

Lecture 14: Recall:

Method 3: Wiener filter

$$\text{Let } T(u, v) = \frac{\overline{H(u, v)}}{|H(u, v)|^2 + \frac{S_n(u, v)}{S_f(u, v)}} \quad \text{where} \quad S_n(u, v) = |N(u, v)|^2$$

$$S_f(u, v) = |F(u, v)|^2$$

If $S_n(u, v)$ and $S_f(u, v)$ are not known, then we let $K = \frac{S_n(u, v)}{S_f(u, v)}$ to get:

$$T(u, v) = \frac{\overline{H(u, v)}}{|H(u, v)|^2 + K}$$

Let $\hat{F}(u, v) = T(u, v) G(u, v)$. Compute $\hat{f}(x, y) = \text{inverse DFT of } \hat{F}(u, v)$.

In fact, the Wiener filter can be described as an inverse filtering as follows:

$$\hat{F}(u, v) = \left[\left(\frac{1}{H(u, v)} \right) \left(\frac{|H(u, v)|^2}{|H(u, v)|^2 + K} \right) \right] G(u, v)$$

Behave like "Modified inverse filtering" ≈ 0 if $H(u, v) \approx 0$ (if (u, v) far away from 0)

≈ 1 if $H(u, v)$ is large (if $(u, v) \approx (0, 0)$)

What does Wiener filtering do mathematically?

We'll show: Wiener filter minimizes the mean square error:

$$\mathcal{E}^2(f, \hat{f}) = \iint |f(x, y) - \hat{f}(x, y)|^2$$

↑ original ↑ restored

(We assume the continuous case to avoid complicated indices)

degradation

↓

Observed

$$g = h * f + n$$

noise

original

Assume that f and n are spatially uncorrelated:

$$\iint f(x, y) n(x+r, y+s) \quad \text{for all } r, s.$$

Define: $\hat{f}(x, y) = w(x, y) * g(x, y)$ for some $w(x, y)$

(FT of \hat{f} is like $W(u, v) G(u, v)$)

Goal: Find $W(u, v)$ such that $\mathcal{E}^2(f, \hat{f})$ is minimized.

Recall: \hat{f} is obtained as follows:

Step 1: Let $\hat{F}(u, v) = \frac{W(u, v)}{\text{Filter}} G(u, v)$

Step 2: Compute iFT of \hat{F} to get \hat{f}

$\therefore \hat{f} = W * g$ for some W .

(Sketch of proof)

We need to use: Parseval Theorem:

$$\Sigma^2(f, \hat{f}) := \iint |f(x, y) - \hat{f}(x, y)|^2 = C \iint |F(u, v) - \hat{F}(u, v)|^2 \quad \text{for some constant } C$$

where $F(u, v) = \text{DFT of } f$ and $\hat{F}(u, v) = \text{DFT of } \hat{f}$
observed image

Let $G(u, v) = \text{DFT of } g$ and $N(u, v) = \text{DFT of } n$

$$\text{Then: } \hat{F}(u, v) = W(u, v) G(u, v) \quad (\text{as } \hat{f}(x, y) = iFT(W(u, v) G(u, v)))$$

$$\text{So, } \hat{F}(u, v) = W(u, v) G(u, v) = W(u, v) (H(u, v) F(u, v) + N(u, v))$$

$$\text{In other words, } F - \hat{F} = (I - WH) F - WN$$

$$\text{and } \Sigma^2(f, \hat{f}) = C \iint |(I - WH) F - WN|^2$$

Since f and n are spatially uncorrelated, we can show that:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}^2(f, \hat{f}) &= \iint |(I-WH)F|^2 + |WN|^2 \\ &\quad \left(\iint (I-WH)F \bar{W} \bar{N} = 0 \right)\end{aligned}$$

Since we look for $w(x,y)$ (hence $W(u,v)$) such that \mathcal{E}^2 is minimized, we can regard \mathcal{E}^2 is dependent on W .

To minimize $\mathcal{E}^2(W)$, we consider:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \mathcal{E}^2(W + tV) = 0 \text{ for all } V.$$

We get: $\iint -(\bar{W} \bar{H})H|F|^2V - (I-WH)\bar{H}|F|^2\bar{V} + \bar{W}|N|^2V + W|N|^2\bar{V} = 0 \text{ for all } V.$

Put $V = -(I-WH)\bar{H}|F|^2 + W|N|^2$. Then: we have: $\iint |-(I-WH)\bar{H}|F|^2 + W|N|^2|^2 = 0.$

$$\therefore - (1 - WH) \bar{H} |F|^2 + W |N|^2 = 0$$



$$W = \frac{\bar{H}}{|H|^2 + |N|^2 / |F|^2}.$$

Method 4: Constrained least square filtering

Disadvantages of Wiener's filter:

- ① $|N(u,v)|^2$ and $|F(u,v)|^2$ must be known / guessed
- ② Constant estimation of ratio is not always suitable

Goal: Consider a least square minimization model.

Let $g = \underset{\text{degradation}}{\overset{\uparrow}{h * f}} + \underset{\text{noise}}{\overset{\uparrow}{n}}$

In matrix form, $\vec{g} = D \vec{f} + \vec{n}$

$\vec{g}(g)$ $\vec{g}(f)$ $\vec{g}(n)$ $\vec{g}, \vec{f}, \vec{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{N^2}$, $D \in M_{N^2 \times N^2}$

Stacked image of g transformation matrix of $h * f$ (or f)

Given \vec{g} , we need to find an estimation of \vec{f} such that it minimizes:

$$E(f) = \sum_{x=0}^{M-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} |\nabla^2 f(x,y)|^2 \text{ subject to the constraint: } \|\vec{g} - H \vec{f}\|^2 = \epsilon$$

$$\sum_{x=0}^{M-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} |\nabla^2 f(x, y)|^2 \leftarrow \text{Denoise}$$

$$\|\vec{g} - D\vec{f}\|^2 = \varepsilon \leftarrow \text{Deblur}$$

In the discrete case, we can estimate:

$$\nabla^2 f(x, y) \approx f(x+1, y) + f(x, y+1) + f(x-1, y) + f(x, y-1) - 4f(x, y)$$

Taylor expansion:

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x, y) \approx \frac{f(x+h, y) - 2f(x, y) + f(x-h, y)}{h^2} \quad \xrightarrow{\text{Put } h=1} \quad \nabla^2 f(x, y) \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \right)(x, y)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(x, y) \approx \frac{f(x, y+h) - 2f(x, y) + f(x, y-h)}{h^2}$$

More generally, $\nabla^2 f = p * f \leftarrow \text{discrete convolution}$

where $p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 1 & -4 & 1 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{x=0, y=0}$

Remark: $\|\vec{g} - D\vec{f}\|^2 = \varepsilon$ means we allow some fixed level of noise.

$$\|\vec{n}\|^2$$

Assume $S(p * f) = \underbrace{L \vec{f}}_{\text{transformation matrix representing the convolution with } p}$

$$\text{Then: } E(\vec{f}) = (L \vec{f})^T (L \vec{f})$$

We will prove:

Theorem: The constrained least square problem has the optimal solution in the spatial domain that satisfies:

$$(D^T D + \gamma L^T L) \vec{f} = D^T \vec{g}$$

for some suitable parameter γ .

In the frequency domain,

$$\hat{F}(u, v) := \text{DFT}(f)(u, v) = \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{H(u, v)}{|H(u, v)|^2 + \gamma |P(u, v)|^2} G(u, v)$$

($H = \text{DFT}(h)$; $G(u, v) = \text{DFT}(g)$; $P(u, v) = \text{DFT}(p)$ where

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix})$$

Remark: Constrained least square filtering:

$$\overline{T}(u, v) = \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{H(u, v)}{|H(u, v)|^2 + \gamma |P(u, v)|^2}$$

Let $\tilde{F}(u, v) = T(u, v) G(u, v)$

Compute Inverse DFT of $\tilde{F}(u, v)$.

Sketch of proof:

Recall: our problem is to minimize:

$$\vec{f}^T L^T L \vec{f} \text{ subject to } \|\vec{g} - D\vec{f}\|^2 = \varepsilon$$
$$(\vec{g} - D\vec{f})^T (\vec{g} - D\vec{f})$$

From calculus, the minimizer must satisfy:

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{f}} \left(\vec{f}^T L^T L \vec{f} + \lambda (\vec{g} - D\vec{f})^T (\vec{g} - D\vec{f}) \right) = 0 \quad \text{for}$$

where $\vec{f} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_i, \dots, f_{N^2})^T$ and λ is the Lagrange's multiplier.

$$\text{Here, } \frac{\partial K}{\partial \vec{f}} = \left(\frac{\partial K}{\partial f_1}, \frac{\partial K}{\partial f_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial K}{\partial f_{N^2}} \right)^T$$

$$\text{Easy to check: } \bullet \frac{\partial (\vec{f}^T \vec{a})}{\partial \vec{f}} = \vec{a}$$

$$\bullet \frac{\partial (\vec{b}^T \vec{f})}{\partial \vec{f}} = \vec{b}$$

$$\bullet \frac{\partial (\vec{f}^T A \vec{f})}{\partial \vec{f}} = (A + A^T) \vec{f}$$

$$\vec{f}^T \vec{a} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} = a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2 + \dots + a_n f_n$$

$$\frac{\vec{f}^T \vec{a}}{\partial f_j} = a_j$$

$$\therefore \frac{\vec{f}^T \vec{a}}{\partial f} = \left(\frac{\vec{f}^T \vec{a}}{\partial f_1}, \dots, \frac{\vec{f}^T \vec{a}}{\partial f_n} \right)^T = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)^T$$

etc.

$$\therefore D = 0 \Rightarrow (2L^T L) \vec{f} + \lambda (-D^T \vec{g} - D^T \vec{g} + 2D^T D \vec{f}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (D^T D + \gamma L^T L) \vec{f} = D^T \vec{g} \quad \text{where } \gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda} \text{ and } \lambda \text{ is the Lagrange's multiplier.}$$

Parameter γ can be determined by direct substitution into the equation:

$$(\vec{g} - D \vec{f})^T (\vec{g} - D \vec{f}) = \varepsilon.$$

Now, we'll consider the frequency domain.

Note that D and L are transformation matrix of convolution.

$\therefore D$ and L are block-circulant.

Some facts about circulant matrix:

Recall: A matrix is block-circulant if

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_0 & H_{M-1} & H_{M-2} & \cdots & H_1 \\ H_1 & H_0 & H_{M-1} & \cdots & H_2 \\ H_2 & H_1 & H_0 & \cdots & H_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ H_{M-1} & H_{M-2} & H_{M-3} & \cdots & H_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{each } H_i \text{ is circulant})$$

A matrix C is circulant if:

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} d_0 & d_{M-1} & d_{M-2} & \cdots & d_1 \\ d_1 & d_0 & d_{M-1} & \cdots & d_2 \\ d_2 & d_1 & d_0 & \cdots & d_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ d_{M-1} & d_{M-2} & d_{M-3} & \cdots & d_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Eigenvalues / Eigenvectors of circulant \mathcal{C}

Let $\mathcal{C} = \begin{pmatrix} d(0) & d(M-1) & \cdots & d(1) \\ d(1) & d(0) & \cdots & d(2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d(M-1) & d(M-2) & \cdots & d(0) \end{pmatrix}$ be a circulant matrix. Then the eigenvalues of \mathcal{C} is given by:

$$\lambda(k) = d(0) + d(1)e^{\frac{2\pi j}{M}(M-1)k} + d(2)e^{\frac{2\pi j}{M}(M-2)k} + \cdots + d(M-1)e^{\frac{2\pi j}{M}k}$$

(eigenvalue)

where $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, M-1$.

Its associated eigenvector is given by:

$$\vec{w}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ e^{\frac{2\pi j}{M}k} \\ e^{\frac{2\pi j}{M}2k} \\ \vdots \\ e^{\frac{2\pi j}{M}(M-1)k} \end{pmatrix}$$

(eigenvector)

Using the fact that both D and L are block-circulant, we can check that:

Fact 1:

$$D = W\Lambda_D W^{-1}, D^T = W\Lambda_D^* W^{-1}, L = W\Lambda_L W^{-1}, L^T = W\Lambda_L^* W^{-1}$$

where W is invertible and Λ_D, Λ_L are diagonal matrices.

Also,

$$\Lambda_D(k, i) = \begin{cases} N^2 H \left(\text{mod}_N(k), \left\lfloor \frac{k}{N} \right\rfloor \right) & \text{if } i = k \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq k \end{cases}$$

↑
DFT(h)

where $H = DFT(h)$.

and

$$\Lambda_L(k, i) = \begin{cases} N^2 P \left(\text{mod}_N(k), \left\lfloor \frac{k}{N} \right\rfloor \right) & \text{if } i = k \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq k \end{cases}$$

$$P = DFT(\varphi) ; \quad \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & -4 & 1 \\ \vdots & 1 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Delta_D = \begin{pmatrix} N^2 H(0,0) & N^2 H(1,0) & \cdots & N^2 H(N-1,0) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ N^2 H(1,1) & \cdots & \cdots & N^2 H(N,N) \end{pmatrix}$$

Diagram illustrating the mapping of matrix entries:

- The first column of H becomes the first N diagonal entries.
- The second column of H becomes the second N diagonal entries.