

Lecture 20:

Recall:

Preliminary: QR factorization

Definition: $Q \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ is orthogonal if $Q^T Q = I_n$

Remark: - $Q^{-1} = Q^T$
- Columns of Q forms orthonormal set.

Revisit: Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization

Let $A = (\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \dots, \vec{a}_n)$ are linearly independent.

G-S process converts $\{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_n\}$ to orthonormal set $\{\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2, \dots, \vec{q}_n\}$

G-S process:

Step 1: Let $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_1 = \vec{\mathbf{a}}_1$. Normalize: $\vec{\mathbf{q}}_1 = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_1}{\|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_1\|_2}$. Let $\alpha_{11} = \|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_1\|_2$

Step 2: Define: $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_2 = \vec{\mathbf{a}}_2 - \alpha_{12} \vec{\mathbf{q}}_1$. Choose α_{12} such that:
 $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_2^T \vec{\mathbf{q}}_1 = 0$. Then: $\alpha_{12} = \vec{\mathbf{q}}_1^T \vec{\mathbf{a}}_2$.

Normalize: $\vec{\mathbf{q}}_2 = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_2}{\|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_2\|_2}$. Let $\alpha_{22} = \|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_2\|_2$.

Step 3: Suppose $\vec{\mathbf{q}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{q}}_2, \dots, \vec{\mathbf{q}}_{k-1}$ are constructed.

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_k = \vec{\mathbf{a}}_k - (\alpha_{1k} \vec{\mathbf{q}}_1 + \alpha_{2k} \vec{\mathbf{q}}_2 + \dots + \alpha_{k-1,k} \vec{\mathbf{q}}_{k-1})$ where $\alpha_{jk} = \vec{\mathbf{q}}_j^T \vec{\mathbf{a}}_k$.

Then: $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_k^T \vec{\mathbf{q}}_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$. Let $\alpha_{kk} = \|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_k\|_2$

Normalize: $\vec{\mathbf{q}}_k = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_k}{\|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_k\|_2}$.

In summary,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{a}_1 = \alpha_{11} \vec{q}_1 \\ \vec{a}_2 = \alpha_{12} \vec{q}_1 + \alpha_{22} \vec{q}_2 \\ \vec{a}_3 = \alpha_{13} \vec{q}_1 + \alpha_{23} \vec{q}_2 + \alpha_{33} \vec{q}_3 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{a}_k = \alpha_{1k} \vec{q}_1 + \alpha_{2k} \vec{q}_2 + \dots + \alpha_{kk} \vec{q}_k \end{array} \right.$$

This is equivalent to:

$$A = \left(\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \vec{a}_1 \\ \downarrow \\ \vec{a}_2 \\ \dots \\ \downarrow \\ \vec{a}_n \end{array}}_{M \times n} \right) = \left(\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \vec{q}_1 \\ \dots \\ \downarrow \\ \vec{q}_n \end{array}}_Q \right) \left(\underbrace{\begin{array}{cccc} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \dots & \alpha_{1n} \\ & \alpha_{22} & \dots & \alpha_{2n} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & \alpha_{nn} \end{array}}_R \right)$$

Remark: • Q = orthonormal; R = upper triangular

- Q may NOT be a square matrix ($Q \in M_{m \times n}$)
 R is a square matrix ($R \in M_{n \times n}$)
- Factorization of $A = QR$ is called the QR factorization.

(If all diagonal entries of R are positive, then QR factorization is unique)

Detailed algorithm: (QR factorization) Let $A = (\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_n)$ be full rank.

Step 1: Use G-S process to obtain

orthonormal set $\{\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2, \dots, \vec{q}_n\}$

Step 2: Compute $d_{jk} = \vec{q}_j^T \vec{a}_k$

Step 3: Construct QR factorization:

$$A = QR = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{q}_1 & \vec{q}_2 & \dots & \vec{q}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} & \dots & d_{1n} \\ & d_{22} & & d_{2n} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & d_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

Example: Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 12 & -51 & 4 \\ 6 & 117 & -68 \\ -4 & 24 & -41 \end{pmatrix}$. Find the QR factorization of A .

Solution: Use G-S process, we get:

$$\vec{q}_1 = \frac{(12, 6, -4)^T}{\|(12, 6, -4)\|_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 6/7 \\ 3/7 \\ -2/7 \end{pmatrix} \quad \alpha_{11} = \|(12, 6, -4)^T\|_2$$

Similarly, $\vec{q}_2 = \left(\frac{-69}{175}, \frac{158}{175}, \frac{6}{35}\right)^T$ and $\vec{q}_3 = \left(\frac{-58}{175}, \frac{6}{175}, \frac{-33}{35}\right)^T$

Step 2: Compute: $\alpha_{11} = \vec{q}_1^T \vec{a}_1 = 14$, $\alpha_{12} = \vec{q}_1^T \vec{a}_2 = 21, \dots$

Alternatively, since $Q = (\vec{q}_1, \dots, \vec{q}_n)$ is orthogonal,

$$A = QR \Rightarrow R = Q^T A.$$

We get: $R = \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 21 & -14 \\ 0 & 175 & -70 \\ 0 & 0 & 35 \end{pmatrix}$

Step 3: Construct the QR factorization of A :

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 6/7 & -69/175 & -58/175 \\ 3/7 & 158/175 & 6/175 \\ -2/7 & 6/35 & -33/35 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 21 & -14 \\ 0 & 175 & -70 \\ 0 & 0 & 35 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\vec{q}}_2 &= \vec{a}_2 - \alpha_{12} \vec{q}_1 \\ \tilde{\vec{q}}_2 &= \frac{\tilde{\vec{q}}_2}{\|\tilde{\vec{q}}_2\|_2} \end{aligned}$$

QR method to find eigenvalues

Algorithm: (QR algorithm)

Input : $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$

Step 1: Let $A^{(0)} = A$. Compute QR factorization of $A^{(0)} = Q_0 R_0$.

Let $A^{(1)} = R_0 Q_0$.

Step 2: Assume $A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(k)}$ are computed. Let $A^{(k)} = Q_k R_k$.

be the QR factorization of $A^{(k)}$. Let $A^{(k+1)} = R_k Q_k$.

Observation: 1. QR method gives a sequence of matrices

$$\{ A^{(0)} = A, A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(k)}, \dots \}$$

2. Now, $A^{(1)} = R_0 Q_0 = Q_0^{-1} \underbrace{(Q_0 R_0)}_{\substack{\text{"} \\ A = A^{(0)}}} Q_0$. $\therefore A^{(1)}$ is similar to $A^{(0)} = A$

$\therefore A^{(1)}$ has same sets of eigenvalues as $A^{(0)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A^{(1)} - \lambda I) &= \det(Q_0^{-1} A^{(0)} Q_0 - \lambda I) \\ &= \det(Q_0^{-1} [A^{(0)} - \lambda I] Q_0) = \det(A^{(0)} - \lambda I) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $A^{(2)} = R_1 Q_1 = Q_1^{-1} \underbrace{(Q_1 R_1)}_{\substack{\text{"} \\ A^{(1)}}} Q_1$.

$$\therefore A^{(0)} \underset{\text{similar}}{\sim} A^{(1)} \sim A^{(2)} \sim \dots \sim A^{(k)} \sim \dots$$

3. If $A^{(k)}$ converges to an upper triangular matrix, then the diagonal entries are the eigenvalues of A .

Example: Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} -149 & -50 & -154 \\ 537 & 180 & 546 \\ -27 & -9 & -25 \end{pmatrix}$

Let $A^{(0)} = A$. Compute the QR factorization of $A^{(0)}$:

$$A^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.27 & -0.71 & 0.65 \\ 0.96 & -0.16 & 0.22 \\ -0.05 & 0.69 & 0.73 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 558 & 187 & 568 \\ 0 & 0.07 & 3.46 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.105 \end{pmatrix} = Q_0 R_0$$

$$A^{(1)} = R_0 Q_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 3.53 & * & * \\ -0.076 & 2.36 & * \\ -0.007 & 0.097 & 0.1053 \end{pmatrix} \text{ (Quite close to upper triangular)}$$

$$A^{(14)} = \begin{pmatrix} 3.0716 & * & * \\ 0.0193 & 0.9284 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ (Very close to upper triangular)}$$

Diagonal entries very close to eigenvalues 1, 2, 3.

Convergence of QR method

We will state it without proof (Out of scope)

Theorem: Let A be a real symmetric non-singular matrix. The sequence $\{A^{(k)}\}$ generated by the QR method converges to an upper triangular matrix and the diagonal entries of $A^{(k)}$ converges to eigenvalues of A .

Remark:

- ① Power method computes ONE eigenvalue
- ② QR method computes ALL eigenvalues

Relationship between Power's method and QR method

Motivation: Consider $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ with eigenvalues:

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \dots > |\lambda_n|$$

Say $\{\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2, \dots, \vec{q}_n\}$ are eigenvectors of $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ respectively.

Properties of $\{\vec{q}_i\}_{i=1}^n$:

$$\underbrace{A \vec{q}_i}_{\lambda_i \vec{q}_i} \cdot \vec{q}_j = (A \vec{q}_i)^T \vec{q}_j = \vec{q}_i^T \underbrace{A^T}_{\lambda_j \vec{q}_j} \vec{q}_j$$

$$\lambda_i \vec{q}_i \cdot \vec{q}_j = \lambda_j \vec{q}_i \cdot \vec{q}_j$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \vec{q}_i \cdot \vec{q}_j = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{q}_i \cdot \vec{q}_j = 0$$

$\therefore \{\vec{q}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is orthogonal.

Observation:

If we choose $\vec{x}^{(0)} = C_i \vec{g}_i + C_{i+1} \vec{g}_{i+1} + \dots + C_n \vec{g}_n$ ($C_i \neq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{x}^{(k)} &= \frac{A^k (\vec{x}^{(0)})}{\| \cdot \|} = \frac{C_i \lambda_i^k \vec{g}_i + \dots + C_n \lambda_n^k \vec{g}_n}{\| \cdot \|} \\ &= \frac{C_i \lambda_i^k \left(\vec{g}_i + \frac{(\lambda_{i+1}/\lambda_i)^k C_{i+1} \vec{g}_{i+1} + \dots}{C_i} \right)}{\| \cdot \|} \end{aligned}$$

then the power method
will converge to $|\lambda_i|$

Remark: If we are "smart" (?),

then we can define:

$$M_{n \times n} \Rightarrow X^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & & | \\ \vec{x}_1^{(0)} & \vec{x}_2^{(0)} & \dots & \vec{x}_n^{(0)} \\ | & | & & | \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{x}_i^{(0)} = c_1 \vec{g}_1 + \dots + c_n \vec{g}_n$$

Apply Power method on $X^{(0)}$:

$$A^k X^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & & | \\ A^k \vec{x}_1^{(0)} & A^k \vec{x}_2^{(0)} & \dots & A^k \vec{x}_n^{(0)} \\ | & | & & | \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ |\lambda_1| & |\lambda_2| & & |\lambda_n| \end{pmatrix}$$