

Lecture 3:

Quotient Space

Definition: Let V be a vector space over F and let W be a subspace of V . Let $\vec{v} \in V$. Define:

$$\vec{v} + W = \{ \vec{v} + \vec{w} : \vec{w} \in W \}$$

$\vec{v} + W$ is called a coset of W in V .

Remark: $\vec{v} \in \vec{v} + W$.

Definition: The set V/W (called V mod W), is the set defined by $V/W = \{ \vec{v} + W : \vec{v} \in V \}$

(collection of cosets of W in V)

Proposition: Let $\vec{v}, \vec{v}' \in V$. Then: $\vec{v} + W = \vec{v}' + W$ iff $\vec{v} - \vec{v}' \in W$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Let $\vec{v} + W = \vec{v}' + W$.

$$\because \vec{v} \in \vec{v} + W = \vec{v}' + W. \therefore \vec{v} = \vec{v}' + \vec{w} \text{ for some } \vec{w} \in W$$
$$\therefore \vec{v} - \vec{v}' = \vec{w} \in W.$$

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $\vec{v} - \vec{v}' \in W$. Sufficient to prove that $\vec{v} \in \vec{v}' + W$.

Let $\vec{w} = \vec{v} - \vec{v}'$. Then: $\vec{v} = \vec{v}' + \vec{w} \in \vec{v}' + W$

In fact, $\vec{v} + W \subset \vec{v}' + W$. Similarly, $\vec{v}' = \vec{v} + \vec{w}'$ for some $\vec{w}' \in W$.

$$\Rightarrow \vec{v}' + W \subset \vec{v} + W.$$

Definition: Define:

$$(\vec{v} + W) + (\vec{v}' + W) := \overset{\text{def}}{=} (\vec{v} + \vec{v}') + W \quad (\text{addition})$$

$$a \cdot (\vec{v} + W) := \overset{\text{def}}{=} a\vec{v} + W \quad (\text{Scalar multiplication})$$

Proposition: Suppose $\vec{v} + w = \vec{v}' + w$. Then: for any $\vec{v}'' + w \in V/W$.

$$\bullet (\vec{v} + w) + (\vec{v}'' + w) = (\vec{v}' + w) + (\vec{v}'' + w)$$

$$\bullet a \cdot (\vec{v} + w) = a \cdot (\vec{v}' + w) \text{ for any } a \in F.$$

Proof: Homework!

Remark: Addition and scalar multiplication are well-defined.

Theorem: With addition and scalar multiplication defined above,

V/W is a vector space over F , called the quotient space.

Proof: Homework!

Examples of quotient space

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{v}_1 + W &= \vec{v}_2 + W \\ \Leftrightarrow \vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 &\in W\end{aligned}$$

- Let $W = \{\vec{0}\}$. V/W is the same as V . $\Leftrightarrow \vec{v}_1 = \vec{v}_2$ for all $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 \in V$.

Let $W = V$. V/V is the same as $\{\vec{0}\}$.

- Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$. Let W be the y -axis.

Recall: $(x, y) + W = (x', y') + W$ iff $(x, y) - (x', y') \in W$
iff $x - x' = 0$

\therefore a vector in V/W is determined by the x -coordinate.
 $\text{or } x = x'$

- Let $V = F^\infty$ (infinite sequence)

Let $W \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(0, x_2, x_3, \dots) : x_i \in F\}$.

As above, two vectors in V/W are the same iff they have the same first coordinate.

$\therefore V/W$ is the same as F (isomorphic)

Remark: Even V and W are infinite dimensional,
 V/W is one-dimensional!

Proposition: Suppose V is finite-dimensional. Then:

$$\dim(V/W) = \dim(V) - \dim(W).$$

Proof: Let $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n\}$ be a basis of W .

Extend it to a basis $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n, \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ of V .

Then: $\dim(W) = n$, $\dim(V) = n+k$

We'll prove that $\{\vec{v}_1 + W, \dots, \vec{v}_k + W\}$ forms a basis of V/W .

If so, we'll have: $\dim(V/W) = k = \frac{(n+k)}{\dim(V)} - \frac{k}{\dim(W)}$

Linear independence:

Suppose: $a_1(\vec{v}_1 + W) + \dots + a_k(\vec{v}_k + W) = W$

$$\Rightarrow (a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k) + W = W$$

$$\therefore a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k \vec{v}_k \in W$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k \vec{v}_k = b_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + b_n \vec{w}_n \text{ for some } b_1, \dots, b_n \in F.$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k \vec{v}_k - b_1 \vec{w}_1 - \dots - b_n \vec{w}_n = \vec{0}$$

As $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k, \vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n\}$ is linearly independent,

$$a_1 = \dots = a_k = 0 \text{ and } b_1 = \dots = b_n = 0.$$

$\therefore \{\vec{v}_1 + W, \dots, \vec{v}_k + W\}$ is linear independent.

Span: Let $\vec{v} + W \in V/W$.

Then: $\vec{v} = a_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + a_n \vec{w}_n + b_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + b_k \vec{v}_k$ for some a_i 's and b_j 's.

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \vec{v} + W &= \underbrace{\left(b_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + b_k \vec{v}_k + a_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + a_n \vec{w}_n \right)}_{W} + W \\ &= b_1 (\vec{v}_1 + W) + \dots + b_k (\vec{v}_k + W)\end{aligned}$$

Direct product space

Definition: Let V_1 and V_2 are vector spaces over F . Define:

$$V_1 \times V_2 = \{(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) : \vec{x} \in V_1 \text{ and } \vec{y} \in V_2\}$$

(called the direct product of V_1 and V_2)

Define:

- $(\vec{x}_1, \vec{y}_1) + (\vec{x}_2, \vec{y}_2) = (\vec{x}_1 + \vec{x}_2, \vec{y}_1 + \vec{y}_2)$ for $\forall \vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2 \in V_1, \vec{y}_1, \vec{y}_2 \in V_2$
- $a(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = (a\vec{x}, a\vec{y})$ for $\forall a \in F, \vec{x} \in V_1, \vec{y} \in V_2$.

Then: $V_1 \times V_2$ forms a vector space over F .

Theorem: $\dim(V_1 \times V_2) = \dim(V_1) + \dim(V_2)$

Proof: (Idea) Let $\beta_1 = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ = basis for V_1 .

$\beta_2 = \{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}$ = basis for V_2 .

Then: $\{(\vec{v}_1, \vec{0}_2), \dots, (\vec{v}_n, \vec{0}_2), (\vec{0}_1, \vec{w}_1), \dots, (\vec{0}_1, \vec{w}_m)\}$ forms
a basis for $V_1 \times V_2$ (where $\vec{0}_1$ is the zero in V_1 ,
 $\vec{0}_2$ is the zero in V_2)
(Check! Exercise)

Existence of basis

For a finite-dimensional vector space, the basis can be constructed as follows:

$\{\vec{v}_1\} \leftarrow$ Linear independent

\downarrow
 $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\} \leftarrow$ Attach one more vector $\vec{v}_2 \ni \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\}$ is
Linearly independent

\vdots
 $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\} \leftarrow$ must stop at some point.

Constructive proof for the existence of basis.

Zorn's lemma Assume \mathcal{C} is a collection of subsets of some fixed unnamed set. Assume that for all chain:

$$\bigcap_{\substack{i \\ \in \mathcal{C}}} S_i \subset S_2 \subset \dots \text{ in } \mathcal{C}, \text{ we have } \bigcup_i S_i \in \mathcal{C}$$

Then: \mathcal{C} contains a maximal element.

(That is, $\exists M \in \mathcal{C} \rightarrow$ there is no set $\tilde{M} \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying: $M \subset \tilde{M}$
properly contained)