# **Singular Perturbation of Reduced Wave Equation and Scattering from an Embedded Obstacle**

**Hongyu Liu · Zaijiu Shang · Hongpeng Sun · Jun Zou**

Received: 14 March 2012 / Revised: 7 June 2012 / Published online: 10 July 2012 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2012

**Abstract** We consider time-harmonic wave scattering from an inhomogeneous isotropic medium supported in a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \ge 2$ ). In a subregion  $D \in \Omega$ , the medium is supposed to be lossy and have a large mass density. We study the asymptotic development of the wave field as the mass density  $\rho \to +\infty$  and show that the wave field inside *D* will decay exponentially while the wave filed outside the medium will converge to the one corresponding to a sound-hard obstacle  $D \in \Omega$  buried in the medium supported in  $\Omega\backslash\overline{D}$ . Moreover, the normal velocity of the wave field on ∂ *D* from outside *D* is shown to be vanishing as  $\rho \to +\infty$ . We derive very accurate estimates for the wave field inside and outside *D* and on  $\partial D$  in terms of  $\rho$ , and show that the asymptotic estimates are sharp. The implication of the obtained results is given for an inverse scattering problem of reconstructing a complex scatterer.

**Keywords** Acoustic scattering · Singular perturbation · Embedded obstacle · Complex scatterer · Asymptotic estimates

H. Liu

Z. Shang  $\cdot$  H. Sun ( $\boxtimes$ ) Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China e-mail: hpsun@amss.ac.cn

Z. Shang e-mail: zaijiu@amss.ac.cn

J. Zou

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of North Carolina, Charlotte, NC 28263, USA e-mail: hongyu.liuip@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong e-mail: zou@math.cuhk.edu.hk

## <span id="page-1-3"></span>**1 Introduction**

<span id="page-1-0"></span>We shall be concerned in this paper with the following scalar wave equation (see, e.g., [\[6\]](#page-18-0)):

$$
\frac{1}{c^2(x)}\frac{\partial^2 U(x,t)}{\partial t^2} + \sigma(x)\frac{\partial U(x,t)}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\rho(x)}\nabla U(x,t)\right) = -F(x,t) \tag{1.1}
$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N > 2$ ) and  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . In Eq. [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0),  $U(x, t)$  is the wave field,  $c(x)$ ,  $\sigma(x)$  and  $\rho(x)$  are positive scalar functions and represent the wave velocity, the damping coefficient and the mass density of the medium respectively. It is supposed that the medium is compactly supported in a bounded domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We consider the medium outside  $\Omega$  to be homogeneous and no damping present, so we may assume after normalization that  $c = \tilde{c}_0$ ,  $\rho = 1$ and  $\sigma = 0$  in  $\Omega^c := \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ . Let  $D \in \Omega$  be a subregion of  $\Omega$  and the material parameters inside *D* be given by

$$
c(x) = c_0, \ \sigma(x) = \sigma_0, \ \rho(x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \text{ for } x \in D,
$$
 (1.2)

where  $c_0$ ,  $\sigma_0$  and  $\varepsilon$  are positive constants. This work shall be devoted to the study of the asymptotic development of the wave field  $U(x, t)$  as the mass density  $\rho$  inside *D* tends to infinity, i.e., the parameter  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ . We shall consider the time-harmonic wave propagation, namely to seek a solution of  $(1.1)$  in the following form

$$
U(x,t) = \Re{u(x)e^{-i\omega t}}, \quad F(x,t) = \Re{f(x)e^{-i\omega t}},
$$

where  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_+$  is the frequency. By our earlier assumption on the homogeneous space outside the medium  $\Omega$ , we see the wave number  $k = \omega / \tilde{c}_0$ . We suppose that  $f(x)$  is compactly supported outside the inhomogeneous medium, namely  $supp(f) \subset B_{R_0} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$  for some  $R_0 > 0$ , where and in the sequel  $B_r$  denotes a ball of radius r centered at the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Factorizing out the time-dependent part, the wave Eq.  $(1.1)$  reduces to the following time-harmonic equation:

$$
\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla u\right) + k^2 \left(\frac{\tilde{c}_0^2}{c^2} + i \frac{\sigma \tilde{c}_0}{k}\right) u = f(x) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.3}
$$

<span id="page-1-1"></span>We shall seek the total wave field of  $(1.3)$  admitting the following asymptotic development as  $|x| \to \infty$ :

$$
u(x) = e^{ikx \cdot d} + \frac{e^{ik|x|}}{|x|^{(N-1)/2}} \left\{ \mathcal{A}\left(\hat{x}, d, k\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right) \right\},\tag{1.4}
$$

<span id="page-1-2"></span>where  $e^{ikx \cdot d}$  is the incident field, and  $A(\hat{x}, d, k)$  with  $\hat{x} = x/|x|$  is known as the scattering amplitude (cf. [\[3,](#page-18-1)[7](#page-18-2)]), with *d* ∈  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ . For notational convenience, we set

$$
\gamma = \rho^{-1}, \quad q = \frac{\tilde{c}_0^2}{c^2} + i \frac{\sigma \tilde{c}_0}{k} \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \setminus \overline{D}; \quad \eta_0 = \frac{\tilde{c}_0^2}{c_0^2}, \quad \tau_0 = \frac{\sigma_0 \tilde{c}_0}{k} \quad \text{in} \quad D
$$

and  $u^s(x) = u(x) - u^i(x)$  is the scattered field outside the medium region  $\Omega$ .

Throughout the rest of the paper, we assume that  $\Omega$  and *D* are both bounded  $C^2$  domains such that  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$  and  $\Omega \setminus \overline{D}$  are connected. Let  $q \in L^\infty(\Omega \setminus \overline{D})$  and  $\gamma(x) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega} \setminus D)$  satisfying the following physically meaningful conditions:

$$
\gamma_0 \le \gamma(x) \le \Upsilon_0
$$
,  $\Re q(x) \ge \Gamma_0$ ,  $\Im q(x) \ge 0$  for  $x \in \Omega \setminus \overline{D}$ ,

where  $\gamma_0$ ,  $\gamma_0$ ,  $\Gamma_0$  are positive constants. With all these preparations, we can formulate our interested problem of finding the total wave field  $u(x)$  of form [\(1.4\)](#page-1-2) to the system [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1) as follows: Find  $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$
\begin{cases}\n\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) + k^2 (\eta_0 + i \tau_0) u_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{in } D, \\
\nabla \cdot (\gamma(x) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) + k^2 q(x) u_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{D}, \\
\Delta u_{\varepsilon}^s + k^2 u_{\varepsilon}^s = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \\
u_{\varepsilon} = u^i + u_{\varepsilon}^s & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \\
u_{\varepsilon}^- = u_{\varepsilon}^+, \quad \varepsilon \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^-}{\partial v} = \gamma \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^+}{\partial v} & \text{on } \partial D, \\
u_{\varepsilon}^- = u_{\varepsilon}^s + u^i, \quad \gamma \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^-}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^s}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial v} & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} |x|^{(N-1)/2} \left\{ \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^s}{\partial |x|} - i k u_{\varepsilon}^s \right\} = 0,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.5)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>where v denotes the exterior unit normal to  $\partial D$  or  $\partial \Omega$ . We use the notations  $u_{\varepsilon}^-, u_{\varepsilon}^+$  to represent the limits of  $u_{\varepsilon}$  on  $\partial D$  or  $\partial \Omega$ , taking respectively from inside and outside *D* or  $\Omega$ . The last limit in [\(1.5\)](#page-2-0) is known as the Sommerfeld radiation condition. The well-posedness of the scattering problem  $(1.5)$  is given in the Appendix and the scattering amplitude in  $(1.4)$  can be read off from the large asymptotics of  $u_{\varepsilon}^s$ . It is readily seen that  $u_{\varepsilon}$  depends on  $\varepsilon$  nonlinearly and so does  $u_s^s$ . In order to present the main results of this paper, we introduce the following scattering problem:

Find  $u \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{D})$  such that

$$
\begin{cases}\n\nabla \cdot (\gamma(x)\nabla u) + k^2 q(x)u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{D}, \\
\Delta u^s + k^2 u^s = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \\
u = u^i + u^s & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \\
\gamma \frac{\partial u^+}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial D, \\
u^- = u^s + u^i, \quad \gamma \frac{\partial u^-}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial u^s}{\partial \nu} + \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial \nu} & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} |x|^{(N-1)/2} \left\{ \frac{\partial u^s}{\partial |x|} - iku^s \right\} = 0.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.6)

<span id="page-2-1"></span>One can see from  $(1.6)$  that the normal velocity of the wave field vanishes on the boundary ∂ *D*, so the wave can not penetrate inside *D*. In the acoustic scattering, *D* is known as a *sound-hard* obstacle, so the system [\(1.6\)](#page-2-1) is an obstacle scattering problem with an obstacle buried inside some inhomogeneous medium. We shall show that the solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  of the medium scattering problem  $(1.5)$  will converge to the solution  $u$  of the obstacle scattering problem [\(1.6\)](#page-2-1) as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ , or the density  $\rho$  of the medium *D* tends to infinity. This is reflected by the results in the following three theorems, where *C* and *C* are generic constants, which depend only on  $q$ ,  $k$ ,  $\eta_0$ ,  $\tau_0$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\varepsilon_0$ ,  $D$ ,  $\Omega$ ,  $B_R$ , but completely independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 1.1** *Let*  $u_{\varepsilon} \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  *and*  $u \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{D})$  *be the solutions to* [\(1.5\)](#page-2-0) *and* [\(1.6\)](#page-2-1)*, respectively. Then for any*  $R > R_0$ , there exist  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that the following *estimate holds for*  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ *:* 

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
||u_{\varepsilon} - u||_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{D})} \le C \varepsilon^{1/2} (||u^i||_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega})} + ||f||_{L^2(B_{R_0} \setminus \overline{\Omega})}). \tag{1.7}
$$

*As a consequence, the scattering amplitude*  $A_{\varepsilon}$  *of*  $u_{\varepsilon}^{s}$  *converges to the amplitude*  $A$  *of*  $u^{s}$  *in the following sense that*

$$
\|\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon} - \mathcal{A}\|_{C(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})} \le \widetilde{C}\varepsilon^{1/2} (\|u^i\|_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega})} + \|f\|_{L^2(B_{R_0} \setminus \overline{\Omega})})
$$
(1.8)

<span id="page-3-6"></span>*for some constant*  $C > 0$  *and all*  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ *.* 

<span id="page-3-4"></span>The next theorem characterizes the normal velocity of the wave field  $u_{\varepsilon}$  on the boundary of the medium *D*.

**Theorem 1.2** *For the solution*  $u_{\varepsilon} \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  *to the system* [\(1.5\)](#page-2-0)*, there exists*  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  *such that the following estimate holds for*  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ *:* 

$$
\left\|\gamma \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^+}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial D)} \le C \varepsilon^{1/2} (\|u^i\|_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega})} + \|f\|_{L^2(B_{R_0} \setminus \overline{\Omega})}). \tag{1.9}
$$

<span id="page-3-5"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span>Moreover, the next lemma indicates that the solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  inside the medium *D* decays exponentially.

**Theorem 1.3** *Let*  $D_0$  *be a subdomain such that*  $D_0 \in D$  *with* dist(∂ $D_0$ , ∂ $D$ )  $\geq \delta_0 > 0$ , and <sup>√</sup>η<sup>0</sup> <sup>+</sup> *<sup>i</sup>*τ<sup>0</sup> <sup>=</sup> *<sup>a</sup>* <sup>+</sup> *bi with a* <sup>&</sup>gt; <sup>0</sup>, *<sup>b</sup>* <sup>&</sup>gt; <sup>0</sup>*. Then for the solution u*<sup>ε</sup> <sup>∈</sup> *<sup>H</sup>*<sup>1</sup> *loc*(R*<sup>N</sup>* ) *to the system* [\(1.5\)](#page-2-0)*, there exists*  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  *such that for*  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ *,* 

$$
||u_{\varepsilon}||_{C(D_0)} \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{kb\delta_0}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) (||u^i||_{H^1(B_R\setminus\overline{\Omega})} + ||f||_{L^2(B_{R_0}\setminus\overline{\Omega})}). \tag{1.10}
$$

#### <span id="page-3-7"></span>**2 Discussions**

We are interested in the scattering from a compactly supported inhomogeneous isotropic medium, with a subregion occupied by some medium possessing a large density. Based on our discussions in the previous section, we let

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\{\Omega\backslash\overline{D};\,\gamma,q\}\oplus\{D;\,\varepsilon,\,\eta_0+i\,\tau_0\}\tag{2.1}
$$

<span id="page-3-3"></span>denote the inhomogeneity supported in  $\Omega$  in [\(1.5\)](#page-2-0), and

$$
\{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}; \gamma, q\} \oplus D \tag{2.2}
$$

denote the scatterer in [\(1.6\)](#page-2-1), where *D* is known as an impenetrable *sound-hard obstacle* in the acoustic scattering (cf. [\[3](#page-18-1)]). As it can be seen from  $(1.6)$ , the wave field for a sound-hard obstacle can not penetrate inside and the normal wave velocity vanishes on the exterior boundary of the obstacle. We call the scatterer in [\(2.2\)](#page-3-0), composed of an obstacle and a surrounding inhomogeneous medium as a *complex scatterer*. In this work, we actually show that

$$
\{\Omega\setminus\overline{D};\,\gamma,\,q\}\oplus\{D;\,\varepsilon,\,\eta_0+i\,\tau_0\}\to\{\Omega\setminus\overline{D};\,\gamma,\,q\}\oplus D\quad\text{as}\ \varepsilon\to 0^+,\tag{2.3}
$$

<span id="page-3-2"></span>in the sense of Theorems  $1.1-1.3$  $1.1-1.3$ . That is, a sound-hard obstacle can be treated as a medium with extreme material property, namely with a very large mass density. Despite the nonlinear nature of the convergence [\(2.3\)](#page-3-2), we can still derive very accurate estimates in a general setting. In addition to provide a mathematical characterization of a physically sound-hard obstacle and its asymptotic connection to media with extreme material properties, we would like to note that the results established in this work could have some interesting implication in the inverse scattering problem of reconstructing a complex scatterer. In fact, it can be seen that a complex scatterer could be reconstructed as a medium, and one could locate the embedded obstacle in the reconstruction as the subregion with a large density parameter.

Finally, we make another practically meaningful remark on our study. In [\(2.1\)](#page-3-3), the outer inhomogeneous medium  $\{\Omega \setminus D; \gamma, q\}$  could be anisotropic, for which one could also show the convergence  $(2.3)$  by modifying our arguments in the subsequent sections. However, as mentioned earlier, one of our main motivations is from the inverse scattering problem. If the surrounding medium is anisotropic, one could not uniquely recover a complex scatterer; actually one may have the invisibility or virtual reshaping phenomena (see, e.g. [\[4](#page-18-3)[,9,](#page-18-4)[10](#page-18-5)]). This is why we focus on the isotropic setting in this work. The extreme medium inside *D* is assumed to be lossy, which is a realistic assumption from the practical viewpoint.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sect. [3,](#page-4-0) we prove the main results of this work, and demonstrate the sharpness of our major theoretical estimates by considering a special case based on series expansions in Sect. [4.](#page-12-0)

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>**3 Proofs of the Main Theorems**

<span id="page-4-3"></span>This section is devoted to the proofs of Theorems [1.1–](#page-2-2)[1.3](#page-3-1) in Sect. [1.](#page-1-3) For the purpose we need the following lemma.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Lemma 3.1** *Consider the following transmission problem*

$$
\begin{cases}\n\nabla \cdot (\gamma(x)\nabla v) + k^2 q(x)v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{D}, \\
\Delta u^s + k^2 u^s = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \\
\gamma \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} = p \in H^{-1/2}(\partial D) & \text{on } \partial D, \\
v - u^s = g_1 \in H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega) & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\
\gamma \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial u^s}{\partial v} = g_2 \in H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega) & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\
\lim_{|x| \to +\infty} |x|^{(N-1)/2} \left\{\frac{\partial u^s}{\partial |x|} - iku^s\right\} = 0.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.1)

*There exists a unique solution*  $(v, u^s) \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \overline{D}) \times H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega})$  to [\(3.1\)](#page-4-1), and the solution *satisfies*

$$
\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega \setminus \overline{D})} + \|u^s\|_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega})}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C (\|p\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial D)} + \|g_1\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega)} + \|g_2\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^2(B_{R_0} \setminus \overline{\Omega})}),
$$
\n(3.2)

<span id="page-4-4"></span>*where the positive constant C depends only on*  $\gamma$ ,  $q$ ,  $k$ ,  $\Omega$ ,  $D$  and  $B_R$ , but independent of *p*, *g*1, *g*2, *f .*

<span id="page-4-2"></span>We could not find some references on the well-posedness of the transmission problem [\(3.1\)](#page-4-1), so provide a proof by using a variational technique presented in [\[2\]](#page-18-6) and [\[5\]](#page-18-7). We first demonstrate the following auxiliary lemma.

**Lemma 3.2** *The system* [\(3.1\)](#page-4-1) *is uniquely solvable and it is equivalent to the following truncated system:* find  $(v_1, u_1) \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \overline{D}) \times H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega})$  such that

$$
\begin{cases}\n\nabla \cdot (\gamma(x)\nabla v_1) + k^2 q(x)v_1 = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{D}, \\
\Delta u_1 + k^2 u_1 = f & \text{in } B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \\
\gamma \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} = p & \text{on } \partial D, \\
v_1 - u_1 = g_1 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\
\gamma \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial v} = g_2 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial v} = \Lambda u_1 & \text{on } \partial B_R,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.3)

<span id="page-5-1"></span>*where*  $\Lambda : H^{1/2}(\partial B_R) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial B_R)$  *is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map defined by*  $\Lambda \psi =$  $\frac{\partial W}{\partial v}$  | $\partial B_R$  (cf. [\[2](#page-18-6)[,8](#page-18-8)[,5\]](#page-18-7)), with  $W ∈ H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{B}_R)$  *being the unique solution to the system* 

$$
\begin{cases}\n\Delta W + k^2 W = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{B}_R, \\
W = \psi \in H^{1/2}(\partial B_R) & \text{on } \partial B_R, \\
\lim_{|x| \to +\infty} |x|^{(N-1)/2} \left\{ \frac{\partial W}{\partial |x|} - ikW \right\} = 0.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.4)

*Proof* We first show the uniqueness of the solution  $(v, u^s)$  to system [\(3.1\)](#page-4-1). For the purpose we set  $p$ ,  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$ ,  $f$  to be all zeros. Multiplying the first and second equations of  $(3.1)$ , respectively, by  $\bar{v}$  and  $\bar{u}^s$ , and integrating by parts in  $\Omega\setminus\overline{D}$  and  $B_R\setminus\overline{\Omega}$ , together with the use of the boundary conditions on  $\partial D$  and  $\partial \Omega$ , we have

$$
-\int_{\Omega\setminus\overline{D}}\gamma|\nabla v|^2dx + \int_{\Omega\setminus\overline{D}}k^2q|v|^2dx - \int_{B_R\setminus\overline{\Omega}}|\nabla u^s|^2dx
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{B_R\setminus\overline{\Omega}}k^2|u^2|^2dx + \int_{\partial B_R}\frac{\partial u^s}{\partial v}\overline{u}^s ds = 0.
$$
 (3.5)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Taking the imaginary part of both sides of [\(3.5\)](#page-5-0), we derive

$$
\Im \int\limits_{\partial B_R} \frac{\partial u^s}{\partial \nu} \bar{u}^s ds = - \Im \int\limits_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} k^2 q |\nu|^2 dx \leq 0.
$$

Then by Rellich's lemma (cf. [\[3](#page-18-1)]) we know  $u^s$  is zero outside  $B_R$ , which with the unique continuation implies that  $u^s = 0$  in  $\Omega \setminus \overline{D}$  and  $v = 0$  in *D*.

Next we show the equivalence between systems [\(3.1\)](#page-4-1) and [\(3.3\)](#page-5-1). By the definition of  $\Lambda$ , we see that if  $(v, u^s)$  solves the system [\(3.1\)](#page-4-1), then  $(v_1 = v, u_1 = u^s|_{B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}})$  is the solution to the system  $(3.3)$ . On the other hand, by applying the Green's representation (cf. [\[3\]](#page-18-1)(2.4)) to the solution  $(v_1, u_1)$  of  $(3.3)$  we obtain that

$$
u_1(x) = -\int_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial u_1(y)}{\partial v(y)} \Phi(x, y) - u_1(y) \frac{\partial \Phi(x, y)}{\partial v(y)} \right) ds(y)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{\partial B_R} \left( \Lambda u_1(y) \Phi(x, y) - u_1(y) \frac{\partial \Phi(x, y)}{\partial v(y)} \right) ds(y) - \int_{B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}} f(y) \Phi(x, y) dy,
$$
(3.6)

 $\bigcirc$  Springer

for  $x \in B_R \backslash \Omega$ , where

$$
\Phi(x, y) = \frac{i}{4} \left( \frac{k}{2\pi |x - y|} \right)^{(N-2)/2} H_{(N-2)/2}^{(1)}(k|x - y|)
$$
(3.7)

is the outgoing Green's function. By definition of  $\Lambda$  and the radiation of  $\Phi(x, y)$  (cf. pp. 98) in  $[2]$ , and  $[5]$ 

$$
\int_{\partial B_R} \left( \Lambda u_1(y) \Phi(x, y) - u_1(y) \frac{\partial \Phi(x, y)}{\partial v(y)} \right) ds(y) = 0.
$$

Hence,

$$
u_1(x) = -\int\limits_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial u_1(y)}{\partial v(y)} \Phi(x, y) - u_1(y) \frac{\partial \Phi(x, y)}{\partial v(y)} \right) ds(y) - \int\limits_{B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}} f(y) \Phi(x, y) dy. (3.8)
$$

It is clear that  $u_1$  can be readily extended to an  $H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega})$  function, which we still denote by *u*1. We can see that *u*<sup>1</sup> satisfies the Sommerfeld radiation condition, which together with the uniqueness of solution to [\(3.1\)](#page-4-1) implies that  $u_1 = u^s$ .

With the uniqueness and equivalence in Lemma [3.2,](#page-4-2) we can apply the variational technique to study the reduced problem [\(3.3\)](#page-5-1) to prove Lemma [3.1.](#page-4-3)

*Proof of Lemma* [3.1](#page-4-3) Without of loss generality, we assume  $k^2$  is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  in *B<sub>R</sub>* \ $\Omega$ , and introduce the following auxiliary system

$$
\begin{cases}\n-\Delta \tilde{v} - k^2 \tilde{v} = 0 & \text{in } B_R \backslash \overline{\Omega}, \\
\tilde{v} = g_1 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\
\tilde{v} = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_R.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.9)

It is easy to see  $\|\tilde{v}\|_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega})} \leq C \|g_1\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega)}$ . We now set

$$
w(x) := \begin{cases} v_1(x), & x \in \Omega \setminus \overline{D}, \\ u_1(x) + \tilde{v}(x), & x \in B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}. \end{cases}
$$
(3.10)

We can check that  $w \in H^1(B_R)$  satisfies the following equation:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\nabla \cdot (\gamma(x)\nabla w) + k^2 q(x)w = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{D}, \\
\Delta w + k^2 w = f & \text{in } B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \\
\gamma \frac{\partial w}{\partial v} = p & \text{on } \partial D, \\
w^- = w^+ & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\
\gamma \frac{\partial w^-}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial w^+}{\partial v} + g_2 - \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial v} & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial w}{\partial v} = \Delta w + \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial v} & \text{on } \partial B_R.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.11)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Next, we define  $\Lambda_0: H^{1/2}(\partial B_R) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial B_R)$  by

$$
\Lambda_0 \psi_1 = \frac{\partial W_1}{\partial \nu}\bigg|_{\partial B_R}
$$

where  $W_1 \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{B}_R)$  is the unique solution of the system:

$$
\begin{cases}\n-\Delta W_1 = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{B}_R, \\
W_1 = \psi_1 \in H^{1/2}(\partial B_R) & \text{on } \partial B_R,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.12)

,

 $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$  Springer

and satisfies the decay property at infinity, namely  $W_1 = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-1})$  for  $N = 3$ , and  $W_1 =$  $\mathcal{O}(\log |x|)$  for  $N = 2$ , as  $|x| \to +\infty$ .

<span id="page-7-0"></span>It is known that  $(cf. [2]$  $(cf. [2]$  and  $[5]$  $[5]$ )

$$
-\int_{\partial B_R} \bar{\psi}_1 \Lambda_0 \psi_1 ds \ge 0, \quad \forall \psi_1 \in H^{1/2}(\partial B_R),\tag{3.13}
$$

and  $\Lambda - \Lambda_0$  is compact from  $H^{1/2}(\partial B_R)$  to  $H^{-1/2}(\partial B_R)$ . Then for any  $\varphi \in H^1(B_R)$ , using the test function  $\bar{\varphi}$  we can easily derive the variational formulation of system [\(3.11\)](#page-6-0): find  $w \in H^1(B_R)$  such that

$$
a_1(w, \varphi) + a_2(w, \varphi) = \mathcal{F}(\varphi)
$$
\n(3.14)

where the bilinear forms  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  and the linear functional  $\mathcal F$  are given by

$$
a_1(w, \varphi) := \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} \gamma \nabla w \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi} dy + \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} k^2 w \bar{\varphi} dy + \int_{B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}} \nabla w \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi} dy
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}} k^2 w \bar{\varphi} dy - \int_{\partial B_R} \Lambda_0 w \bar{\varphi} ds,
$$
 (3.15)

$$
a_2(w,\varphi) := -\int\limits_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} k^2(q+1)w\bar{\varphi}dy - 2\int\limits_{B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}} k^2w\bar{\varphi}dy - \int\limits_{\partial B_R} (\Lambda - \Lambda_0)w\bar{\varphi}ds, \quad (3.16)
$$

$$
\mathscr{F}(\varphi) := -\int\limits_{\partial D} p\bar{\varphi}ds + \int\limits_{\partial \Omega} (g_2 - \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \nu})\bar{\varphi}ds + \int\limits_{\partial B_R} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \nu}\bar{\varphi}ds - \int\limits_{B_R} f\bar{\varphi}dy. \tag{3.17}
$$

Using [\(3.13\)](#page-7-0) we can readily verify that for any  $\phi, \varphi \in H^1(B_R)$ ,

$$
|a_1(\phi, \varphi)| \le C_1 \|\phi\|_{H^1(B_R)} \|\varphi\|_{H^1(B_R)} \quad \text{and} \quad a_1(\varphi, \varphi) \ge C_2 \|\varphi\|_{H^1(B_R)}^2 \tag{3.18}
$$

for some constants *C*<sup>1</sup> and *C*2. Then by Lax-Milgram lemma there exists a bounded operator  $\mathcal{L}: H^{1}(B_{R}) \rightarrow H^{1}(B_{R})$  such that

$$
a_1(w, \varphi) = (\mathcal{L}w, \varphi), \quad \forall \varphi, w \in H^1(B_R), \tag{3.19}
$$

where and in the following,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the inner product in  $H^1(B_R)$ . Moreover, the inverse  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  exists and is bounded. By Riesz representation theorem, we also know that there exist bounded operators  $K_1, K_2 : H^1(B_R) \to H^1(B_R)$  such that

$$
a_3(w, \varphi) := \int\limits_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} k^2(q+1)w\bar{\varphi}dy + 2 \int\limits_{B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}} k^2w\bar{\varphi}dy = (\mathcal{K}_1 w, \varphi) \tag{3.20}
$$

<span id="page-7-2"></span><span id="page-7-1"></span>and

$$
a_4(w, \varphi) := \int\limits_{\partial B_R} (\Lambda - \Lambda_0) w \bar{\varphi} ds = (\mathcal{K}_2 w, \varphi).
$$
 (3.21)

We now claim that both  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are compact. In fact, let  $\{w_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a bounded sequence in  $H^1(B_R)$  and  $||w_n||_{H^1(B_R)} \leq M$ , and we can assume that  $w_n \rightharpoonup w_0$  in  $H^1(B_R)$ . Since  $H^1(B_R) \hookrightarrow L^2(B_R)$  is compact, we know  $w_n \to w_0$  in  $L^2(B_R)$ . By [\(3.20\)](#page-7-1) we can write

$$
a_3(w_n - w_0, \varphi) = (\mathcal{K}_1(w_n - w_0), \varphi).
$$
\n(3.22)

 $\circledcirc$  Springer

Taking  $\varphi = \mathcal{K}_1(w_n - w_0)$  and using [\(3.20\)](#page-7-1), we can verify that

$$
\|\mathcal{K}_1(w_n - w_0)\|_{H^1(B_R)} \le 4Mk^2 \max\{\||q+1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \setminus \overline{D})}, 2\} \|\mathcal{K}_1\| \|w_n - w_0\|_{L^2(B_R)} \to 0,
$$

which implies the compactness of  $K_1$ . In a similar manner, we can prove the compactness of  $K_2$ . Indeed, let  $w_n \rightharpoonup w_0$  in  $H^1(B_R)$ , and by trace theorem,  $w_n|_{\partial B_R} \rightharpoonup w_0|_{\partial B_R}$  in  $H^{1/2}(\partial B_R)$ . Since  $\Lambda - \Lambda_0 : H^{1/2}(\partial B_R) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial B_R)$  is compact, we see  $(\Lambda - \Lambda_0)w_n \to$  $($  $($  $\Lambda - \Lambda_0)w_0$  in  $H^{-1/2}(\partial B_R)$ . By [\(3.21\)](#page-7-2) we can write

$$
a_4(w_n - w_0, \varphi) = (\mathcal{K}_2(w_n - w_0), \varphi).
$$

Taking  $\varphi = \mathcal{K}_2(w_n - w_0)$  and using [\(3.21\)](#page-7-2), one has

$$
\|K_2(w_n - w_0)\|_{H^1(B_R)} \le \|(\Lambda - \Lambda_0)(w_n - w_0)\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial B_R)} \|K_2(w_n - w_0)\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial B_R)} \le C_3M\|(\Lambda - \Lambda_0)(w_n - w_0)\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial B_R)} \|K_2\| \to 0,
$$

which implies the compactness of  $K_2$ .

Since  $\mathcal L$  is bounded and invertible, and  $\mathcal K_1 + \mathcal K_2$  is compact, we know  $\mathcal L - (\mathcal K_1 + \mathcal K_2)$  is a Fredholm operator of index zero. By the uniqueness of [\(3.1\)](#page-4-1),  $(L-(K_1 + K_2))^{-1}$  is bounded. On the other hand, it is straightforward to show

$$
|F(\varphi)| \le C(||p||_{H^{-1/2}(\partial D)} + ||g_1||_{H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega)} + ||g_2||_{H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega)} + ||f||_{L^2(B_{R_0+1} \setminus B_{R_0})}) ||\varphi||_{H^1(B_R)},
$$
  
which readily implies (3.2).

<span id="page-8-3"></span>The next lemma presents some important a priori estimates of the solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  to [\(1.5\)](#page-2-0) in terms of  $\varepsilon$ .

<span id="page-8-2"></span>**Lemma 3.3** *Let*  $u_{\varepsilon} \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  *be the unique solution to [\(1.5\)](#page-2-0). There exists*  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  *such that the following estimates hold for all*  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ *,* 

$$
||u_{\varepsilon}||_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{D})} \le C_1(||f||_{L^2(B_{R_0} \setminus \overline{\Omega})} + ||u^i||_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega})}), \tag{3.23}
$$

$$
\sqrt{\varepsilon} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^1(D)} \le C_2 (\|f\|_{L^2(B_{R_0}\setminus\overline{\Omega})} + \|u^i\|_{H^1(B_R\setminus\overline{\Omega})})
$$
(3.24)

*where the constants*  $C_1$  *and*  $C_2$  *are independent of*  $\varepsilon$ *.* 

*Proof* Multiplying  $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}$  to the both sides of the first and second equations of [\(1.5\)](#page-2-0) and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we have

$$
-\int_{D} \varepsilon |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dy + \int_{D} k^{2} (\eta_{0} + i \tau_{0}) |u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dy - \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} \gamma |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dy
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} k^{2} q |u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \gamma \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu} \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} ds = 0.
$$
 (3.25)

<span id="page-8-1"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>Then multiplying  $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^s$  to the both sides of the third equation of [\(1.5\)](#page-2-0) and integrating over  $B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ , we obtain

$$
-\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^{s}}{\partial v} \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{s} ds + \int_{\partial B_{R}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^{s}}{\partial v} \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{s} ds - \int_{B_{R}\setminus\overline{\Omega}} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{s}|^{2} dy
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{B_{R}\setminus\overline{\Omega}} k^{2} |u_{\varepsilon}^{s}|^{2} dy = \int_{B_{R}\setminus\overline{\Omega}} f \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{s} dy.
$$
 (3.26)

 $\circled{2}$  Springer

By adding up  $(3.25)$  and  $(3.26)$ , using the corresponding transmission conditions and then taking the imaginary and real parts of the resulting equation, we derive

$$
\int_{D} k^{2} \tau_{0} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dy + \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} k^{2} \Im q |u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dy + \Im \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^{s}}{\partial \nu} \bar{u}^{i} ds + \Im \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u^{i}}{\partial \nu} \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{s} ds \n+ \Im \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u^{i}}{\partial \nu} \bar{u}^{i} ds + \Im \int_{\partial B_{R}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^{s}}{\partial \nu} \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{s} ds = \Im \int_{B_{R} \setminus \overline{\Omega}} f \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{s} dy
$$
\n(3.27)

<span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>and

$$
-\int_{D} \varepsilon |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dy + \int_{D} k^{2} \eta_{0} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dy - \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} \gamma |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dy
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} k^{2} \Re q |u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dy + \Re \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^{s}}{\partial \nu} \bar{u}^{i} ds + \Re \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u^{i}}{\partial \nu} \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{s} ds
$$
  
+ 
$$
\Re \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u^{i}}{\partial \nu} \bar{u}^{i} ds + \Re \int_{\partial B_{R}} \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^{s}}{\partial \nu} \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{s} ds - \int_{B_{R} \setminus \overline{\Omega}} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{s}|^{2} dy
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{B_{R} \setminus \overline{\Omega}} k^{2} |u_{\varepsilon}^{s}|^{2} dy = \Re \int_{B_{R} \setminus \overline{\Omega}} f \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^{s} dy.
$$
 (3.28)

<span id="page-9-2"></span>From [\(3.27\)](#page-9-0), one has by direct verification that

$$
\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(D)}^{2} \leq \widetilde{C} \left( \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega \setminus \overline{D})}^{2} + (\|u^{i}\|_{H^{1}(B_{R}\setminus \overline{\Omega})} + \|u_{\varepsilon}^{s}\|_{H^{1}(B_{R}\setminus \overline{\Omega})})^{2} + \|f\|_{L^{2}(B_{R}\setminus \overline{\Omega})} \|u_{\varepsilon}^{s}\|_{H^{1}(B_{R}\setminus \overline{\Omega})} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\leq 8\widetilde{C} \left( \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^{1}(B_{R}\setminus \overline{D})}^{2} + \|u^{i}\|_{H^{1}(B_{R}\setminus \overline{\Omega})}^{2} + \|f\|_{L^{2}(B_{R}\setminus \overline{\Omega})}^{2} \right),
$$
\n(3.29)

<span id="page-9-3"></span>where *C* depends only on  $\eta_0$ ,  $\tau_0$ ,  $k$ ,  $q$ ,  $\Omega$ ,  $B_R$ . We can readily check by [\(3.28\)](#page-9-1) that

$$
\int_{D} \varepsilon |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dy \leq \widetilde{C}_{2} \Big( \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(D)}^{2} + \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^{1}(B_{R}\setminus\overline{D})}^{2} + \|u^{i}\|_{H^{1}(B_{R}\setminus\overline{\Omega})}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
+ \|f\|_{L^{2}(B_{R}\setminus\overline{\Omega})} \|u_{\varepsilon}^{s}\|_{H^{1}(B_{R}\setminus\overline{\Omega})} \Big), \tag{3.30}
$$

where  $C_2$  depends only on *k*,  $\eta_0$ , *q*,  $\gamma$ ,  $\Omega$ ,  $B_R$ . Combining [\(3.29\)](#page-9-2) and [\(3.30\)](#page-9-3), we see that there exists a constant  $C_3$  dependent only on  $k, q, \eta_0, \tau_0, \gamma, \Omega, B_R$ , such that for  $\varepsilon < 1$ ,

$$
\sqrt{\varepsilon} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^1(D)} \leq \widetilde{C}_3 \left( \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{D})}^2 + \|u^i\|_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega})}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2(B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega})}^2 \right)^{1/2}.
$$
 (3.31)

<span id="page-9-4"></span>Next, we prove [\(3.23\)](#page-8-2) by contradiction. Suppose (3.23) is not true, then without loss of generality, we can assume that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $f^n$  and  $u^i_n$  such that  $|| f^n ||_{L^2(B_{R_0} \setminus \overline{\Omega})}$  +  $||u_n^i||_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega}} = 1$  and the corresponding solution  $u_{\varepsilon}^n$  tends to infinity, i.e.,  $||u_{\varepsilon}^n||_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{D})} \to$   $+\infty$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ . Let

$$
v_{\varepsilon,n} = \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^n}{\|u_{\varepsilon}^n\|_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{D})}}, \quad v_{\varepsilon,n}^i = \frac{u^i}{\|u_{\varepsilon}^n\|_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{D})}},
$$
  

$$
f_{\varepsilon}^n = \frac{f^n}{\|u_{\varepsilon}^n\|_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{D})}}, \quad v_{\varepsilon,n}^s = \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{n,s}}{\|u_{\varepsilon}^n\|_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{D})}}.
$$
 (3.32)

Clearly,  $v_{\varepsilon,n} \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is the unique solution of [\(1.5\)](#page-2-0) with the incident wave  $v_{\varepsilon,n}^i$  and the source  $f_{\varepsilon}^n$ . We have

$$
||v_{\varepsilon,n}||_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{D})} = 1, \quad ||f_{\varepsilon}^n||_{L^2(B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega})} \to 0, \quad ||v_{\varepsilon,n}^i||_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega})} \to 0. \tag{3.33}
$$

<span id="page-10-1"></span>By a completely similar argument as we did in deriving  $(3.31)$ , we can show that for sufficiently large *n*,

$$
\sqrt{\varepsilon} \|v_{\varepsilon,n}\|_{H^1(D)} \leq \widetilde{C}_3 \left( \|v_{\varepsilon,n}\|_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{D})}^2 + \|v_{\varepsilon,n}^i\|_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega})}^2 + \|f_{\varepsilon}^n\|_{L^2(B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega})}^2 \right)^{1/2} (3.34)
$$
  
 
$$
\leq \widetilde{C}_3 \sqrt{2}.
$$

<span id="page-10-0"></span>By taking the trace and using the transmission condition on ∂ *D* and [\(3.34\)](#page-10-0), we know the existence of a constant  $C_4$  depending only on  $D$  such that

$$
\left\| \gamma \frac{\partial v_{\varepsilon,n}^+}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial D)} = \left\| \varepsilon \frac{\partial v_{\varepsilon,n}^-}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial D)} \le \widetilde{C}_4 \widetilde{C}_3 \sqrt{2} \varepsilon^{1/2}.
$$
 (3.35)

<span id="page-10-2"></span>Noting that  $(v_{\varepsilon,n}|_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}}, v_{\varepsilon,n}^s|_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}})$  is the unique solution of [\(3.1\)](#page-4-1) with  $p = \gamma \frac{\partial v_{\varepsilon,n}^+}{\partial \nu} |_{\partial D}, g_1 =$  $v_{\varepsilon,n}^i|_{\partial\Omega}, g_2 = \frac{\partial v_{\varepsilon,n}^i}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega},$  then by Lemma [3.1](#page-4-3) we have

$$
\|v_{\varepsilon,n}\|_{H^1(B_R\setminus\overline{D})}\n\leq C\left(\left\|\gamma\frac{\partial v_{\varepsilon,n}^+}{\partial\nu}\right\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial D)} + \left\|f_{\varepsilon}^n\right\|_{L^2(B_R\setminus\overline{\Omega})} + \left\|v_{\varepsilon,n}^i\right\|_{H^1(B_R\setminus\overline{\Omega})}\right).
$$
\n(3.36)

<span id="page-10-3"></span>By [\(3.33\)](#page-10-1), [\(3.35\)](#page-10-2) and [\(3.36\)](#page-10-3), we further derive

 $||v_{\varepsilon,n}||_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{D})} \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+,$ 

which contradicts with the equality  $\|v_{\varepsilon,n}\|_{H^1(B_R\setminus\overline{D})} = 1$  and thus proves [\(3.23\)](#page-8-2).

Now by combining  $(3.23)$  with  $(3.31)$ , we obtain  $(3.24)$ .

We are now in a position to present the proofs of Theorems [1.1–](#page-2-2)[1.3.](#page-3-1)

*Proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-3-4)* This is a direct consequence of [\(3.24\)](#page-8-2) in Lemma [3.3.](#page-8-3) Indeed, by taking the trace on  $\partial D$ , we see

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon^-}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial D)}\leq \widetilde{C}\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(D)},
$$

where *C* depends only on *D*. Then by the transmission condition on  $\partial D$ , we readily derive [\(1.9\)](#page-3-5):

$$
\left\|\gamma \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^+}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial D)} = \left\|\varepsilon \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^-}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial D)} \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2} \left(\|f\|_{L^2(B_{R_0}\setminus \overline{\Omega})} + \|u^i\|_{H^1(B_R\setminus \overline{\Omega})}\right).
$$

 $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$  Springer

*Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-2)* Let  $V = u_{\varepsilon} - u$ ,  $V^s = u_{\varepsilon}^s - u^s$ . One can verify directly that *V* satisfies Eq. [\(3.1\)](#page-4-1) with  $f = 0$ ,  $p = \gamma \frac{\partial V}{\partial v} = \gamma \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^+}{\partial v} |_{\partial D}$  and  $g_1 = g_2 = 0$ . Then by Lemma [3.1](#page-4-3) and Theorem [1.2,](#page-3-4) we have

$$
\|u_{\varepsilon} - u\|_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{D})} = \|V\|_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{D})} \le C \left\|\gamma \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^+}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial D)}
$$
\n
$$
\le C \varepsilon^{1/2} \left( \|f\|_{L^2(B_{R_0} \setminus \overline{\Omega})} + \|u^i\|_{H^1(B_R \setminus \overline{\Omega})} \right). \tag{3.37}
$$

<span id="page-11-1"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>Finally we know from  $\lceil 3 \rceil$  (pp. 21) that

$$
\left(\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon} - \mathcal{A}\right)(\hat{x}) = \zeta \int\limits_{\partial B_R} \left\{ V^s \frac{e^{-ik\hat{x} \cdot y}}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial V^s}{\partial v} e^{-ik\hat{x} \cdot y} \right\} ds(y), \quad \hat{x} \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \tag{3.38}
$$

where  $\zeta = 1/4\pi$  for  $N = 3$  and  $\zeta = \frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}}{\sqrt{8\pi k}}$  for  $N = 2$ . Using [\(3.37\)](#page-11-0) and [\(3.38\)](#page-11-1), one can derive  $(1.8)$  by some straightforward estimates.

*Proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-3-1)* We shall make use of the following integral representation of the wave field inside  $D$  (cf. [\[3\]](#page-18-1)):

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x) = \int_{\partial D} \left\{ \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^{-}}{\partial \nu} (y) G(x, y) - u_{\varepsilon}^{-} (y) \frac{\partial G(x, y)}{\partial \nu(y)} \right\} ds(y), \quad x \in D, \quad (3.39)
$$

<span id="page-11-4"></span>where  $G(x, y)$  is the fundamental solution corresponding to the first equation of [\(1.5\)](#page-2-0) and is given by

$$
G(x, y) = \frac{e^{i\tilde{k}|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|} \text{ for } N = 3; \quad G(x, y) = \frac{i}{4}H_0^{(1)}(\tilde{k}|x-y|) \text{ for } N = 2, (3.40)
$$

with  $\tilde{k} = k(a + ib)\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ .

Next, we shall only prove the theorem for the 3D case and the 2D case could be proved in a similar manner. For *x* ∈ *D*<sub>0</sub> and *y* ∈  $\partial D$ , since  $|x - y| \ge \delta_0$ , it can be verified by straightforward calculations that

$$
\left|\frac{e^{i\tilde{k}|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}\right| \leq \frac{e^{-kb\delta_0\varepsilon^{-1/2}}}{4\pi\delta_0},
$$
\n
$$
\left|\nabla_y \frac{e^{i\tilde{k}|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}\right| \leq \frac{e^{-kb\delta_0\varepsilon^{-1/2}}}{4\pi\delta_0} \left[\frac{k\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{\delta_0}\right].
$$
\n(3.41)

<span id="page-11-2"></span>On the other hand, by [\(3.24\)](#page-8-2) in Lemma [3.3](#page-8-3) we see that

$$
\|u_{\varepsilon}^{-}\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial D)} \leq C\varepsilon^{-1/2} \left( \|f\|_{L^{2}(B_{R_{0}}\setminus\overline{\Omega})} + \|u^{i}\|_{H^{1}(B_{R}\setminus\overline{\Omega})} \right),
$$
  

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^{-}}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial D)} \leq C\varepsilon^{-1/2} \left( \|f\|_{L^{2}(B_{R_{0}}\setminus\overline{\Omega})} + \|u^{i}\|_{H^{1}(B_{R}\setminus\overline{\Omega})} \right).
$$
 (3.42)

<span id="page-11-3"></span>Now using  $(3.41)$  and  $(3.42)$  in  $(3.39)$ , one can obtain  $(1.10)$  by straightforward calculations.

 $\Box$ 

### <span id="page-12-0"></span>**4 A Special Case and Sharpness of Convergence Estimates**

In this section, we shall consider a special case of the model system  $(1.5)$ : *D* is the ball  $B_{R_1}$  of radius  $R_1$ , and only the subregion  $D$  is occupied by the inhomogeneous medium in the whole space  $R^N$ , and the rest is the homogeneous background, so we have  $\gamma = 1$  and  $q = 1$  in [\(1.5\)](#page-2-0). Moreover, we consider the scattering only from plane wave incidence, namely,  $f = 0$ . We shall derive the corresponding estimates of the wave field, which shall demonstrate the sharpness of our convergence estimates in Sect. [3.](#page-4-0) We will consider only the 3D case while the 2D case could be treated in a similar manner.

In our current special setting, we can rewrite the Eq.  $(1.5)$  as follows: Find  $u_{\varepsilon}(x) \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  which solves the system

$$
\begin{cases}\n\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) + k^2 (\eta_0 + i \tau_0) u_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{in } D, \\
\Delta u_{\varepsilon} + k^2 u_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{D}, \\
u_{\varepsilon}(x) = e^{ikx \cdot d} + u_{\varepsilon}^s(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{D}, \\
u_{\varepsilon} = u_{\varepsilon}^+, \quad \varepsilon \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^-}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^+}{\partial \nu} & \text{on } \partial D, \\
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} |x| \left\{ \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^s}{\partial |x|} - i k u_{\varepsilon}^s \right\} = 0,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(4.1)

<span id="page-12-2"></span><span id="page-12-1"></span>and the Eq. [\(1.6\)](#page-2-1) with *D* as a sound-hard obstacle reduces to

$$
\begin{cases}\n\Delta u + k^2 u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{D}, \\
u(x) = e^{ikx \cdot d} + u^s(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{D}, \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial D, \\
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} |x| \left\{ \frac{\partial u^s}{\partial |x|} - iku^s \right\} = 0.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(4.2)

In the sequel, we let  $q_0 = (\eta_0 + i\tau_0)/\varepsilon$  and  $\sqrt{q_0} = \varepsilon^{-1/2}(a + bi)$  with  $a > 0$ ,  $b > 0$ . We shall make use of the spherical wave series expansions of the wave fields in  $(4.1)$  and  $(4.2)$ , and we refer to [\[3\]](#page-18-1) for a detailed discussion about spherical wave functions. Let  $u_{\varepsilon}(x)$  and  $u_{\varepsilon}^{s}$  be given by the following series:

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} b_{n}^{m} j_{n}(k\sqrt{q_{0}}|x|) Y_{n}^{m}(\hat{x}), \quad x \in B_{R_{1}},
$$
  

$$
u_{\varepsilon}^{s}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} a_{n}^{m} h_{n}^{(1)}(k|x|) Y_{n}^{m}(\hat{x}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \overline{B}_{R_{1}},
$$
\n(4.3)

<span id="page-12-5"></span><span id="page-12-3"></span>where  $\hat{x} = x/|x|$ , and  $u^s(x)$  be given by

$$
u^{s}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} c_{n}^{m} h_{n}^{(1)}(k|x|) Y_{n}^{m}(\hat{x}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \overline{B}_{R_{1}}.
$$
 (4.4)

<span id="page-12-4"></span>We shall make use of the following series representation of the plane wave

$$
e^{ikx \cdot d} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} i^{n} 4\pi \overline{Y_{n}^{m}(d)} j_{n}(k|x|) Y_{n}^{m}(\hat{x}). \tag{4.5}
$$

<sup>2</sup> Springer

By [\(4.3\)](#page-12-3) and [\(4.5\)](#page-12-4), and using the boundary condition on  $\partial D$ , we know

$$
c_n^m = \frac{-i^n 4\pi \overline{Y_n^m(d)} j_n'(kR_1)}{h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1)}.
$$

Next, by the transmission boundary conditions in [\(4.1\)](#page-12-1) and comparing the coefficients of  $Y_n^m(\hat{x})$  we derive

$$
\begin{cases}\n b_n^m j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1) = a_n^m h_n^{(1)}(kR_1) + i^n 4\pi \overline{Y_n^m(d)} j_n(kR_1), \\
 \varepsilon k \sqrt{q_0} b_n^m j_n'(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1) = k a_n^m h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1) + i^n k 4\pi \overline{Y_n^m(d)} j_n'(kR_1).\n\end{cases} (4.6)
$$

<span id="page-13-1"></span><span id="page-13-0"></span>Solving the Eq. [\(4.6\)](#page-13-0), we obtain

$$
a_n^m = \frac{i^n 4\pi \overline{Y_n^m(d)} j_n'(kR_1) j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1) - \varepsilon \sqrt{q_0} i^n 4\pi \overline{Y_n^m(d)} j_n'(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1) j_n(kR_1)}{\varepsilon \sqrt{q_0} j_n'(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1) h_n^{(1)}(kR_1) - h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1) j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1)}},
$$
  
\n
$$
b_n^m = \frac{-i^n 4\pi \overline{Y_n^m(d)} j_n(kR_1) h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1) + i^n 4\pi \overline{Y_n^m(d)} h_n^{(1)}(kR_1) j_n'(kR_1)}{\varepsilon \sqrt{q_0} j_n'(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1) h_n^{(1)}(kR_1) - h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1) j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1)}.
$$
\n
$$
(4.7)
$$

We first consider two wave fields outside *D* and show the following lemma, which indicates the sharpness of the estimates in Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-2)

**Lemma 4.1** *For the far field patterns*  $A_{\varepsilon}$  *and*  $A$  *corresponding to the solutions*  $u_{\varepsilon}$  *and*  $u$  *of systems [\(4.1\)](#page-12-1) and [\(4.2\)](#page-12-2), we have*

$$
\left| \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}) - \mathcal{A}(\hat{x}) \right| = C_{\mathcal{A}} \varepsilon^{1/2} + O(\varepsilon), \quad \forall \hat{x} \in \mathbb{S}^2 \tag{4.8}
$$

<span id="page-13-3"></span>*where*  $C_A$  *depends only on*  $\eta_0$ ,  $\tau_0$ ,  $k$ ,  $R_1$ ,  $d$ .

<span id="page-13-2"></span>*Proof* In fact, by [\(4.3\)](#page-12-3) and [\(4.4\)](#page-12-5), we have

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \frac{1}{i^{n+1}} a_n^m Y_n^m(\hat{x}),
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{A}(\hat{x}) = \frac{i}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 4\pi \frac{j_n'(kR_1)}{h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1)} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \overline{Y_n^m(d)} Y_n^m(\hat{x}).
$$
\n(4.9)

But it follows from [\(4.7\)](#page-13-1) that

$$
a_n^m = \frac{i^n 4\pi \overline{Y_n^m(d)} j_n'(kR_1) - T(q_0, n)i^n 4\pi \overline{Y_n^m(d)} j_n(kR_1)}{T(q_0, n) h_n^{(1)}(kR_1) - h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1)}
$$
(4.10)

with

$$
T(q_0, n) := \varepsilon \sqrt{q_0} \frac{j'_n (k \sqrt{q_0} R_1)}{j_n (k \sqrt{q_0} R_1)}.
$$

Next, we derive the asymptotic development of  $T(q_0, n)$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ . Noting that  $j'_n(z) = n$  $\frac{n}{z}$  *j<sub>n</sub>*(*z*) – *j<sub>n+1</sub>*(*z*) (cf. [\[3\]](#page-18-1)), we see

$$
j'_{n}(k\sqrt{q_{0}}R_{1}) = \frac{n}{k\sqrt{q_{0}}R_{1}}j_{n}(k\sqrt{q_{0}}R_{1}) - j_{n+1}(k\sqrt{q_{0}}R_{1}),
$$

 $\circledcirc$  Springer

<span id="page-14-0"></span>then

$$
T(q_0, n) = \varepsilon \sqrt{q_0} \left[ \frac{n}{k \sqrt{q_0} R_1} - \frac{j_{n+1}(k \sqrt{q_0} R_1)}{j_n(k \sqrt{q_0} R_1)} \right] = \frac{n\varepsilon}{k R_1} - \varepsilon \sqrt{q_0} \frac{j_{n+1}(k \sqrt{q_0} R_1)}{j_n(k \sqrt{q_0} R_1)}.
$$
(4.11)

In virtue of the asymptotic behavior of  $j_n(z)$  (cf. 9.2.1 and 10.1.1 [\[1](#page-18-9)]) as  $|z| \to \infty$  and  $|\arg z| < \pi$ , one has

$$
j_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} \{ \cos(z - n\pi/2 - \pi/2) + e^{|\Im z|} \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1}) \}
$$
(4.12)

<span id="page-14-6"></span>and as  $\varepsilon \to +0$  (cf. [\[10](#page-18-5)]), one also has

$$
\frac{j_{n+1}(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1)}{j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1)} \sim e^{i\pi/2}.
$$
\n(4.13)

<span id="page-14-5"></span>Combining  $(4.11)$ – $(4.13)$ , one has by direct calculations

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
|T(q_0, n)| \le \left| \frac{n\varepsilon}{kR_1} \right| + \left| \varepsilon \sqrt{q_0} \frac{j_{n+1}(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1)}{j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1)} \right| = \mathcal{O}(n\varepsilon + \sqrt{\varepsilon}). \tag{4.14}
$$

<span id="page-14-4"></span>Now, by  $(4.9)$ , we have

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}) - \mathcal{A}(\hat{x}) = \frac{i}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \left\{ \frac{-1}{i^n} a_n^m - 4\pi \frac{j_n'(kR_1)}{h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1)} \overline{Y_n^m(d)} \right\} Y_n^m(\hat{x}). \tag{4.15}
$$

In the sequel, we let

$$
q_n^m = \frac{-1}{i^n} a_n^m - 4\pi \frac{J'_n(kR_1)}{h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1)} \overline{Y_n^m(d)}.
$$

By using the Wronskian  $j_n(t)y'_n(t) - j'_n(t)y_n(t) = 1/t^2$ , we then have

$$
q_n^m = \frac{i T(q_0, n) 4\pi \overline{Y_n^m(d)}}{k^2 R_1^2 [T(q_0, n) h_n^{(1)}(kR_1) - h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1)] h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1)}.
$$

Next by the asymptotic behavior of  $h_n^{(1)}(kR_1)$  (cf. [\[3\]](#page-18-1)),

$$
h_n^{(1)}(kR_1) \sim \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n-1)}{i(kR_1)^{n+1}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{n})), \quad n \to +\infty,
$$

and also using the relation  $h_n^{(1)'}(z) = -h_{n+1}^{(1)}(z) + \frac{n}{z}h_n^{(1)}(z)$ , we have

$$
q_n^m \sim i \frac{4\pi \overline{Y_n^m(d)}}{k^2 R_1^2 h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1)^2} \frac{\frac{n\varepsilon}{kR_1} - \varepsilon \sqrt{q_0} e^{i\pi/2}}{\{(\frac{n\varepsilon}{kR_1} - \varepsilon \sqrt{q_0} e^{i\pi/2}) \frac{-kR_1}{n+1} - 1\}}.
$$
(4.16)

<span id="page-14-2"></span>By [\(4.16\)](#page-14-2) and [\(4.18\)](#page-15-0), one readily sees that for sufficiently large *n* and small  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$
q_n^m Y_n^m(\hat{x}) \sim -\frac{4\pi \overline{Y_n^m(d)} Y_n^m(\hat{x})}{k^2 R_1^2 h_n^{(1)'} (kR_1)^2} \varepsilon^{1/2} (a^2 + b^2)^{1/2} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon),
$$
\n(4.17)

<span id="page-14-3"></span>so constant  $C_A$  in [\(4.8\)](#page-13-3) can be chosen as

$$
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-n}^{n}\frac{4\pi\,\overline{Y_{n}^{m}(d)}Y_{n}^{m}(\hat{x})}{k^{3}R_{1}^{2}h_{n}^{(1)'}(kR_{1})^{2}}(a^{2}+b^{2})^{1/2}\right|.
$$

<sup>2</sup> Springer

<span id="page-15-0"></span>Noting that for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  (cf. [\[3](#page-18-1)]),

$$
|\overline{Y_n^m(d)}Y_n^m(\hat{x})| \le \frac{2n+1}{4\pi},
$$
\n(4.18)

hence  $C_A$  is bounded. Finally, using [\(4.17\)](#page-14-3) and the asymptotic development of  $h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1)$ for large *n* (cf. [\[3](#page-18-1)]), one can show  $(4.8)$  from  $(4.15)$  by direct calculations.

Next, we consider the normal velocity of the wave field  $u_{\varepsilon}$  on  $\partial B_{R_1}$  and show that there exists a constant  $C_v$  which depends only on k,  $R_1$ , d,  $\eta_0$ ,  $\tau_0$  such that

$$
\left\| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^+}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial B_{R_1})} = C_{\nu} \varepsilon^{1/2} + O(\varepsilon). \tag{4.19}
$$

<span id="page-15-1"></span>Clearly the estimate [\(4.19\)](#page-15-1) shows the sharpness of the estimate in Theorem [1.2.](#page-3-4)

In fact, by the transmission condition on  $\partial B_{R_1}$  we have

$$
\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^+}{\partial \nu} = \varepsilon \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^-}{\partial \nu} |_{\partial B_{R_1}} = \varepsilon k \sqrt{q_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^n b_n^m j_n'(k \sqrt{q_0} R_1) Y_n^m(\hat{x}).
$$

<span id="page-15-2"></span>Using the Wronskian relation,  $j_n(t)y'_n(t) - j'_n(t)y_n(t) = 1/t^2$ , we get

$$
b_n^m j_n'(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1) = \frac{-i^{n+1}4\pi \overline{Y_n^m(d)}}{k^2 R_1^2 \{T(q_0, n)h_n^{(1)}(kR_1) - h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1)\}} \frac{j_n'(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1)}{j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1)}.
$$
(4.20)

<span id="page-15-3"></span>By direct calculations we obtain

$$
\|\varepsilon \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^{-}}{\partial \nu}\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial B_{R_1})}
$$
\n
$$
= \varepsilon |k\sqrt{q_0}| \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \left( 1 + \frac{n(n+1)}{R_1^2} \right)^{-1/2} |b_n^m j_n'(k\sqrt{q_0} R_1) R_1|^2 \right)^{1/2}.
$$
\n(4.21)

Then by [\(4.14\)](#page-14-5), [\(4.20\)](#page-15-2) and the asymptotic behaviors of  $h_n^{(1)}(kR_1)$  and  $h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1)$  for large *n* (cf.  $[3]$  $[3]$ ), one can show that the series involved in  $(4.21)$  converges to

$$
l_0 := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \left( 1 + \frac{n(n+1)}{R_1^2} \right)^{-1/2} \frac{16\pi^2 |\overline{Y_n^m(d)}|^2}{k^4 R_1^2 |h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1)|^2}
$$

as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ . Hence, for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small we have

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^{+}}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial B_{R_1})} = \left\|\varepsilon \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^{-}}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial B_{R_1})} = C_{\nu}\sqrt{\varepsilon} + O(\varepsilon),\tag{4.22}
$$

with  $C_v = 2k\sqrt{l_0}(a^2 + b^2)^{1/2}$ .

Finally, we consider the wave field  $u_{\varepsilon}$  inside  $B_{R_2} \in B_{R_1}$  with  $\delta_0 = R_1 - R_2 > 0$ . By [\(4.3\)](#page-12-3), it suffices for us to consider the asymptotic development of  $b_n^m j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}|x|)$  for  $|x| \le R_2$ . We first note that

$$
b_n^m j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}|x|) = b_n^m j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1) \frac{j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}|x|)}{j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1)}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{-i^{n+1}4\pi \overline{Y_n^m(d)}}{k^2 R_1^2 \{T(q_0, n)h_n^{(1)}(kR_1) - h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1)\}} \frac{j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}|x|)}{j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1)}.
$$
 (4.23)

<span id="page-15-4"></span> $\circledcirc$  Springer

By [\(4.12\)](#page-14-6) one sees that

$$
|j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1)| \sim \frac{e^{kbR_1\varepsilon^{-1/2}}}{R_1} \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0^+. \tag{4.24}
$$

<span id="page-16-1"></span>In the sequel, we consider two separate cases for  $u_{\varepsilon}(x)$  with  $x \in B_R$ . First for the case that  $|k\sqrt{q_0}||x| = k\varepsilon^{-1/2}|a + ib||x| > 1$ , then  $1/|x| \leq k\varepsilon^{-1/2}|a + ib|$ , and we can show

$$
\left|\frac{j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}|x|)}{j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}R_1)}\right| \sim \frac{R_1}{|x|}e^{-kb(R_1-|x|)/\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \le kR_1\varepsilon^{-1/2}|a+ib|e^{-kb\delta_0/\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \tag{4.25}
$$

<span id="page-16-0"></span>as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ . Hence by combining [\(4.18\)](#page-15-0), [\(4.23\)](#page-15-4) with [\(4.25\)](#page-16-0) we derive that

$$
|u_{\varepsilon}(x)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} |b_{n}^{m} j_{n}(k\sqrt{q_{0}}|x|) Y_{n}^{m}(\hat{x})|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq k|a+ib|e^{-kb\delta_{0}/2\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \left| \frac{8\pi Y_{n}^{m}(d) Y_{n}^{m}(\hat{x})}{k^{2} R_{1} h_{n}^{(1)'}(k R_{1})} \right|,
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq M_{1} k|a+ib|e^{-kb\delta_{0}/2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \quad \forall x \in B_{R_{2}}
$$

for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\varepsilon^{-1/2}|a + ib| \exp(-kb\delta_0/(2\sqrt{\varepsilon})) \le 1$ , where

$$
M_1 := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \left| \frac{2(2n+1)}{k^2 R_1 h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1)} \right| < +\infty.
$$

For the other case, if  $|k\sqrt{q_0}||x| = k\varepsilon^{-1/2}|a+ib||x| \le 1$ , then using the asymptotic behavior of  $j_n(z)$  for large *n* we know there exists a constant  $M_2$  such that

$$
|j_n(k\sqrt{q_0}|x|)| \le M_2, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.\tag{4.26}
$$

<span id="page-16-2"></span>In a similar manner as we did above one can obtain the following exponentially decay estimate

$$
|u_{\varepsilon}(x)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \left| \frac{2(2n+1)}{k^2 R_1 h_n^{(1)'}(kR_1)} \right| M_2 e^{-kbR_1 \varepsilon^{-1/2}}
$$

as  $\varepsilon \to +0$ , by using [\(4.23\)](#page-15-4), [\(4.24\)](#page-16-1) and [\(4.26\)](#page-16-2).

This verifies the sharpness of Theorem [1.3.](#page-3-1)

**Acknowledgments** The work of ZS and HS were partially supported by grant under NSF No.10990012. The work of JZ was substantially supported by Hong Kong RGC grants (Projects 405110 and 404611).

### **Appendix**

We shall give a proof of the well-posedness of the scattering problem  $(1.5)$ , which was also needed in the proof of Lemma [3.3.](#page-8-3) We could not find a convenient literature for the results, so for completeness we present it in this appendix. Our argument follows the Lax-Phillips method presented in [\[7](#page-18-2)].

Let

$$
\{\alpha, \beta\} = \begin{cases} 1, 1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \\ \gamma, q & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{D}, \\ \varepsilon, \eta_0 + i\tau_0 & \text{in } D. \end{cases}
$$
(4.27)

 $\circled{2}$  Springer

Then the scattering problem  $(1.5)$  can be formulated as follows:

<span id="page-17-0"></span>Find  $u \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $u = u^i + u^s$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$  and solves the equation

$$
\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}u := \nabla \cdot (\alpha \nabla u) + k^2 \beta u = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \lim_{|x| \to \infty} |x|^{(N-1)/2} \left\{ \frac{\partial u^s}{\partial |x|} - iku^s \right\} = 0 \end{cases}
$$
 (4.28)

where we assume  $supp(f) \subset B_{R_0} \backslash \Omega$ .

The uniqueness of the solutions to the system [\(4.28\)](#page-17-0) can be shown in a similar argument as the one used in the proof of Lemma [3.1.](#page-4-3) Next we show only the existence and stability estimate.

In the following, by appropriately choosing  $R_0$  we can assume that  $k^2$  is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue in  $B_{R_0+1}$ . Let  $\theta(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be a cut-off function such that  $\theta(x) = 0$  for  $|x| < R_0$  and  $\theta(x) = 1$  for  $|x| > R_0 + 1$ . Setting

$$
W = u \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad W = u^s + (1 - \theta)u^i \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \tag{4.29}
$$

<span id="page-17-1"></span>we can then verify directly that  $W \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\n\mathcal{L}W = g \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} |x|^{(N-1)/2} \left\{ \frac{\partial W}{\partial |x|} - ikW \right\} = 0,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(4.30)

 $\text{with } g = -(\Delta + k^2)(\theta u^i) + f \in L^2(B_{R_0+1}\backslash\Omega).$ 

Next, we look for a solution to [\(4.30\)](#page-17-1) of the following form

$$
W = w - \phi(w - V), \tag{4.31}
$$

where  $\phi$  is  $C^{\infty}$  cut-off function such that  $\phi = 1$  in  $B_{R_0}$  and  $\phi = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R_0+1}$ . We let  $V \in H^1(B_{R_0+1})$  be the solution of the system

$$
\begin{cases}\n\mathcal{L}V = g^* & \text{in } B_{R_0+1}, \\
V = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{R_0+1}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(4.32)

and  $w \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be the solution of the system

$$
\begin{cases}\n(\Delta + k^2)w = g^* & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} |x|^{(N-1)/2} \left\{ \frac{\partial w}{\partial |x|} - ikw \right\} = 0,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(4.33)

where  $g^* \in L^2(B_{R_0+1} \backslash \Omega)$  shall be determined later.

Clearly, by the classical regularity estimates we see

$$
V \in H^2(B_{R_0+1} \backslash \overline{\Omega}) \quad \text{and} \quad w \in H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N).
$$

By direct verification we have

$$
g = (\Delta + k^2)W = \Delta w + k^2 w + \Delta \phi (w - V) + 2\nabla \phi \cdot \nabla (w - V) + \phi (\Delta (w - V) + k^2 (w - V)) = g^* + K g^*,
$$
 (4.34)

<span id="page-17-2"></span>where *K* is defined to be  $Kg^* = \Delta\phi(w - V) + 2\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla(w - V)$ .

We can show that *K* is compact from  $L^2(B_{R_0+1}\backslash\Omega)$  to itself. We shall make use of the Fredholm theory to show the unique solvability of  $(4.34)$ . It suffices to show the uniqueness of solution to [\(4.34\)](#page-17-2). We set  $g = 0$ . By [\(4.30\)](#page-17-1) we have  $W = 0$ . Hence  $w = \phi(w - V)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ and  $V = 0$  in  $\Omega$  and  $w = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R_0+1}$ . It is straightforward to verify that

$$
\begin{cases} (\Delta + k^2)(V - w) = 0 & \text{in } B_{R_0 + 1}, \\ V - w = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{R_0 + 1}, \end{cases}
$$
 (4.35)

hence *V* − *w* = 0. Therefore *w* = 0, which then implies that  $g^*$  = 0. Then by the Fredholm theory we have a unique  $g^* \in L^2(B_{R_0+1} \backslash \Omega)$  to [\(4.34\)](#page-17-2) such that

$$
\|g^*\|_{L^2(B_{R_0+1}\setminus\Omega)} \leq C \|g\|_{L^2(B_{R_0+1}\setminus\Omega)} \leq C \left( \|u^i\|_{H^1(B_{R_0+1}\setminus\overline{\Omega})} + \|f\|_{L^2(B_{R_0}\setminus\Omega)} \right).
$$

s Finally, by the classical theory on elliptic equations one can show that

$$
||u||_{H^1(B_{R_0+1}\setminus\overline{\Omega})}\leq C\left(||f||_{L^2(B_{R_0}\setminus\Omega)}+||u^i||_{H^1(B_{R_0+1}\setminus\overline{\Omega})}\right).
$$

## <span id="page-18-9"></span>**References**

- 1. Abramowitz, M., Stegun, I.A.: Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Dover Publications, New York (1965)
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>2. Cakoni, F., Colton, D.: Qualitative Methods in Inverse Scattering Theory. Springer, Berlin (2006)
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>3. Colton, D., Kress, R.: Inverse Acoustic and Electromagnetic Scattering Theory, 2nd edn. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1998)
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>4. Greenleaf, A., Kurylev, Y., Lassas, M., Uhlmann, G.: Invisibility and inverse prolems. Bull. A. M. S. **46**, 55–97 (2009)
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>5. Hahner, P.: On the uniqueness of the shape of a penetrable, anisotropic obstacle. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **116**, 167–181 (2000)
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>6. Ingard, U.: Notes on Acoustics. Infinity Science Press LLC, Hingham (2008)
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>7. Isakov, V.: Inverse Problems for Partial Differential Equations, 2nd edn. Springer, New York (2006)
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>8. Kirsch, A.: The domain derivative and two applications in inverse scattering theory. Inverse Probl. **9**, 81–96 (1993)
- 9. Liu, H.Y.: Virtual reshaping and invisibility in obstacle scattering. Inverse Probl. **25**, 045006 (2009)
- <span id="page-18-5"></span><span id="page-18-4"></span>10. Liu, H.Y., Sun, H.P.: Enhanced near-cloak by FSH lining. J. Math. Pures. Appl. doi[:10.1016/j.matpur.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2012.06.001) [2012.06.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2012.06.001)