

Week 12: Symmetric Bilinear Forms (textbook § 6.8)

Recall: A bilinear form  $H: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  is **symmetric** if

$$H(x, y) = H(y, x) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in V$$

Assume  $V$  is finite dimensional.

Given any basis  $\beta$  for  $V$ , say  $\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ ,

We have a matrix representation of  $H$  (w.r.t.  $\beta$ ):

$$\Psi_\beta(H) = (H(v_i, v_j)) \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$$

Note:  $H$  symmetric  $\Leftrightarrow \Psi_\beta(H)$  symmetric (i.e.  $A^t = A$ )  
(for any basis  $\beta$ )

### "Diagonalization" of Symmetric Bilinear Forms

Def<sup>n</sup>:  $H$  is **diagonalizable** if there exists some basis  $\beta$  for  $V$  st.

$\Psi_\beta(H)$  is diagonal.

In terms of matrices, it is the same as the following:

Question: Given  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , does there exist an invertible  $Q \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  st.  $Q^t A Q$  is diagonal?

Caution: This is different from the usual notion of diagonalizing a matrix (unless  $Q^t = Q^{-1}$ ) !!

Theorem: Let  $H$  be a bilinear form over  $V$ .

$H$  is diagonalizable  $\Leftrightarrow H$  is symmetric

⌞ This holds for any field  $\mathbb{F}$  (which is not of char. 2).

How do we understand this theorem?

??

Two important cases we mostly care about:

$\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  &  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$

Case 1:  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$

This is related to the "Real Spectral Theorem", which says (in matrix form) for any  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  symmetric, there exists an orthogonal matrix  $Q \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $Q^t A Q = Q^t A Q$  is diagonal.

When  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ , we can diagonalize a symmetric bilinear form  $H$  by finding an orthonormal eigenbasis of the symmetric matrix  $\Psi_{\beta}(H)$  under any basis  $\beta$ .

Example: Suppose  $H(x, y) = x^t A y$  is a symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathbb{R}^2$

where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

By direct calculation,  $A$  has two distinct eigenvalues 3 and -1. And  $\beta = \{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \}$  is an orthonormal eigenbasis. Thus

$Q^t A Q = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  orthogonal!

Note: If we take  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  NOT orthogonal

then  $Q^t A Q = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$  still diagonal!

Observation: When we "diagonalize" a symmetric bilinear form ( $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ )

$$Q^t A Q = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & d_n \end{pmatrix} \quad d_i \neq \text{eigenvalues of } A$$

(unless  $Q^t = Q^{-1}$ )

Hence, these  $d_i$ 's are not "invariants" of the bilinear form  $\perp$ .  
But the number of positive/negative/zero  $d_i$ 's are!

Case 2:  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$

In contrast, "Complex Spectral Theorem" does not help here since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\text{X}} & A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \\ \text{Symmetric} & & \text{normal} \\ (\text{i.e. } A^t = A) & & (\text{i.e. } A^* A = A A^*) \end{array}$$

Even if  $A$  is normal, this is still not helpful since we simply get

$$Q^* A Q = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{but } Q^* \neq Q^t!$$

We need a different method to "diagonalize" a symmetric bilinear form which hopefully works for any  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Idea: Apply elementary row/column operations to  $A$  simultaneously until it becomes a diagonal matrix!

Example:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{c2-2 \cdot c1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{r2-2 \cdot r1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{diagonal}$$

in terms of "elementary matrices":

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{r2-2 \cdot r1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{c2-2 \cdot c1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{DONE!}$$

A Complex Example:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{c2 - i \cdot c1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ i & 2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{r2 - i \cdot r1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{Q^t} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{DONE!}$$

A 3x3 Example:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{c2 + c1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{r2 + r1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{c3 - 3 \cdot c1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & -8 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{r3 - 3 \cdot r1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 & -8 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{c3 - 4 \cdot c2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & -24 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{r3 - 4 \cdot r2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -24 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{diagonal!}$$

Therefore, keeping track of all the elementary matrices:

$$Q^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -24 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -7 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{DONE!}$$

Note: One can do the procedure above with less bookkeeping:

$$[A | I] \xrightarrow{\text{row/column operations}} [D | Q^t] \quad \text{Ex: Why does it work?}$$

↑  
diagonal

Example:  $[A|I]$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{c_3 + c_1} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{r_3 + r_1}$$

$$\rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{c_3 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot c_2} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3/2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{r_3 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot r_2}$$

$$\rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3/2 & 1 & -1/2 & 1 \end{array} \right] = [D|Q^t]$$

Therefore

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{Q^t} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 2 & \\ & & 3/2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \square$$

### Invariants of Symmetric Bilinear Forms

Recall that when  $T: V \rightarrow V$  is a linear operator on a finite dim'd vector space  $V$ , suppose  $\beta$  is an eigenbasis for  $T$ , then

$$[T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{when } \lambda_i = \text{eigenvalues of } T$$

↑  
indep. of  $\beta$  (i.e. invariants of  $T$ )

In contrast, for a symmetric bilinear form  $H$  on  $V$ , if  $\beta$  is a basis for  $V$  s.t.  $\psi_{\beta}^{\top}(H)$  is diagonal, i.e.

$$\psi_{\beta}^{\top}(H) = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & d_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, these diagonal entries  $d_i$ 's are NOT invariants of  $H$ , i.e. they depend on the choice of  $\beta$ .

Let's analyze more carefully.....

6

Case 1:  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$

Suppose  $\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$  s.t.

$$\Psi_{\beta}(H) = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & \\ & d_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & d_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{wlog, assume } d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n.$$

The equality above is equivalent to 
$$\begin{cases} H(v_i, v_i) = d_i \\ H(v_i, v_j) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

If we define a new basis  $\beta' = \{v'_1, v'_2, \dots, v'_n\}$  by

$$\begin{cases} v'_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}} v_i & \text{if } d_i > 0 \\ v'_i = v_i & \text{if } d_i = 0 \\ v'_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-d_i}} v_i & \text{if } d_i < 0 \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\Psi_{\beta'}(H) = \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{1} & & \\ & \boxed{0} & \\ & & \boxed{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem: Any symmetric bilinear form  $H$  on a finite dim'd real vector space can be put into the standard form above. Moreover, the number of  $+1, 0, -1$ 's are invariants (i.e. indep. of choice of basis) for  $H$ .

Example: If  $H$  is an inner product on a real inner product space  $V$

then 
$$\Psi_{\beta}(H) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for ANY orthonormal basis } \beta$$

Example: In Einstein's relativity theory, we consider "inner product" which is not positive definite but has the standard form

space  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  Lorentzian signature  
time  $\begin{pmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

## Case 2: $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$

7

Since any non-zero complex number has a square root, the situation is more uniform for  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$

Theorem: Any symmetric bilinear form  $H$  on a complex vector space  $V$  has a basis for  $V$ , say  $\beta$ , s.t

$$\Psi_{\beta}(H) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, the number of 1's and 0's are invariants of  $H$  which are independent of the choice of  $\beta$ .

E.g. Let  $H(x, y) = x^T A y$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^2$

where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

If we let  $\beta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ , then  $\Psi_{\beta}(H) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

"Proof of Theorem": The only part we haven't cover is that the number of 0's & 1's are invariants. This follows from the fact that  $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(QA) = \text{rank}(AQ)$  for any square matrices  $A$  and  $Q$  if  $Q$  is invertible! Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(Q^t A Q) &= \text{rank}(A) = \# \text{ of } 1\text{'s} \\ \Rightarrow \text{nullity}(Q^t A Q) &= \text{nullity}(A) = \# \text{ of } 0\text{'s} \end{aligned}$$

□

The proof for the case  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  is similar:

$$\# \text{ of } 1\text{'s} = \max \left\{ \dim W \mid H \text{ is } \underline{\text{positive definite}} \text{ on } W \subset V \right\}$$

↑

i.e.  $H(x, x) > 0$  for all  $0 \neq x \in W$ .