$$
\hat{f}(k) = \int_{-1}^{1} x^2 e^{-ikx} dx = \frac{2\sin k}{k} + \frac{4\cos k}{k^2} - \frac{4\sin k}{k^3}
$$

2. By standard derivation, we have the general solution

$$
u(x,t) = F(x-t) + G(x+t)
$$

with the additional condition  $u_t(x, 0) = 0$  (since we are only finding one particular solution), where  $F(x) = G(x) = \frac{u(x,0)}{2}$ . If you are curious about the deduction, you may refer to <https://www.math.ubc.ca/~feldman/m267/pdeft.pdf> So one particular solution to the original PDE is

$$
u(x,t) = e^{-|x-t|} + e^{-|x+t|}
$$

3. By direct substitution, for fixed  $j$ 

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k e^{i\frac{2jk\pi}{n}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} f_t e^{-i\frac{2tk\pi}{n}} e^{i\frac{2jk\pi}{n}}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} f_t \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{i\frac{2k\pi}{n}(j-t)}
$$

$$
= f_j
$$

4.

$$
\widehat{(f*g)}(k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k g_{j-k} \right) e^{-i \cdot \frac{2jk\pi}{n}}
$$

$$
= n \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j \cdot e^{-i \cdot \frac{2jk\pi}{n}} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g_j \cdot e^{-i \cdot \frac{2jk\pi}{n}}
$$

$$
= n \cdot \widehat{f}(k)\widehat{g}(k)
$$

5. (a)

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(m,n) e^{-2\pi j \frac{pm+qn}{N}} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} f(k,l) e^{2\pi j \frac{m(k-p)+n(l-q)}{N}}
$$

$$
= f(p,q)
$$

(b) Let  $u_{r,s}$  be the entry at  $(r+1)$ -th row and  $(s+1)$ -th column of the matrix U, here  $0 \le r, s \le N - 1$ . Then from  $\hat{f} = U f U$  we can easily get

$$
u_{r,s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{2\pi j \cdot \frac{rs}{N}}
$$

1.

(c) Let  $u_{m,n}^*$  be the entry at  $(m+1)$ -th row and  $(n+1)$ -th column of the matrix  $U^*$ , here  $0 \leq m, n \leq N-1$ . Then

$$
u_{m,n}^* = \overline{u_{n,m}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-2\pi j \cdot \frac{mn}{N}}
$$

Then it is easy to verify that  $UU^* = U^*U = I$ 

6. (a) By Taylor's expansion, we get

$$
u(x_{j+2}) = u(x_j) + 2hu'(x_j) + o(2h)
$$
  

$$
u(x_{j-2}) = u(x_j) - 2hu'(x_j) + o(2h)
$$

so we deduce that

$$
u'(x_j) = \frac{u(x_{j+2}) - u(x_{j-2})}{4h} + o(1)
$$

Then we can say that when we choose  $n$  is sufficiently large (or  $h$  is sufficiently small),  $\mathcal{D}_1$ **u** can approximate **u**', or  $\mathcal{D}_1$  can approximate  $\frac{d}{dx}$ .

Similarly,

$$
u(x_{j+4}) = u(x_j) + 4hu'(x_j) + \frac{16h^2}{2}u''(x_j) + o(h^2)
$$

$$
u(x_{j-4}) = u(x_j) - 4hu'(x_j) + \frac{16h^2}{2}u''(x_j) + o(h^2)
$$

$$
u''(x_j) = \frac{u(x_{j+4}) - 2u(x_j) + u(x_{j-4})}{16h^2} + o(1)
$$

so

 $d^2$ 

$$
u^{(x)} = 16h^2
$$
  
Then we can say that when we choose *n* is sufficiently large (or *h* is sufficiently small),  $\mathcal{D}_2$ **u** can approximate **u**", or  $\mathcal{D}_2$  can approximate

 $\overline{dx^2}$ (b) By the structure of  $\mathcal{D}_1\mathbf{u}$ , it can be verified that

$$
(\mathcal{D}_1 \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}})_j = \frac{e^{ikx_{j+2}} - e^{ikx_{j-2}}}{4h}
$$

So it suffices to show that

$$
\frac{e^{ikx_{j+2}}-e^{ikx_{j-2}}}{4he^{ikx_j}}
$$

is independent of the index  $j$ , and this value is exactly the eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  corresponding  $\longrightarrow$  $e^{ikx}$ .

$$
\frac{e^{ikx_{j+2}} - e^{ikx_{j-2}}}{4he^{ikx_j}} = \frac{e^{ik \cdot (x_j + 2h)} - e^{ik \cdot (x_j - 2h)}}{4he^{ikx_j}} = \frac{e^{i \cdot 2kh} - e^{i \cdot (-2kh)}}{4h} = \frac{i \sin(2kh)}{2h}.
$$

So  $\rightarrow$  $e^{ik\hat{x}}$  is the eigenvector of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  corresponding the eigenvalue  $\frac{i \sin(2kh)}{2h}$ for  $k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$ . Similarly,

$$
\frac{e^{ikx_{j+4}} - 2e^{ikx_j} + e^{ikx_{j-4}}}{16h^2 e^{ikx_j}} = \frac{e^{ik \cdot (x_j + 4h)} - 2e^{ik \cdot x_j} + e^{ik \cdot (x_j - 4h)}}{16h^2 e^{ikx_j}}
$$

$$
= \frac{e^{i \cdot 4kh} - 2 + e^{i \cdot (-4kh)}}{16h^2}
$$

$$
= \frac{\cos(4kh) - 1}{8h^2}.
$$

So −−→  $e^{ikx}$  is the eigenvector of  $\mathcal{D}_2$  corresponding the eigenvalue  $(\frac{i \sin(2kh)}{2h})^2$  =  $\frac{\cos(4kh)-1}{8h^2}$  for  $k = 0, 1, ..., n-1$ .  $\rightarrow$ 

- (c) Since  $e^{ik\dot{x}}$  are the eigenvectors of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  corresponding the distinct eigenvalues, we get that they are linearly independent. So the set contains n linearly independent vectors forms a basis.
- (d) By (b) we get  $\mathcal{D}_1$ −−→  $\overrightarrow{e^{ikx}} = \lambda_k \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}}$  $e^{ikx},\, \mathcal{D}_2$ −−→  $\overrightarrow{e^{ikx}} = (\lambda_k)^2 \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}}$  $e^{ikx}$ so  $a\mathcal{D}_2\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{b}\mathcal{D}_1\mathbf{u} = a\mathcal{D}_2($  $\sum^{n-1}$  $k=0$  $\hat{u}_k$ −−→  $e^{ikx}$ ) +  $b\mathcal{D}_1$ (  $\sum^{n-1}$  $k=0$  $\hat{u}_k$ −−→  $e^{ikx}$  $= a$  $\sum^{n-1}$  $_{k=0}$  $(\lambda_k)^2 \hat{u}_k \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}}$  $e^{ik\hat{x}}+b$  $\sum^{n-1}$  $k=0$  $\lambda_k \hat{u}_k$ −−→  $e^{ik\hat{x}}$ =  $\sum^{n-1}$  $k=0$  $(a(\lambda_k)^2 + b\lambda_k)\hat{u}_k \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}}$  $e^{ikx}$  $=$  f =  $\sum^{n-1}$  $k=0$  $\hat{f}_k \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}}$  $e^{ikx}$ −−→

Since {  $e^{ikx}$ <sub>k=0</sub> is a basis, comparing the coefficients leads to the result that we want to prove.