

1. Recall the definition for the notion of *basis for a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$* .

Let  $V$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We declare that if  $V$  is the zero subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then the empty set is the basis for  $V$ .

From now on suppose  $V$  is not the zero subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Suppose  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p$  are vectors in  $V$ .

The vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p$  are said to constitute a basis for  $V$  (or the set  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p\}$  is said to be a basis for  $V$ ) if and only if both of the statements (BL), (BS) below hold:

(BL)  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p$  are linearly independent.

(BS) Every vector in  $V$  is a linear combination of  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p$ .

Also recall Theorem (B) below, from the handout *Bases for subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$* :

Any two bases for a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  have the same number of vectors.

Further recall Theorem (C) below, from the handout *Bases for subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$* :

Suppose  $V$  is a non-zero subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $V$  has a basis which consists of at least one and at most  $n$  vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

They combine to make sense of the definition for the notion of *dimension*, introduced below.

## 2. Definition. (Dimension.)

Let  $V$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

When  $V$  is not the zero subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the number of vectors in a basis for  $V$  is called the *dimension* of  $V$ . When this number is  $p$ , we write  $\dim(V) = p$ , and we refer to  $V$  as a  *$p$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$* .

We declare the dimension of the zero subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to be 0.

**Remark.** By definition, when  $V$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it happens that  $\dim(V) \leq n$ . (Why? A basis for  $V$  is necessarily a collection of linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; there are at most  $n$  vectors in such a collection.)

## 3. Theorem (1).

$\mathbb{R}^n$  is an  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof of Theorem (1).**

The  $n$  vectors  $\mathbf{e}_1^{(n)}, \mathbf{e}_2^{(n)}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n^{(n)}$  constitute a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## 4. Examples.

(a) Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 6 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $V = \mathcal{N}(A)$ .

After some work, we find that a basis for  $V$  is constituted by the vector  $\mathbf{u}$ , in which  $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Then  $\dim(V) = 1$ .

(b) Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 7 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 5 & -7 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $V = \mathcal{N}(A)$ .

After some work, we find that a basis for  $V$  is constituted by the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3$ , in which  $\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence  $\dim(V) = 3$ .

(c) Let  $\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $V = \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_4\})$ .

After some work, we find that a basis for  $V$  is constituted by  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3$ .

Hence  $\dim(V) = 3$ .

(d) Let  $\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ -1 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -5 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $V = \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_4\})$ .

After some work, we find that a basis for  $V$  is constituted by  $\mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{t}_2, \mathbf{t}_3$ , in which  $\mathbf{t}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{t}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,

$$\mathbf{t}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence  $\dim(V) = 3$ .

5. Recall the Replacement Theorem (Theorem (F)) from the handout *More on minimal spanning set*:

Let  $W$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_p, \mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{t}_2, \dots, \mathbf{t}_q$  be vectors in  $W$ . Suppose none of these vectors is the zero vector.

Suppose  $\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_p$  are linearly independent.

Further suppose  $\mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{t}_2, \dots, \mathbf{t}_q$  constitute a basis for  $W$ .

Then,  $q \geq p$ , and there is a basis for  $W$  which is constituted by  $\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_p$  together with some  $q - p$  vectors from amongst  $\mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{t}_2, \dots, \mathbf{t}_q$ .

A consequence of this result is Theorem (2).

6. **Theorem (2).**

$\mathbb{R}^n$  is the only  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof of Theorem (2).**

[We are going to prove the statement ‘if  $V$  is an  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ .’]

Let  $V$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $\dim(V) = n$ .

There is some basis with  $n$  vectors in  $V$ , say,  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ . They are  $n$  linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Note that  $\mathbf{e}_1^{(n)}, \mathbf{e}_2^{(n)}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n^{(n)}$  constitute some basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Then by the Replacement Theorem,  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ , together with possibly some vectors from amongst  $\mathbf{e}_1^{(n)}, \mathbf{e}_2^{(n)}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n^{(n)}$ , constitute a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

However, since  $\dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$ , the  $n$  vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  already constitute a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

It follows that  $\mathbb{R}^n = \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}) = V$ .

**Remark.** This argument can be generalized to give an important theoretical tool with wide applications. See the handout *Inequalities on dimension*.

7. Recall Theorem (G) from the handout *More on minimal spanning set*:

Let  $W$  be a non-zero subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p$  be vectors in  $W$ .

Further suppose that  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p$  are linearly independent.

Then, there is some basis for  $W$ , which is constituted of at most  $n$  vectors, amongst them being the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p$ .

According to this result, whenever we have  $k$  linearly independent vectors in a subspace, say,  $W$ , of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , these  $k$  vectors will be part of a basis for  $W$ . Then it is necessary for  $W$  to have dimension at least  $k$ .

Out of this discussion, we obtain Theorem (H) below.

8. **Theorem (H).**

Let  $W$  be a  $p$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k$  be vectors in  $W$ .

Suppose  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k$  are linearly independent. Then  $k \leq p$ .

**Proof of Theorem (H).**

By assumption there is some basis for  $W$  which is constituted by  $p$  vectors in  $W$ , amongst them being these  $k$  vectors. Then  $k \leq p$ .

**Remark.** This is a generalization of the result below, from the handout *Linear dependence and linear independence*:

Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_\ell$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_\ell$  are linearly independent. Then  $\ell \leq m$ .

9. According to logic, Theorem (H) is saying the same thing as Corollary to Theorem (H) below:

**Corollary to Theorem (H).**

Any  $p + 1$  or more vectors in a  $p$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are linearly dependent.