

# Topic#11

## Diagonalizability

**Recall:** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  with  $\dim(V) < \infty$ .

$T$  diagonalizable  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  o.b.  $\beta$  of eigenvectors of  $T$

$\therefore$  diagonalizability requires existence of e-vectors

Questions: when “such”  $\beta$  exist?

1°. is there any test?

2°. if exists, is there any way to find it out?

**Thm.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  with  $\dim(V) = n$ . Then if  $T$  has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues, then  $T$  is diagonalizable.

**Pf.** Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  be  $n$  distinct eigenvalues of  $T$ . For each  $\lambda_i$ , let  $v_i$  be an eigenvector associated with  $\lambda_i$ . Let

$$\beta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}.$$

**Claim:**  $\beta$  is linearly independent. (see the pf later)

$\because \dim(V) = n = \#\beta$

$\therefore \beta$  is a basis for  $V$ . So  $\beta$  is an o.b. for  $V$  consisting entirely of eigenvectors of  $T$ . Then  $T$  is diagonalizable.  $\square$

Claim is based on:

**Lemma.** A set of eigenvectors associated with distinct eigenvalues of  $T$  is linearly independent.

**Pf.:** Induction on  $k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \#$  of such set  $S$ .

$k = 1$ :  $S = \{v_1\}$ ,  $0 \neq v_1$  is an eigenvector associated with an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Obvious to see  $S = \{v_1\}$  is l. indep.

Assume “true” for  $k \geq 1$ , to show “true” for  $k + 1$ .

Let  $S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\}$

where  $v_i$  is  $\lambda_i$ -eVector and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}$  distinct.

To show:  $S$  l. indep.

Let  $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i v_i = 0$ . Apply  $T - \lambda_{k+1}I$  to it, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i (T v_i - \lambda_{k+1} v_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i (\lambda_i v_i - \lambda_{k+1} v_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k a_i (\lambda_i - \lambda_{k+1}) v_i. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  l. indep.

$$\therefore a_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{k+1}) = \dots = a_k(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}) = 0$$

$\therefore \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}$  distinct

$$\therefore a_1 = \dots = a_k = 0.$$

Plug to  $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i v_i = 0$ , then  $a_{k+1} v_{k+1} = 0$

$$\therefore a_{k+1} = 0 \quad (v_{k+1} \neq 0).$$

□□

**Warning:** The converse of Thm is false:

i.e. “if  $T$  is diagonalizable then  $T$  has  $n$  distinct e.-Value”

**NOT TRUE**

**e.g.**  $I_V \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  ( $\dim(V) = n$ ):

- diagonalizable  $[I_V]_\beta = I_n$
- only one e.-value=1,  $I_V(v) = 1 \cdot v$

## Let us find Necessary Conditions.

**Observe:** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  with  $\dim(V) = n$ .

1°.  $T$  has at most  $n$  eigenvalues.

2°. If  $T$  is diagonalizable, i.e.  $\exists$  o.b.  $\beta$  s.t.

$$[T]_{\beta} = D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} (\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}),$$

then the c.p. of  $T$  is given by

$$f(t) = \det(D - tI_n) = (-1)^n (t - \lambda_1)(t - \lambda_2) \cdots (t - \lambda_n).$$

Thus it is **necessary** to require there are exactly  $n$  eigenvalues **counting their multiplicity!**

**Any other necessary conditions?**

**Goal:** need compare “multiplicity of  $\lambda$ ” to  $\dim N(T - \lambda)$ !!!

**Def.**  $f(t) \in P(\mathbb{F})$  **splits** over  $\mathbb{F}$  if  $\exists c$  &  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  (not necessarily distinct) in  $\mathbb{F}$  such that

$$f(t) = c(t - a_1) \cdots (t - a_n).$$

e.g. if  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ , then any  $f(t) \in P(\mathbb{C})$  splits over  $\mathbb{C}$

e.g. if  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ , then not all  $f(t) \in P(\mathbb{R})$  can split over  $\mathbb{R}$ , e.g.  
 $f(t) = t^2 + 1$ .

**Prop.** The c.p. of a diagonalizable  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  must split over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

**Pf.** See the previous observation. □



**Observe:** If the c.p.  $f(t)$  splits, i.e.

$$f(t) = c(t - a_1) \cdots (t - a_n),$$

then we may also rewrite it as:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= c(t - a_1)^{m_1} (t - a_2)^{m_2} \cdots (t - a_k)^{m_k} \\ &\quad a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k: \text{distinct in } \mathbb{F} \ (k \leq n) \\ &\quad m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k \geq 1 : m_1 + \cdots + m_k = n \end{aligned}$$

**Def.:** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  be an eigenvalue of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  with c.p.  $f(t)$ . Then, the algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda$  is defined to be the largest positive integer  $k$  for which  $(t - \lambda)^k$  is a factor of  $f(t)$ .

e.g. Let  $m_\lambda$  denote the a.m. of  $\lambda$ , then  $m_{a_i} = m_i$ .

Consider the following issue: If c.p.

$$f(t) = c(t - \lambda_1)^{m_1}(t - \lambda_2)^{m_2} \cdots (t - \lambda_k)^{m_k},$$

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ : distinct eigenvalues,  $m_i = \text{a.m. of } \lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq k,$

then can we know anything on

$$N(T - \lambda_i I_V)$$

in particular, on its dim (**geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda_i$** )?

**We will show:**

1°.  $1 \leq \dim N(T - \lambda_i I_V) \leq m_{\lambda_i}$

2°. (i)  $f_T(t)$  splits, (ii)  $\dim N(T - \lambda_i I_V) = m_{\lambda_i}, 1 \leq i \leq k$

If (i) and (ii) both hold, then  $T$  is diagonalizable.

**Def.** Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ .

$$E_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \in V : T(v) = \lambda v\} = N(T - \lambda I_V),$$

is called the **eigenspace** of  $T$  associated with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ .

**Lemma.**  $1 \leq \dim(E_\lambda) \leq m_\lambda$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $E_\lambda$  is a subspace of  $V$  containing at least one nonzero vector (an eigenvector associated with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ ), then

$$1 \leq \dim(E_\lambda) \leq \dim(V) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n.$$

Let  $p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim(E_\lambda)$ , and  $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$  be an o.b. for  $E_\lambda$ .

Extend  $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$  to o.b.  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_p, v_{p+1}, \dots, v_n\}$  for  $V$ .

Note: For  $i = 1, \dots, p$ ,

$$0 \neq v_i \in E_\lambda = N(T - \lambda I), \text{ i.e., } T(v_i) = \lambda v_i.$$

$$\therefore A \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [T]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I_p \vdots B \\ \cdots \cdots \\ 0 \vdots C \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n} \quad \text{for some B and C}$$

(Get directly from

$$[T]_\beta = ([T(v_1)]_\beta | \cdots | [T(v_p)]_\beta | [T(v_{p+1})]_\beta | \cdots | [T(v_n)]_\beta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{c.p. of } T : f(t) &= \det(A - tI_n) = \det \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda - t)I_p \vdots B \\ \cdots \quad \cdot \quad \cdots \\ 0 \quad \vdots C - tI_{n-p} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det((\lambda - t)I_p) \cdot \det(C - tI_{n-p}) \\ &= (\lambda - t)^p \cdot g(t), \quad \text{for some } g \in P_{n-p}(\mathbb{F}) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \dim(E_\lambda) = p \leq m_\lambda = \text{algebraic multiplicity of } \lambda.$

□

**The next goal:** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ ,  $\dim(V) = n$  with c.p.

$$f(t) = (-1)^n (t - \lambda_1)^{m_1} \cdots (t - \lambda_k)^{m_k}$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ : distinct, and  $m_1 + \dots + m_k = n$ .

We know:

$$1 \leq \dim(E_{\lambda_i}) \leq m_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

**to show the Thm on the next page:**

**Thm.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  with  $\dim(V) < \infty$ . Assume that the c.p. of  $T$  splits over  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  are all the distinct eigenvalues of  $T$ . Then,

(a)  $T$  is diagonalizable **iff**

$$m_{\lambda_i} = \dim(E_{\lambda_i}) \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k;$$

(b) If  $T$  is diagonalizable and  $\beta_i$  is an o.b. for  $E_{\lambda_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ), then

$\beta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta_1 \cup \beta_2 \cup \dots \cup \beta_k$  is an o.b. for  $V$  consisting of e-vectors of  $T$ .

An example of (b) of the Thm will be presented later (the Example.3)

**Lemma:** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  with  $\dim(V) < \infty$ ,  
 $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  be distinct eigenvalues of  $T$ ,  
 $S_1, \dots, S_k$  be (finite) l. indep. subsets of  $E_{\lambda_1}, \dots, E_{\lambda_k}$ , resp.  
Then,

$$S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_k \subset V \quad \text{is l. indep.}$$

**Pf of Lemma:** Set  $n_i = \#S_i$  and  $S_i = \{v_{i1}, \dots, v_{in_i}\} \subset E_{\lambda_i}$ .  
Then,  $S = \cup_{i=1}^k S_i = \{v_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$ .

To show  $S$  is l. indep., let  $\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} a_{ij} v_{ij} = 0$ ,  
rewrite it as  $0 = \sum_{i=1}^k w_i$ , where each  $w_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} a_{ij} v_{ij} \in E_{\lambda_i}$ .

**Claim:**  $w_1 = \dots = w_k = 0$ .

If claim is true, then  $0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} a_{ij} v_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ).

Note,  $S_i$  is l. indep. for each  $i$ ,

hence all  $a_{ij} = 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n_i$ ). Thus  $S$  is l.indep. □

**Pf of Claim:** Otherwise, some  $w_i$  is nonzero.

Remove those zero vectors in  $\sum_{i=1}^k w_i$ , and renumber  $w_i$ , we have

$$w_1 + \dots + w_m = 0 \text{ (each } w_i \in E_{\lambda_i} \text{ is nonzero),}$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , by definition,  $w_i$  is an e-vector of  $\lambda_i$ .

So, this is a contradiction to "a set of eigenvectors of distinct e-values must be l. indep." □□



**Pf of the Thm.** Let  $n = \dim(V)$ ,  $m_i = m_{\lambda_i}$ ,  $d_i = \dim(E_{\lambda_i})$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

**Pf of (a):** " $\Rightarrow$ " Assume:  $T$  is diagonalizable.

$V$  has an o.b.  $\beta$  of e-vectors of  $T$ , set  $\beta_i = \beta \cap E_{\lambda_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .  
We see  $\#\beta_i \leq d_i \leq m_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ), then

$$n = \#\beta = \sum_{i=1}^k \#\beta_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k m_i = n.$$

The second equality is from 'disjoint' of  $\beta_i$

$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^k (m_i - d_i) = 0$  (note:  $m_i - d_i \geq 0$  for each  $i$ )

$\therefore m_i = d_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

" $\Leftarrow$ " Assume:  $m_i = d_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ).

Let  $\beta_i$  be an o.b. for  $E_{\lambda_i}$ , set  $\beta = \beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_k$ .

Note:  $\beta$  is l. indep. and

$$\#\beta = \sum_{i=1}^k \#\beta_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim(E_{\lambda_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i = n.$$

$\therefore \dim(V) = n \therefore \beta$  is an o.b. for  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ .

$\therefore T$  is diagonalizable.

**Pf of (b):** direct consequence of the proof of “ $\Leftarrow$ ” in (a). □

### Sum. Test for Diagonablization:

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  with  $\dim(V) = n$ .

Then,  $T$  is diagonalizable **iff**

1°. The c.p. of  $T$  splits

2°. For each eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $T$ ,

$$\underbrace{m_\lambda}_{\text{algebraic multiplicity of } \lambda} = \underbrace{\dim(E_\lambda)}_{\text{geometric multiplicity of } \lambda}$$

Note:  $\dim(E_\lambda) = n - \text{rank}(E_\lambda)$ .

Remark: For 2°, if  $m_\lambda = 1$ , then 2° always holds true, because in this case

$$1 \leq \dim(E_\lambda) \leq m_\lambda = 1, \text{ then } m_\lambda = \dim(E_\lambda).$$

**Example 1.** Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Determine its diagonalizability.

$$\begin{aligned} 1^\circ. f_A(t) &= \det(A - tI_3) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 3-t & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3-t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4-t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \dots = -(t-4)(t-3)^2. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  The c.p.  $f_A(t)$  of  $A$  splits.

$2^\circ. \lambda_1 = 4, m_{\lambda_1} = 1, \therefore$  2nd condition is satisfied for  $\lambda_1$ .  
 $\lambda_2 = 3, m_{\lambda_2} = 2$ .

$$A - \lambda_1 I_3 = A - 3I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with rank} = 2.$$

$$\therefore \dim(E_{\lambda_2}) = 3 - 2 = 1 < 2 = m_{\lambda_2}$$

$\therefore$  2nd condition fails for  $\lambda_2$ .

Therefore  $A$  is NOT diagonalizable. □

**Example 2.** Let  $T : P_2(\mathbb{R}) = P_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$f \mapsto T(f), T(f(x)) = f(1) + f'(0)x + (f'(0) + f''(0))x^2.$$

(1) Note  $T \in \mathcal{L}(P_2(\mathbb{R}))$ .

Let  $\alpha = \{1, x, x^2\}$ : s.o.b. Compute

$$T(1) = 1$$

$$T(x) = 1 + 1 \cdot x + (1 + 0)x^2 = 1 + x + x^2$$

$$T(x^2) = 1 + 0 \cdot x + (0 + 2)x^2 = 1 + 2x^2$$

$$\therefore [T]_{\alpha} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right).$$



(2) Test diagonalization for  $T$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Let } f_T(t) &= \det([T] - tI_3) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1-t & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1-t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2-t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \dots = -(t-1)^2(t-2)^1.\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore f_T(t)$  splits.

$$\lambda_1 = 1 : m_{\lambda_1} = 2, [T]_{\alpha} - \lambda_1 I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with rank} = 1.$$

$$\therefore \dim(E_{\lambda_1}) = 3 - 1 = 2 = m_{\lambda_1}.$$

$$\lambda_2 = 2, m_{\lambda_2} = 1 = \dim(E_{\lambda_2}).$$

Therefore  $T$  is diagonalizable. □

(3) Goal: Find an o.b.  $\beta$  of  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$  consisting of e-vectors of  $T$

$$\text{so that } [T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Idea:  $T(v) = \lambda v$  ( $v \neq 0$ )  $\Leftrightarrow [T]_{\alpha}[v]_{\alpha} = \lambda[v]_{\alpha}$  ( $[v]_{\alpha} \neq 0$ ).

Thus, goal above is equivalent to find an o.b.  $\gamma$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  consisting of e-vectors of  $[T]_{\alpha}$ , then

$$\beta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Phi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\gamma).$$

Specifically,

$$\lambda_1 = 1 :$$

$$E_{\lambda_1} = N([T]_{\alpha} - 1 \cdot I_3) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \right\}$$

$$\therefore \gamma_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \text{ is a basis for } E_{\lambda_1}.$$

$\lambda_2 = 2 :$

$$E_{\lambda_2} = N([T]_{\alpha} - 2 \cdot I_3) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \right\}$$

$\therefore \gamma_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  is a basis for  $E_{\lambda_2}$ .

Let

$$\gamma = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$\therefore \gamma$  is an o.b. for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $[T]_{\alpha}$ . □

Set

$$\beta = \Phi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\gamma) = \{1, -x + x^2, 1 + x^2\},$$

which is an o.b. for  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ . □□

## Sum.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{T} & V \\ \downarrow \Phi_\alpha & & \downarrow \Phi_\alpha \\ \mathbb{F}^n & \xrightarrow{[T]_\alpha} & \mathbb{F}^n \end{array}$$

1°. Given a diagonalizable  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , find a convenient o.b.  $\alpha$  for  $V$  and work on  $[T]_\alpha$ , i.e. find an o.b.  $\gamma$  of  $\mathbb{F}^n$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $[T]_\alpha$ .

2°. Define

$$\beta \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Phi_\alpha^{-1}(\gamma),$$

then  $\beta$  is an o.b. for  $V$  of eigenvectors of  $T$  ( $\because \Phi_\alpha : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$  is an isomorphism), so that  $[T]_\beta$  is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries given by the corresponding e-values.



**Example 3:** Let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . Assume that  $A$  is diagonalizable. Then,  $f_A(t)$  splits. Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$  be distinct e-values. Let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$  be o.b.'s for e-spaces  $E_{\lambda_1}, \dots, E_{\lambda_k}$ , resp. Note

$$m_{\lambda_i} = \dim(E_{\lambda_i}), \quad n = \sum_{i=1}^k m_{\lambda_i}.$$

$\gamma \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_k$ : o.b. for  $\mathbb{F}^n$  of eigenvectors of  $A$ .

$$\therefore [L_A]_{\gamma} = \left( \begin{array}{ccccccc} \lambda_1 & & & & & & \\ & \dots & & & & & \\ & & \lambda_1 & & & & \\ & & & \dots & & & \\ & & & & \lambda_k & & \\ & & & & & \dots & \\ & & & & & & \lambda_k \end{array} \right) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D.$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{m_1 \text{ terms}}$ 
 $\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{m_k \text{ terms}}$

On the other hand, from Topic#9 (page 6),

$$Q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left( \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \square & \cdots & \square \end{bmatrix}}_{\gamma_1} \mid \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \square & \cdots & \square \end{bmatrix}}_{\gamma_2} \mid \cdots \mid \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \square & \cdots & \square \end{bmatrix}}_{\gamma_k} \right) \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}).$$

$$(\#\gamma_k = \dim(E_{\lambda_k}) = m_k, \quad \sum \#\gamma_k = n)$$

$[L_A]_{\gamma} = Q^{-1}AQ$ . ( $Q = [I]_{\gamma}^{\text{s.o.b.}}$  changing  $\gamma$ -coord. to s.o.b. coord.)

$$\therefore Q^{-1}AQ = D, \text{ i.e. } A = QDQ^{-1}.$$

It is then easier to compute  $A^n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) as

$$A^n = QD^nQ^{-1}.$$

(Only need to compute  $\lambda_i^n$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ )