

Eg 4.1 (Equicontinuous, but unbounded)

let $X = [-1, 1]$ and consider

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ x \in C[-1, 1] : x'(t) = t, t \in [-1, 1] \}.$$

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{E}, |x(t) - x(s)| \leq \|x'\|_{\infty} |t - s| \leq |t - s|.$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is equicontinuous (as above).

But \mathcal{E} is unbounded:

$$x_n(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} + n \in \mathcal{E}.$$

$$\|x_n\|_{\infty} = \frac{1}{2} + n \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

(clearly, $\{x_n\}$ has no convergent subsequence.)

eg 4.5 (Closed & Bounded, but not Equicontinuous)

$$\text{let } \mathcal{B} = \{ f \in C[0, 1] : |f(x)| \leq 1, \forall x \in [0, 1] \}$$

Then \mathcal{B} is closed and bounded. $\left(\overline{B_1^{\infty}(0)} \right)$

To show that \mathcal{B} is not equicontinuous, we only need to find a subset of \mathcal{B} which is not equicontinuous.

Let $\{f_n(x) = \sin nx\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{B}$.

Suppose on the contrary that

$\{f_n(x) = \sin nx\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is equicontinuous.

Then for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$\forall n \geq 1$, & $x, y \in [0, 1]$ with $|x - y| < \delta$, we have

$$|\sin nx - \sin ny| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

However, for any $\delta > 0$, if $n > \max\left\{\frac{\pi}{2\delta}, \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon}\right\}$,

we have $x = 0$ & $y = \frac{\pi}{2n} \in [0, 1]$ with

$$|x - y| < \delta \quad \text{and}$$

$$|\sin n \cdot 0 - \sin n \cdot \frac{\pi}{2n}| = |0 - 1| = 1 > \frac{1}{2}$$

which is a contradiction.

$\therefore \{\sin nx\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is not equicontinuous. ~~✗~~

Lemma 4.3 Let $A = \{z_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable set

and $f_n = A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n=1,2,\dots$, be a sequence of functions defined on A . Suppose that for each

$z_j \in A$, $\{f_n(z_j)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence in

\mathbb{R} . Then there exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$

of $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\forall z_j \in A$,

$\{f_{n_k}(z_j)\}$ is convergent.

Pf: Since $f_n(z_1)$ is a bounded sequence (in \mathbb{R}),

\exists a subsequence f_n^1 such that

$f_n^1(z_1)$ is convergent.

(Note that we have used the same index n to denote the subsequence f_{n_k} .

The superscript 1 is to denote that it is convergent when evaluated at z_1 .)

For this subsequence f_n^1 (of original f_n),

$f_n^1(z_2)$ is bounded (since $\{f_n^1(z_2)\} \subset \{f_n(z_2)\}$).

Hence \exists a subsequence

$\{f_n^2\}$ of $\{f_n^1\}$ such that

$\{f_n^2(z_2)\}$ is convergent.

Note that since $\{f_n^1\}$ is a subseq. of $\{f_n\}$,

$\{f_n^2\}$ is also a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$.

Also, $\{f_n^2(z_1)\}$ is a subseq. of the

convergent subsequence $\{f_n^1(z_1)\}$,

$\{f_n^2(z_1)\}$ is also convergent.

Therefore, we've found a subseq. $\{f_n^2\}$ of $\{f_n\}$

such that $\{f_n^2(z_1)\}$ and $\{f_n^2(z_2)\}$ are convergent.

And $\{f_n^2\}$ is a subseq. of $\{f_n^1\}$.

Repeating the process, one can obtain sequences

$\{f_n^j\}$ (with $f_n^0 = f_n$) such that

(i) $\{f_n^{j+1}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_n^j\}$, $\forall j=0,1,2,\dots$

(ii) $\{f_n^j(z_1)\}, \{f_n^j(z_2)\}, \dots, \{f_n^j(z_j)\}$
are convergent ($j \geq 1$)

f_1^1	f_2^1	f_3^1	\dots	f_n^1	\dots	convergent at z_1	
f_1^2	f_2^2	f_3^2	\dots	f_n^2	\dots		z_1, z_2
f_1^3	f_2^3	f_3^3	\dots	f_n^3	\dots		z_1, z_2, z_3
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots		\vdots			\vdots
f_1^n	f_2^n	f_3^n	\dots	f_n^n	\dots		z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots		\vdots			

Define $g_n = f_n^n$, $\forall n \geq 1$. (the diagonal sequence)

then $\{g_n\}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ and

for any fixed $j = 1, 2, \dots$

$$g_n(z_j) = f_n^n(z_j)$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $n \geq j$ for sufficiently large n .

Hence $\{f_n^n(z_j)\}$ is a subsequence of

the convergent sequence $\{f_n^j(z_j)\}$ for all

sufficiently large n . Therefore $\{g_n(z_j)\}$ is convergent. This completes the proof of the

Lemma. \times

(This method of finding g_n is called Cantor's diagonal trick.)