

Remark. Please be kindly reminded that there is no tutorial this week. We include this note only for the completeness.

Recall

Projection and decomposition in Banach spaces

Let X be a Banach space. A bounded linear operator $P: X \rightarrow X$ is called a *projection* if $P^2 = P$ (*idempotent*). For each projection P there is a decomposition $X = \text{Im}(P) \oplus \text{Ker}(P)$. A **closed** subspace M is called *complemented* if there exists a **closed** subspace N such that $X = M \oplus N$.

- $\{ \text{a closed subspace } M \text{ is complemented} \} \iff \{ \exists \text{ projection } P \text{ with } \text{Im}(P) = M \}$.
- Any subspace of finite dimension is complemented.
- c_0 is not complemented in ℓ^∞ , nor a dual space of any normed space.
- Let $Q: X \rightarrow X^{**}$, $\tilde{Q}: X^* \rightarrow X^{***}$ be the canonical mappings and $Q^*: X^{***} \rightarrow X^*$ be the adjoint operator of Q , that is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^* & \xrightarrow{\tilde{Q}} & X^{***} \\ \vdots & \curvearrowleft Q^* & \vdots \\ X & \xrightarrow{Q} & X^{**} \end{array}$$

Then $Q^*\tilde{Q} = I_{X^*}$, where I_{X^*} denotes the identity map on X^* . Hence $P := \tilde{Q}Q^*$ is a projection on X^{***} . This implies

$$X^{***} = \text{Im}(P) \oplus \text{Ker}(P) = \tilde{Q}X^* \oplus (QX)^\perp \cong X^* \oplus X^\perp.$$

In particular, we have $(\ell^\infty)^* = \ell^1 \oplus c_0^\perp$ by letting $X = c_0$.

- Suppose norms are considered on the direct sum and denote $X = Y \oplus_{\ell_1} Z$ if $X = Y \oplus Z$ and $\|x\| = \|y\| + \|z\|$ for $x = y + z$, $y \in Y, z \in Z$. Then

$$(\ell^\infty)^* = \ell^1 \oplus_{\ell_1} c_0^\perp.$$

Main content

Proposition 1. *Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be a bounded linear operator. Then $\text{Im } T$ is closed in Y if and only if there exists $C < \infty$ such that $d(x, \text{Ker } T) \leq C\|Tx\|$ for $x \in X$.*

Proof. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow X/\text{Ker } T$ be the natural projection, that is,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{T} & \text{Im } T \subset Y \\ \downarrow \pi & \nearrow \tilde{T} & \\ X/\text{Ker } T & & \end{array}$$

Define $\tilde{T}: X/\text{Ker } T \rightarrow \text{Im } T$ canonically by $\tilde{T}(\pi x) := Tx$ for $\pi x \in X/\text{Ker } T$ and some $x \in X$. Then \tilde{T} is well defined and injective.

(\implies) Since X, Y are Banach spaces and $\text{Im } T$ is closed, then $X/\text{Ker } T$ and $\text{Im } T$ are both Banach spaces. The Open Mapping Theorem implies that \tilde{T}^{-1} is continuous, thus bounded. Hence $d(x, \text{Ker } T) = \|\pi x\| \leq \|\tilde{T}^{-1}\| \|Tx\|$.

(\impliedby) Since $\|\tilde{T}^{-1}(Tx)\| = \|\pi x\| = d(x, \text{Ker } T) \leq C\|Tx\|$, then \tilde{T} is continuous. This implies that $\text{Im } T$ is complete since $X/\text{Ker } T$ is complete. Hence $\text{Im } T$ is closed in Y . \square

Proposition 2. *Let M be a closed subspace of a normed space X . Then X is complete if and only if M and X/M are both complete.*

Proof. Let $\iota: M \rightarrow X$ be the natural inclusion and $\pi: X \rightarrow X/M$ be the natural projection, that is,

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\iota} X \xrightarrow{\pi} X/M \longrightarrow 0.$$

(\implies) The proof of this direction is standard and omitted.

(\impliedby) Let (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence in X . Then (πx_n) is a Cauchy sequence in X/M since $\|\pi x_n\| \leq \|x_n\|$. By the completeness of X/M , there exists $\pi x \in X/M$ for some $x \in X$ such that $\|\pi(x - x_n)\| = \|\pi x - \pi x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence there exists a sequence (m_n) in M such that

$$\|x_n - x - m_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

This implies that (m_n) is a Cauchy sequence since (x_n) is Cauchy sequence. By the completeness of M , there exists $m \in M$ such that

$$\|m - m_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence

$$\|x_n - (x + m)\| = \|x_n - x - m_n + m_n - m\| \leq \|x_n - x - m_n\| + \|m_n - m\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which means $x_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x + m$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Remark. A property P is called a *three-space property* if P satisfies a relationship like above. Recall that reflexivity and separability are three-space properties.

Corollary 3. *Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $T, K \in B(X, Y)$. If $\text{Im } T$ is closed and $\text{Im } K$ is finite dimensional, then $\text{Im}(T + K)$ is closed.*

Proof. Write $Z := \text{Im}(T + K) = \text{Im } T + \text{Im } K$. Then Z is a normed space. Since $\text{Im } T$ is closed in the Banach space Y , we have $\text{Im } T$ is complete, thus closed in Z . It follows from $\dim(Z/\text{Im } T) \leq \dim \text{Im } K < \infty$ that $Z/\text{Im } T$ is complete. Applying [Proposition 2](#) to

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Im } T \xrightarrow{\iota} Z \xrightarrow{\pi} Z/\text{Im } T \longrightarrow 0$$

shows that $Z = \text{Im}(T + K)$ is complete, thus closed in Y . \square