

**THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**MATH3310 2022-2023**  
**Homework Assignment 2 Suggested Solution**

1. Solve the following PDE using Spectral Method:

$$\begin{cases} u_t(x, t) = u_{xx}(x, t), & (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \\ u(0, t) = u(1, t), & t \in [0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = f(x), & x \in [0, 1] \end{cases}$$

where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -x(2x - 1), & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

**Solution:**

Let  $u(x, t) = X(x)T(t)$ .

Putting in differential equation,

$$\frac{X''}{X} = \frac{T'}{T} = \lambda, \quad \lambda \text{ constant.}$$

Suppose  $\lambda \leq 0$ . Solving  $X''(x) = \lambda X(x)$ , and putting boundary conditions, we have

$$X(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \\ \alpha_1 \cos(2\pi nx) + \alpha_2 \sin(2\pi nx), \sqrt{-\lambda} = 2\pi n, & \text{if } \lambda < 0 \end{cases}$$

Then:

$$T(t) = \begin{cases} \beta, & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \\ \beta e^{-4\pi^2 n^2 t}, & \text{if } \lambda < 0 \end{cases}$$

Therefore:

$$u(x, t) = A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cos(2\pi nx) + B_n \sin(2\pi nx)) e^{-4\pi^2 n^2 t}$$

Putting initial condition and comparing Fourier Coefficients with  $f(x)$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \frac{1}{24} \\ A_n &= -\frac{1}{2(\pi n)^2}((-1)^n + 1) \\ B_n &= \frac{1}{(\pi n)^3}((1 - (-1)^n) \end{aligned}$$

2. Recall the definitions of discrete and inverse discrete Fourier Transform from the lecture notes:

Given:  $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ , the discrete Fourier transform is defined as

$$c_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j e^{-i \frac{2jk\pi}{n}}$$

for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . And the inverse discrete Fourier Transform:

$$f_j = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k e^{i \frac{2jk\pi}{n}}$$

for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

Check that the inverse discrete Fourier Transform does recover the discrete Fourier Transform.

**Solution:** By direct substitution, for fixed  $j$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k e^{i \frac{2jk\pi}{n}} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} f_t e^{-i \frac{2tk\pi}{n}} e^{i \frac{2jk\pi}{n}} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} f_t \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{i \frac{2k\pi}{n} (j-t)} \\ &= f_j \end{aligned}$$

3. Let  $f = \{f_i\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$  and  $g = \{g_i\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$  be two sequences of points in  $C$  that are periodic. Define convolution by

$$(f * g)_i = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k g_{i-k}$$

Prove that for  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$

$$(\widehat{f * g})(k) = n \hat{f}(k) \hat{g}(k)$$

where  $\hat{f} = \text{DFT}(f)$ .

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{f * g})(k) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} f_d g_{j-d} \right) \exp \left( -i \frac{2jk\pi}{n} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} f_d \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g_{j-d} \exp \left( -i \frac{2jk\pi}{n} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} f_d \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g_{j-d} \exp \left( -i \frac{2dk\pi}{n} \right) \exp \left( -i \frac{2(j-d)k\pi}{n} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} f_d \exp \left( -i \frac{2dk\pi}{n} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g_{j-d} \exp \left( -i \frac{2(j-d)k\pi}{n} \right) \\ &= n \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} f_d \exp \left( -i \frac{2dk\pi}{n} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g_j \exp \left( -i \frac{2jk\pi}{n} \right) \\ &= n \hat{f}(k) \hat{g}(k) \end{aligned}$$

4. In addition to 1D DFT, we can also see an example that is 2D DFT. Consider this alternative definition for the DFT on  $N \times N$  images:

$$\hat{f}(m, n) = \text{DFT}(f)(m, n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} f(k, l) e^{2\pi i \frac{mk+nl}{N}}$$

- (a) Show that the inverse DFT (iDFT) is defined by

$$f(p, q) = i\text{DFT}(\hat{f})(p, q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(m, n) e^{-2\pi i \frac{pm+qn}{N}}.$$

- (b) Determine the matrix  $U$  used to calculate the DFT of an  $N \times N$  image, i.e.  $\hat{f} = UfU$ .

- (c) Show that  $U$  is unitary (that is,  $UU^* = U^*U = I$ , where  $U^*$  is the conjugate transpose of  $U$ ).

**Solution:**

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(m, n) e^{-2\pi i \frac{pm+qn}{N}} \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} f(k, l) e^{2\pi i \frac{mk+nl}{N}} \right) e^{-2\pi i \frac{pm+qn}{N}} \\
&= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} f(k, l) e^{2\pi i \frac{m(k-p)+n(l-q)}{N}}.
\end{aligned}$$

When  $k = p$  and  $l = q$ , we have  $f(k, l) e^{2\pi i \frac{m(k-p)+n(l-q)}{N}} = f(p, q)$  for any  $m, n$ .

When  $k \neq p$ ,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} f(k, l) e^{2\pi i \frac{m(k-p)+n(l-q)}{N}} = e^{2\pi i \frac{n(l-q)}{N}} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} f(k, l) e^{2\pi i \frac{m(k-p)}{N}} = 0.$$

Similarly, when  $l \neq q$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(k, l) e^{2\pi i \frac{m(k-p)+n(l-q)}{N}} = e^{2\pi i \frac{m(k-p)}{N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(k, l) e^{2\pi i \frac{n(l-q)}{N}} = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(m, n) e^{-2\pi i \frac{pm+qn}{N}} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(p, q) = f(p, q)$$

(b) Let  $u_{r,s}$  be the entry at  $(r+1)$ -th row and  $(s+1)$ -th column of the matrix  $U$ , here  $0 \leq r, s \leq N-1$ . Then from  $\hat{f} = UfU$  we can easily get

$$u_{r,s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{2\pi i \cdot \frac{rs}{N}}$$

(c) Let  $u_{m,n}^*$  be the entry at  $(m+1)$ -th row and  $(n+1)$ -th column of the matrix  $U^*$ , here  $0 \leq m, n \leq N-1$ . Then

$$u_{m,n}^* = \overline{u_{n,m}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-2\pi i \cdot \frac{mn}{N}}$$

Then it is easy to verify that  $UU^* = U^*U = I$

5. Consider the differential equation:

$$(**) \quad a \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} + b \frac{du}{dx} = f(x) \text{ for } x \in (0, 2\pi),$$

where  $a, b > 0$ . Assume  $u$  and  $f$  are periodically extended to  $R$ . Divide the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$  into  $n$  equal portions and let  $x_j = \frac{2\pi j}{n}$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

Let  $\mathbf{u} = (u(x_0), u(x_1), \dots, u(x_{n-1}))^T$  and  $\mathbf{f} = (f(x_0), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_{n-1}))^T$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2$  be two  $n \times n$  matrices, which are defined in such a way that:

$$(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{u})_j = \frac{u(x_{j+2}) - u(x_{j-2})}{4h} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{D}_2 \mathbf{u})_j = \frac{u(x_{j+4}) - 2u(x_j) + u(x_{j-4}))}{16h^2}.$$

for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

(a) Explain why the differential equation (\*\*) can be discretized as:

$$(***) \quad a\mathcal{D}_2 \mathbf{u} + b\mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}.$$

In other words, explain why  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2$  approximate  $\frac{d}{dx}$  and  $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  respectively.

- (b) Prove that  $\overrightarrow{e^{ikx}} := (e^{ikx_0}, e^{ikx_1}, \dots, e^{ikx_{n-1}})^T$  is an eigenvector of both  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . What are their corresponding eigenvalues? Please explain your answer with details.
- (c) Show that  $\{\overrightarrow{e^{ikx}}\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$  forms a basis for  $C^n$ .
- (d) Let  $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{u}_k \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}}$  and  $\mathbf{f} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{f}_k \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}}$ , where  $\hat{u}_k, \hat{f}_k \in C$ . If  $\mathbf{u}$  satisfies (\*\*\*) , show that

$$(a\lambda_k^2 + b\lambda_k)\hat{u}_k = \hat{f}_k \text{ where } \lambda_k = i\frac{\sin(2kh)}{2h},$$

for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Please explain your answer with details.

**Solution:**

- (a) By Taylor's expansion, we get

$$u(x_{j+2}) = u(x_j) + 2hu'(x_j) + o(2h)$$

$$u(x_{j-2}) = u(x_j) - 2hu'(x_j) + o(2h)$$

so we deduce that

$$u'(x_j) = \frac{u(x_{j+2}) - u(x_{j-2})}{4h} + o(1)$$

Then we can say that when we choose  $n$  is sufficiently large (or  $h$  is sufficiently small),  $\mathcal{D}_1\mathbf{u}$  can approximate  $\mathbf{u}'$ , or  $\mathcal{D}_1$  can approximate  $\frac{d}{dx}$ .

Similarly,

$$u(x_{j+4}) = u(x_j) + 4hu'(x_j) + \frac{16h^2}{2}u''(x_j) + o(h^2)$$

$$u(x_{j-4}) = u(x_j) - 4hu'(x_j) + \frac{16h^2}{2}u''(x_j) + o(h^2)$$

so

$$u''(x_j) = \frac{u(x_{j+4}) - 2u(x_j) + u(x_{j-4}))}{16h^2} + o(1)$$

Then we can say that when we choose  $n$  is sufficiently large (or  $h$  is sufficiently small),  $\mathcal{D}_2\mathbf{u}$  can approximate  $\mathbf{u}''$ , or  $\mathcal{D}_2$  can approximate  $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ .

- (b) By the structure of  $\mathcal{D}_1\mathbf{u}$ , it can be verified that

$$(\mathcal{D}_1\overrightarrow{e^{ikx}})_j = \frac{e^{ikx_{j+2}} - e^{ikx_{j-2}}}{4h}$$

So it suffices to show that

$$\frac{e^{ikx_{j+2}} - e^{ikx_{j-2}}}{4he^{ikx_j}}$$

is independent of the index  $j$ , and this value is exactly the eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  corresponding  $\overrightarrow{e^{ikx}}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{ikx_{j+2}} - e^{ikx_{j-2}}}{4he^{ikx_j}} &= \frac{e^{ik \cdot (x_j+2h)} - e^{ik \cdot (x_j-2h)}}{4he^{ikx_j}} \\ &= \frac{e^{i \cdot 2kh} - e^{i \cdot (-2kh)}}{4h} \\ &= \frac{i \sin(2kh)}{2h}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\overrightarrow{e^{ikx}}$  is the eigenvector of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  corresponding the eigenvalue  $\frac{i \sin(2kh)}{2h}$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{ikx_{j+4}} - 2e^{ikx_j} + e^{ikx_{j-4}}}{16h^2e^{ikx_j}} &= \frac{e^{ik \cdot (x_j+4h)} - 2e^{ik \cdot x_j} + e^{ik \cdot (x_j-4h)}}{16h^2e^{ikx_j}} \\ &= \frac{e^{i \cdot 4kh} - 2 + e^{i \cdot (-4kh)}}{16h^2} \\ &= \frac{\cos(4kh) - 1}{8h^2}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\overrightarrow{e^{ikx}}$  is the eigenvector of  $\mathcal{D}_2$  corresponding the eigenvalue  $(\frac{i \sin(2kh)}{2h})^2 = \frac{\cos(4kh)-1}{8h^2}$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .

(c) Since  $\overrightarrow{e^{ikx}}$  are the eigenvectors of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  corresponding the distinct eigenvalues, we get that they are linearly independent. So the set contains  $n$  linearly independent vectors forms a basis.

(d) By (b) we get  $\mathcal{D}_1 \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}} = \lambda_k \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_2 \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}} = (\lambda_k)^2 \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}}$   
so

$$\begin{aligned} a\mathcal{D}_2 \mathbf{u} + b\mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{u} &= a\mathcal{D}_2 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{u}_k \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}} \right) + b\mathcal{D}_1 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{u}_k \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}} \right) \\ &= a \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\lambda_k)^2 \hat{u}_k \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}} + b \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k \hat{u}_k \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (a(\lambda_k)^2 + b\lambda_k) \hat{u}_k \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}} \\ &= \mathbf{f} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{f}_k \overrightarrow{e^{ikx}} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{\overrightarrow{e^{ikx}}\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$  is a basis, comparing the coefficients leads to the result that we want to prove.