

Solution 1

Exercise 1.7

1. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define

$$\rho(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)}$$

for $x, y \in X$. Show that ρ is also a metric on X .

Solution. Clearly $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a well-defined function. Now we check that ρ satisfies conditions (i)-(iv) in Definition 1.1: For any $x, y, z \in X$,

- (i) $\rho(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} \geq 0$ (by condition (i) of d);
- (ii) $\rho(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$ (by condition (ii) of d);
- (iii) $\rho(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} = \frac{d(y, x)}{1 + d(y, x)} = \rho(y, x)$ (by condition (iii) of d);
- (iv) Note that $\phi(x) := \frac{x}{1+x} = 1 - \frac{1}{1+x}$ is an increasing function on $[0, \infty)$. Hence, by condition (iv) of d , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, y) &= \phi(d(x, y)) \leq \phi(d(x, z) + d(z, y)) \\ &= \frac{d(x, z)}{1 + d(x, z) + d(z, y)} + \frac{d(z, y)}{1 + d(x, z) + d(z, y)} \\ &\leq \frac{d(x, z)}{1 + d(x, z)} + \frac{d(z, y)}{1 + d(z, y)} \\ &= \rho(x, z) + \rho(z, y). \end{aligned}$$

◀

2. Let $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$ be metric spaces. Define

$$\rho((x, y), (x', y')) = d_X(x, x') + d_Y(y, y')$$

for $x, x' \in X$ and $y, y' \in Y$. Show that ρ is a metric on the product space $X \times Y = \{(x, y) : x \in X; y \in Y\}$.

Solution. Clearly $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a well-defined function. Now we check that ρ satisfies conditions (i)-(iv) in Definition 1.1: For any $(x, y), (x', y'), (x'', y'') \in X \times Y$,

- (i) $\rho((x, y), (x', y')) = d_X(x, x') + d_Y(y, y') \geq 0$;
- (ii) $\rho((x, y), (x', y')) = 0$ if and only if $d_X(x, x') = 0$ and $d_Y(y, y') = 0$ if and only if $x = x'$ and $y = y'$ if and only if $(x, y) = (x', y')$;
- (iii) $\rho((x, y), (x', y')) = d_X(x, x') + d_Y(y, y') = d_X(x', x) + d_Y(y', y) = \rho((x', y'), (x, y))$

(iv) By condition (iv) of d_X and d_Y , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho((x, y), (x', y')) &= d_X(x, x') + d_Y(y, y') \\ &\leq d_X(x, x'') + d_X(x'', x') + d_Y(y, y'') + d_Y(y'', y') \\ &= \rho((x, y), (x'', y'')) + \rho((x'', y''), (x', y')).\end{aligned}$$

◀

3. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let A be a subset of X . We say that A is bounded if there is $M > 0$ such that $d(a, a') \leq M$ for all a, a' in A .

Show that if A_1, \dots, A_N ($N < \infty$) are all bounded subsets of X , $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_N$ is also a bounded subset of X .

Solution. Without loss of generality, we assume that each A_k , $k = 1, \dots, N$ is non-empty. For each $k = 1, \dots, N$, pick $a_k \in A_k$. Set $D = \max\{d(a_j, a_k) : j, k = 1, \dots, N\}$.

Since each A_k , $k = 1, \dots, N$, is bounded, there is $M_k > 0$ such that $d(a, a') \leq M_k$ for all $a, a' \in A_k$. Set $M = \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} M_k$ and $C = D + 2M$. Now for any $x, y \in A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_N$, we have $x \in A_i, y \in A_j$ for some i, j , $1 \leq i, j \leq N$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}d(x, y) &\leq d(x, a_i) + d(a_i, a_j) + d(a_j, y) \\ &\leq M + D + M = C.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_N$ is bounded.

◀