  be a square matrix of size  $n$ .

Let  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a nonzero vector.

We say  $\vec{x}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$

with eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

If  $\boxed{A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}}.$

Example: Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Let  $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

$$A\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = 4 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 4\vec{u}.$$

So  $\vec{u}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$

with eigenvalue 4.

$$\text{Let } \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Then } A\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot (-1) + 1 \cdot 0 \\ 1 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot (-1) + 1 \cdot 0 \\ 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot (-1) + 2 \cdot 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \cdot \vec{v}.$$

So  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$

with eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$ .

Theorem: Let  $\vec{x}$  be an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . ( $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ ).

Then given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha\vec{x}$  is also an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

Proof:  $A(\alpha\vec{x}) = \alpha(A\vec{x}) = \alpha(\lambda\vec{x}) = (\alpha\lambda)\vec{x}$   
 $= \lambda(\alpha\vec{x}).$

So  $A(\alpha\vec{x}) = \lambda(\alpha\vec{x})$ .  $\square$ .

Theorem: Suppose  $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are eigenvectors of  $A \in M_{n \times n}$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . ( $A\vec{v} = \lambda\vec{v}$ ,  $A\vec{w} = \lambda\vec{w}$ ).

Then  $\vec{v} + \vec{w}$  is also an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

Proof: Want to check that  $A(\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = \lambda(\vec{v} + \vec{w})$ .

But  $A(\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = A\vec{v} + A\vec{w}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lambda\vec{v} + \lambda\vec{w} && (\text{use that } \vec{v}, \vec{w} \\ &= \lambda(\vec{v} + \vec{w}). \square && \text{are eigenvectors} \\ &&& \text{with eigenvalue } \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion of the two previous theorems: we can make new eigenvectors from old by adding / multiplying by scalars.

Definition: The eigenspace of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$

is the subset of vectors  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$   
such that  $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ .

Theorem (rephrasing of 2 previous theorems):

If  $\vec{x}, \vec{y}$  belong to the eigenspace of A w/eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then so does  $\alpha\vec{x}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  
 $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$ .

In other words:

The eigenspace is a subspace  
of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Remark: our definition of eigenvector does not include  
the zero vector  $\vec{0}_n$ , even though  $A\vec{0}_n = \lambda\vec{0}_n$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . So the eigenspace with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  consists of [all eigenvectors with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ ] + the zero vector.

We write  $E_A(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  for the eigenspace of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

How do we find eigenvectors??

Fix  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Try to find an eigenvector  $\tilde{x}$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . We then want a solution to

$$\boxed{n = n = 1}$$

$$\boxed{Ax = \lambda x.}$$

We can rewrite this as  $A\vec{x} - \lambda\vec{x} = \vec{0}_n.$

We rewrite  $\lambda\vec{x}$  as  $\lambda I_n(\vec{x}).$

$$A\vec{x} - \lambda I_n(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}_n.$$

We group terms:  $(A - \lambda I_n)\vec{x} = \vec{0}_n.$

We conclude:

Theorem:  $\vec{x}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$   
 $\Rightarrow \vec{x} \in N(A - \lambda I_n).$

Conversely, if  $\vec{x} \in N(A - \lambda I_n) \text{ & } \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}_n,$

$\vec{x}$  is an eigenvector, with eigenvalue  $\lambda.$

Example: Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ .

Find an eigenvector  $\vec{x}$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda=1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}N(A - 1 \cdot I_2) &= N\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\&= N\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Any nonzero vector  $\vec{x} \in N\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$  will do.

$$N\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } a \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

So  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $1$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

---

We can summarize the above by:

Theorem: Let  $A$  be a square matrix of size  $n$ .

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$E_A(\lambda) = N(A - \lambda I_n).$$