

TA's solution to 3093 assignment 5

Ch3, Ex11. (a). (It seems that you already have good solution from tutorial??)

(b). (2 marks)

As the tutorial note shows, the trick is to consider the function

$$G(t) := g(t) - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T g(x) dx$$

so that we can apply the result of part(a).

As some students suggest, we may also do it as follows:

Although $\int_0^T g(x) dx$ may not be zero, we always have

$$\widehat{g}'(0) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T g'(x) dx = \frac{1}{T} (g(T) - g(0)) = 0,$$

and

$$\widehat{g}'(n) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T g'(x) e^{-inx2\pi/T} dx = \frac{in2\pi}{T} \widehat{g}(n).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^T \bar{f}g \right|^2 &= \left| T \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\widehat{f}(n)} \widehat{g}(n) \right|^2 \quad (\text{by Parseval identity}) \\ &= T^2 \left| \sum_{\substack{-\infty < n < \infty \\ n \neq 0}} \overline{\widehat{f}(n)} \widehat{g}(n) \right|^2 \quad (\text{as } \widehat{f}(0) = 0 \text{ by hypothesis}) \\ &\leq T^2 \sum_{\substack{-\infty < n < \infty \\ n \neq 0}} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 \sum_{\substack{-\infty < n < \infty \\ n \neq 0}} |\widehat{g}(n)|^2 \quad (\text{Cauchy-Schwarz inequality}) \\ &\leq T^2 \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |n|^2 |\widehat{g}(n)|^2 \\ &= T^2 \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{g}'(n)|^2 \frac{T^2}{4\pi^2} = \left(\int_0^T |f|^2 \right) \left(\int_0^T |g'|^2 \right) \frac{T^2}{4\pi^2} \quad (\text{by Parseval identity}). \end{aligned}$$

(c). / (Please refer to the tutorial note)

Ex15. (a). /

(b). (1 marks)

Please refer to the tutorial note for a solution. This question should not be difficult, so let's try something new. We introduce the Vinogradov notation*:

Given $f, g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, we write $f \ll g$ if there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ s.t. for all $x \in X$, we have

$$f(x) \leq Cg(x).$$

For example,

*To me this notation is very useful. However, it is not a conventional notation in Mathematics (perhaps because the symbol used is somewhat misleading). Therefore, I suggest you mention the name "Vinogradov" whenever you use it.

- For all $x \in [1, \infty)$, we have $\frac{7x^4}{5x^3 + 2x + 1} \ll x$;
- Given a fixed $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, we have $\log x \ll x^{\varepsilon_0}$ for all $x \in [1, \infty)$;
- $500 \ll 1$;
- $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \ll 1$.

The first holds because $\frac{7x^4}{5x^3 + 2x + 1} \leq \frac{35x^4 + 14x^2 + 7x}{5x^3 + 2x + 1} = 7x$, so the implied constant C can be 7. The second holds because $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log x}{x^{\varepsilon_0}} = 0$ by L'Hospital's Rule. As $500 \leq 500 \cdot 1$, the implied constant for the third example can be 500. Finally, the fourth holds because the series $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$ converges.

We can also use subscripts to indicate the dependency of the implied constant. For example, we write $\log x \ll_{\varepsilon} x^{\varepsilon}$, meaning that for some constant $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ depending on ε , we have $\log x \leq C_{\varepsilon} x^{\varepsilon}$ for all $x \in [1, \infty)$.

With this notation, a solution to this hw question may be as follows: Assuming

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [f(x) - f(x + \pi/n)] e^{-inx} dx,$$

we have

$$|\widehat{f}(n)| \ll \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| f(x) - f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \right| \ll \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{\pi}{n} \right|^{\alpha} \ll_{\alpha} \frac{1}{|n|^{\alpha}}.$$

(c). With the Vinogradov notation, a solution may also be written as follows: We have

$$f(x+h) - f(x) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k < \infty \\ 2^k \leq 1/|h|}} 2^{-k\alpha} e^{i2^k x} (e^{i2^k h} - 1) + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k < \infty \\ 2^k > 1/|h|}} 2^{-k\alpha} e^{i2^k x} (e^{i2^k h} - 1) := \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2.$$

Since[†]

$$\left| e^{i2^k h} - 1 \right| = \left| i \int_0^{2^k h} e^{it} dt \right| \leq \int_0^{2^k |h|} |e^{it}| dt = 2^k |h|,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Sigma_1| &\leq \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k < \infty \\ 2^k \leq 1/|h|}} 2^{-k\alpha} 2^k |h| := |h| \frac{(2^{1-\alpha})^? - 1}{2^{1-\alpha} - 1} \\ &\ll_{\alpha} |h| ((2^{1-\alpha})^? - 1) \leq |h| (2^?)^{1-\alpha} \ll_{\alpha} |h| \left(\frac{1}{|h|} \right)^{1-\alpha} = |h|^{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

As

$$|\Sigma_2| \ll \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k < \infty \\ 2^k > 1/|h|}} 2^{-k\alpha} \ll_{\alpha} |h|^{\alpha},$$

we have $|f(x+h) - f(x)| \leq |\Sigma_1| + |\Sigma_2| \ll_{\alpha} |h|^{\alpha}$. The result follows.

[†]The general form of this trick is that for $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $|f(b) - f(a)| = \left| \int_a^b f'(t) dt \right| \leq \int_a^b |f'(t)| dt \leq \sup |f'| \cdot |b - a|$. This trick is useful as we do not have mean value theorem for complex-valued functions.

[‡]It is because the sum is $:= \frac{2^{-?'\alpha}}{1 - 2^{-\alpha}} \ll_{\alpha} 2^{-?'\alpha}$, where $2^{?'} > 1/|h|$.

Ex16. (a). /

(b). (2 marks) /

(c). /

(d). With the Vinogradov notation, a solution may also be written as follows:

Assuming

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |g_h(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 4 |\sin nh|^2 |\widehat{f}(n)|^2,$$

where $g_h(x) := f(x+h) - f(x-h)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\sin nh|^2 |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 \ll \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x-h)|^2 dx \ll_{\alpha} |h|^{2\alpha} \quad (\text{by the Hölder condition}).$$

Now given $p \in \mathbb{N}$, if we choose $h = \pi/2^{p+1}$, then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $2^{p-1} < |n| \leq 2^p$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq |\sin nh| \leq 1,$$

whence

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2^{p-1} < |n| \leq 2^p}} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 \ll \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2^{p-1} < |n| \leq 2^p}} |\sin nh|^2 |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\sin nh|^2 |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 \ll_{\alpha} |h|^{2\alpha} \ll_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2^{2\alpha p}}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{f}(n)| &= |\widehat{f}(0)| + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2^{p-1} < |n| \leq 2^p}} |\widehat{f}(n)| \leq |\widehat{f}(0)| + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\left(\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2^{p-1} < |n| \leq 2^p}} 1^2 \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 2^{p-1} < |n| \leq 2^p}} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 \right)} \\ &\ll_{\alpha} |\widehat{f}(0)| + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{2^p \cdot \frac{1}{2^{2\alpha p}}} = |\widehat{f}(0)| + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{(\alpha-0.5)p}} \ll_{\alpha, f} 1 \quad \text{whenever } \alpha > 0.5. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows.

Ch4, Ex1. (a). (2 marks) Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a parametrization for Γ . By the convention of the textbook, this implies $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^1$ and $\gamma'(t) \neq (0, 0)$ for all $t \in [a, b]$.

(\Rightarrow) If γ is a parametrization by arc-length, then by definition $|\gamma'| \equiv 1$ on $[a, b]$, so

$$\int_a^s |\gamma'(t)| dt = \int_a^s 1 dt = s - a.$$

(\Leftarrow) Suppose for all $s \in [a, b]$ we have

$$\int_a^s |\gamma'(t)| dt = s - a.$$

Since $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^1$, we see that $|\gamma'| : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Therefore, by the fundamental theorem of calculus, for all $s \in [a, b]$ we have

$$|\gamma'(s)| = \frac{d}{ds} \int_a^s |\gamma'(t)| dt = \frac{d}{ds} (s - a) = 1.$$

- (b). (3 marks) Let $\eta : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a parametrization for Γ . Again we require $\eta \in \mathcal{C}^1$ and $\eta'(t) \neq (0, 0)$ for all $t \in [a, b]$. Therefore, by applying the maximum-minimum theorem to $|\eta'|$, we see that there exists a $C > 0$ s.t. $|\eta'| > C$ on $[a, b]$. This shows that the function

$$h(s) := \int_a^s |\eta'(t)| dt$$

on $[a, b]$ is strictly monotone. As a result, by a theorem in math2060, we have

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} h^{-1}(\xi) = \frac{1}{h'(h^{-1}(\xi))} = \frac{1}{|\eta'(h^{-1}(\xi))|}.$$

Therefore, for $\gamma : [0, h(b)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by $\gamma(\xi) := \eta(h^{-1}(\xi))$, we have

$$\gamma([0, h(b)]) = \eta([a, b]) = \Gamma, \quad |\gamma'(\xi)| = \left| \eta'(h^{-1}(\xi)) \cdot \frac{d}{d\xi} h^{-1}(\xi) \right| = 1,$$

and $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^1$.[§] The result follows.

[§]Since h^{-1} is differentiable, it is continuous. Therefore $\gamma' = \frac{\eta' \circ h^{-1}}{|\eta' \circ h^{-1}|}$ is a continuous function.