

Lecture 21:

Observation:

Assume T is diagonalizable and assume \exists an orthonormal basis β for V s.t. $[T]_\beta$ is diagonal.

Then: $[T^*]_\beta = ([T]_\beta)^*$ is also diagonal

$$\therefore ([T]_\beta)^* ([T]_\beta) = ([T]_\beta) ([T]_\beta)^*$$

$$[T^*]_\beta [T]_\beta = [T]_\beta [T^*]_\beta$$

$$[T^* T]_\beta = [TT^*]_\beta$$

$$\Rightarrow T^* T = TT^*$$

Definition: Let V be an inner product space. We say that a linear operator $T: V \rightarrow V$ is **normal** if $T^*T = TT^*$.

An $n \times n$ real or complex matrix A is called **normal** if

$$A^* A = AA^*$$

- Example:
- Unitary (when $F = \mathbb{C}$) or orthogonal (when $F = \mathbb{R}$)
if $T^* T = T T^* = I$
 - Hermitian (or self-adjoint) if $T^* = T$
 - Skew-Hermitian (or anti-self-adjoint) if $T^* = -T$.
- Are normal!

Proposition: Let V be an inner product space, and let T be a normal linear operator on V . Then: we have:

(a) $\|T(\vec{x})\| = \|T^*(\vec{x})\| \quad \forall \vec{x} \in V$

(b) $T - cI$ is normal $\forall c \in F$.

(c) If $T(\vec{x}) = \lambda \vec{x}$, then: $T^*(\vec{x}) = \bar{\lambda} \vec{x}$

(d) If λ_1 and λ_2 are distinct eigenvalues of T with corresponding eigenvectors \vec{x}_1 and \vec{x}_2 , then:

\vec{x}_1 and \vec{x}_2 are orthogonal.

Proof: (a) $\forall \vec{x} \in V$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|T(\vec{x})\|^2 &= \langle T(\vec{x}), T(\vec{x}) \rangle = \langle T^* T(\vec{x}), \vec{x} \rangle \\ &= \langle T T^*(\vec{x}), \vec{x} \rangle = \langle T^*(\vec{x}), T^*(\vec{x}) \rangle \\ &= \|T^*(\vec{x})\|^2\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(b). (T - cI)(T - cI)^* &= (T - cI)(T^* - \bar{c}I) \\ &= TT^* - cT^* - \bar{c}T + c\bar{c}I \\ &= T^*T - cT^* - \bar{c}T + c\bar{c}I \\ &= (T - cI)^*(T - cI).\end{aligned}$$

(c) Suppose $T(\vec{x}) = \lambda \vec{x}$. Let $U = T - \lambda I$. Then, U is normal (by (b)) and $U(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}$. So, by (a),

$$0 = \|U(\vec{x})\| = \|U^*(\vec{x})\| = \|(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I)(\vec{x})\| \Leftrightarrow T^*(\vec{x}) = \bar{\lambda} \vec{x}.$$

(d) By (c), we have:

$$\lambda_1 \overrightarrow{\langle \vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2 \rangle} = \langle T(\vec{x}_1), \vec{x}_2 \rangle = \langle \vec{x}_1, T^*(\vec{x}_2) \rangle$$

$\uparrow \quad \uparrow$
 $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$

$$= \langle \vec{x}_1, \lambda_2 \vec{x}_2 \rangle$$
$$= \lambda_2 \langle \vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2 \rangle$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^{\#} \langle \vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2 \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2 \rangle = 0$$

Theorem: Let T be a linear operator on a finite-dim complex inner product space V . Then, T is normal iff \exists an orthonormal basis for V consisting of eigenvectors of T .

Proof: (\Leftarrow) Obvious.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose T is normal.

By the Fundamental Thm of algebra, $f_T(t)$ splits.

i.e. Schur's Theorem gives us an orthonormal basis

$\beta = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ s.t. $[T]_\beta$ is upper triangular.

$[T]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \text{[] } & \text{[] } & \text{[] } \\ \text{[] } & \ddots & \text{[] } \\ \text{[] } & \text{[] } & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$. In particular, \vec{v}_1 is an eigenvector of T .

Suppose that $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_{k-1}$ are eigenvectors of T and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}$ are their corresponding eigenvalues

We claim that \vec{v}_k is an eigenvector of T (so by induction, all vectors in β are eigenvectors of T)

$$\text{Now, } T(\vec{v}_j) = \lambda_j \vec{v}_j \Rightarrow T^*(\vec{v}_j) = \bar{\lambda}_j \vec{v}_j \text{ for } j=1, 2, \dots, k-1$$

$\therefore A := [T]_\beta$ is upper triangular

$$T(\vec{v}_k) = A_{1k} \vec{v}_1 + A_{2k} \vec{v}_2 + \dots + A_{kk} \vec{v}_k$$

$$\text{But : } A_{jk} = \langle T(\vec{v}_k), \vec{v}_j \rangle = \langle \vec{v}_k, T^*(\vec{v}_j) \rangle = \langle \vec{v}_k, \bar{\lambda}_j \vec{v}_j \rangle \\ = \bar{\lambda}_j \langle \vec{v}_k, \vec{v}_j \rangle = \bar{\lambda}_j \cdot 0$$

$$\text{for } j=1, 2, \dots, k-1. \quad \therefore T(\vec{v}_k) = A_{kk} \vec{v}_k = 0$$

$\because \vec{v}_k = \text{eigenvector of } T.$

Example: Let H be the set of continuous complex-valued functions defined on $[0, 2\pi]$ equipped w/ the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt \quad \text{for } f, g \in H.$$

and the orthonormal subset :

$$S = \left\{ f_n(t) := e^{int} = n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \subset H$$

(cos nt + i sin nt)

inf dim

↓
Let $V = \text{span}(S)$ and consider the operators T and U on V

defined by: $T(f) = f_1 \cdot f$, $U(f) = f_{-1} f$

$$= e^{it} f \qquad \qquad \qquad e^{-it} f$$

$$\therefore T(f_n) = f_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad U(f_n) = f_{n-1} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

e^{it}
 "int
 e^{"i(n+1)t}

$$\text{Then: } \langle T(f_m), f_n \rangle = \langle f_{m+1}, f_n \rangle = \delta_{m+1, n}$$

$$= \delta_{m, n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow U = T^* \quad \quad \quad U T$$

$$= \langle f_m, f_{n-1} \rangle$$

$$\therefore T T^* = T U = I = T^* T \quad \therefore T \text{ is normal.}$$

$$= \langle f_m, U(f_n) \rangle$$

However, T has no eigenvectors.

If $f \in V$ is an eigenvector of T, say, $T(f) = \lambda f$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$)

Then, we write $f = \sum_{i=n}^m a_i f_i$, where $a_m \neq 0$

$$\therefore \sum_{i=n}^m a_i f_{i+1} = T(f) = \lambda f = \sum_{i=n}^m \lambda a_i f_i$$

$$\Rightarrow f_{m+1} = \frac{1}{a_m} (\lambda a_m f_n + \sum_{i=n+1}^m (\lambda a_i - a_{i-1}) f_i)$$

Contradicting the fact that S is linearly independent.

Lecture 23:

Def: Let T be a linear operator on an inner product space V . We say T is self-adjoint (Hermitian) if $T^* = T$. An $n \times n$ real or complex matrix A is called self-adjoint (or Hermitian) if $A^* = A$.

Lemma: Let T be a self-adjoint linear operator on a fin-dim inner product space V . Then:

- Every eigenvalue of T is real.
- Suppose V is real inner product space. Then, the char. poly of T splits over \mathbb{R} .

Proof: (a) Suppose $T(\vec{x}) = \lambda \vec{x}$ for $\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$.

Then: $T^*(\vec{x}) = \bar{\lambda} \vec{x}$ ($\because T$ is normal)

$$\therefore \lambda \vec{x} = T(\vec{x}) = T^*(\vec{x}) = \bar{\lambda} \vec{x}$$

$$\therefore (\lambda - \bar{\lambda}) \vec{x} = \vec{0} \stackrel{\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}}{\Rightarrow} \lambda = \bar{\lambda} \therefore \lambda \text{ is real.}$$

(b) Let $n = \dim(V)$, β be an orthonormal basis for V and

$$\text{let } A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [T]_{\beta}$$

Then: A is self-adjoint. Consider: $L_A : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$

By (a), the eigenvalues of L_A are real.

By Fundamental Thm of Algebra, $f_{L_A}(t)$ splits into factors of the form $t - \lambda$ where λ is an eigenvalue of L_A .

$\therefore \lambda$ is real $\therefore f_{L_A}(t)$ splits over \mathbb{R} .

But $f_T(t) = f_{L_A}(t)$. So, the result follows.

Theorem: Let T be a linear operator on a fin-dim real inner product space V . Then T is self-adjoint iff \exists orthonormal basis for V consisting of eigenvectors of T .

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Suppose T is self-adjoint. By the Lemma, the char poly of T splits over \mathbb{R} . By Schur's Theorem, \exists an orthonormal basis β for V s.t. $A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [T]_{\beta}$ is upper triangular. But,

$$A^* = ([T]_{\beta})^* = [T^*]_{\beta} = [T]_{\beta} = A$$

So, A is both upper triangular and lower triangular.

Hence, A is diagonal.

$\therefore \beta$ consists of eigenvectors of T .

(\Leftarrow) Suppose \exists orthonormal basis β for V s.t. $A = [T]_\beta$
is diagonal.

$$\text{Then: } [T^*]_\beta = ([T]_\beta)^* = A^t = A = [T]_\beta$$

$$\therefore T^* = T$$

$\therefore T$ is self-adjoint.

Def: Let T be a linear operator on finite-dim inner product space V over F . If $\|T(\vec{x})\| = \|\vec{x}\| \quad \forall \vec{x} \in V$, then we call T is a unitary linear operator. (resp. orthogonal operator) if $F = \mathbb{C}$ (resp $F = \mathbb{R}$)