Roll	If $\lim_{x\to c} 5(x) = A$
lim $9(x) = B + 0$	
then $\lim_{x\to c} \frac{1}{9(x)} = \frac{A}{B}$	
Quættm	What can we say about the case that $B = 0$ ?
(1) If $A + 0$ , then $\lim_{x\to c} \frac{5(x)}{9(x)} = \infty$ ( $\pm$ depends on $9$ $\pi$ $A$ )	
(2) Indeterminate $\pi$ $A = 0$ : i.e. not exist, $\frac{1}{3}$ ( $\frac{1}{3}$ )	
(3) $\frac{1}{3}$ <math< td=""></math<>	

 $\frac{a}{2}$   $\left(\frac{a}{2} \right)$   $0.00$ ,  $0^{0}$ ,  $1^{00}$ ,  $0^{0}$ ,  $\omega - \infty$ 

eg: O<sup>o</sup> duvotes inductanuñate funr of 
$$
lim_{x\to c} f(x)
$$
  
with  $lim_{x\to c} f(x) = 0 =lim_{x\to c} g(x)$ .

and 
$$
\infty - \infty
$$
 denotes indeterminate sum of  $\lim_{x \to c} (f(x) - g(x))$   
with  $\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = +\infty = \lim_{x \to c} g(x)$   
(a - \infty)

Note Indeterminate fans <sup>O</sup> <sup>o</sup> <sup>00</sup> 10 ooo <sup>o</sup> <sup>o</sup> can bereduced to the fam <sup>a</sup> by taking log exp or algebraic manipulations

$$
\underbrace{eg.} \& -\infty \quad \text{div}_{x \to c} (f(x) - g(x)) \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{x \to c} f(x) = -\infty
$$
\n
$$
\underbrace{f(x)}_{x \to c} g(x) = -\infty
$$

$$
= \lim_{x \to c} \lim_{x \to c} \frac{f(x)-g(x)}{g(x)}
$$

$$
= \lim_{x \to c} \lim_{x \to c} \frac{g}{g(x)}
$$

and me can consider lin  $e^{f(x)}_{x\to c}$  which is of the

 $f_{\alpha\mu}$   $\%$ 

1st result Thin6.3.1 let 9 <sup>a</sup> <sup>b</sup> R asb flasgias <sup>O</sup> g <sup>x</sup> <sup>O</sup> <sup>H</sup> XE Ca <sup>b</sup> If <sup>f</sup> and <sup>g</sup> are differentiable at <sup>a</sup> <sup>l</sup> sidelimit with gla to then left exists and tea fit Has gas

Remarks:  $(1) f(a) = g(a) = 0$  is necessary !

 $countor example:$   $f(x) = x + 17$ ,  $g(x) = 2x + 3$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $\{c\} = (7+c)$ ,  $q(c) = 3+c$  (The particular condition not solutional)  $5(0)=1$ ,  $5(0)=2\neq0$  (Other unditions satisfied) And  $\frac{\pi}{x} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{17}{3} \pm \frac{1}{2} = \frac{f(0)}{g'(0)}$  $(2)$  No need to assume differentiality (a even continuity) in  $(a,b)$ . (3) The Thm fiolds for the other end point b with  $\lim_{x\to b^-} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{f(b)}{g'(b)}$  provided  $f'(b)$   $g'(b)$  axist (1-sided)<br> $f''(b)$  a  $g''(b)$  axist (1-sided) and also interior point  $C \in (a, b)$  with  $\lim_{x\to C} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{f'(C)}{g'(C)}$  provided  $f'(C) \ge 9'(C)$  exist a  $g'(C) \ne 0$  $f(c) = g(c) = 0$ 

$$
Pf: \quad By \quad f(a)=g(a)=o, \quad \& \quad g(x)\neq 0 \quad \forall \quad x\in (a,b\setminus a)
$$

$$
\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{g(x) - g(a)} = \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} \times \frac{g(x) - g(a)}{x - a} \times \frac{f(x - f(a))}{x - a} = \frac{f(a)}{g(x)} = \frac{f(a)}{g(a)} = \frac{f(a)}{g(a)} = \frac{f(a)}{x - a} = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{x - a} = \frac{f(b) - f(b)}{x - a} = \frac{f(b) - f(b)}{x
$$

$$
Qg: \text{Thm } 6.3.1 \text{ can be applied as } \frac{1}{20}
$$
 (interin point)  
\n $Qg: \text{Thm } 6.3.1 \text{ can be applied as } \frac{1}{20}$   
\n $Qg: \text{Thm } 6.3.1 \text{ can be applied as } \frac{1}{20}$   
\n $Qg: \text{Thm } 6.3.1 \text{ can be applied as } \frac{1}{20}$   
\n $Qg: \text{Thm } 6.3.1 \text{ can be applied as } \frac{1}{20}$ 

For furtherresults we need

Then 6.3.2 (Cauchy Mean Value them)

\nLet 
$$
\cdot
$$
  $\cdot$   $\cdot$ 

Remarks: (1) One may tempted to think of the following wrong proof:  $MVT \Rightarrow \exists c \; s.t. \; f(b)-f(a)=f(c) (b-a)$ and  $g(b) - f(a) = g'(c) (b-a)$ 

Hewe 
$$
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{g(b)-g(a)} = \frac{f(c)}{g(c)}
$$

The mistake is that the "c" given by the MVT depends  
on the functions 
$$
f
$$
 g g. Careful notatives should be  
 $\exists C_4$  s.t.  $f(b)-f(a) = f(c_4)(b-a)$   
 $\exists C_5$  s.t.  $g(b)-g(a) = g(c_4)(b-a)$ .  
But  $C_5$  wag not equal  $C_9$ .



(3) Clearly, if  $g(x)=x$ , Cauchy MVT reduces to MVT.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\text{Pf (of Cauchy MVT)}. \\
\text{Since } g(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in (a,b), \text{ we have } g(b) \neq g(a), \\
\text{Otherwise} &\text{the function } g(x) - g(a) &\text{satisfies} \{g(a) - g(a) = 0 \\
\text{and } Roll(s) \text{ Thus } \Rightarrow \exists c \in (a,b) \text{ s.t. } g(c) = (g(x) - g(a)) \mid_{x=c} = 0 \\
\text{contradiction}\n\end{array}
$$

When we can define

\n
$$
\mathcal{H}(x) = \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{f(b)-g(a)} \left( \mathcal{G}(x) - \mathcal{G}(a) \right) - \left( f(x) - f(a) \right) , \quad \forall x \in [a,b]
$$

(loady, h. is continuous on [a, b] a differentiable on (a, b)  
\n(by the assumption on 
$$
f \ge g
$$
).  
\n
$$
\mathcal{H}(b) = \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{g(b)-g(a)} (g(b)-g(a)) - (f(b)-f(a)) = 0
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{H}(a) = \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{g(b)-g(a)} (g(a)-g(a)) - (f(a)-f(a)) = 0
$$

$$
\therefore \text{ Rolle's } \lim_{\delta \to 0} \Rightarrow \pm c \in (a, b) \text{ s.t.}
$$
\n
$$
0 = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{g(b) - g(a)} \cdot g'(c) - f'(c)
$$
\n
$$
\text{Sinc } g(c) \neq 0, \text{ we have } \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{g(b) - g(a)} = \frac{f(c)}{g'(c)} \times
$$

L'Hospital's Rule I

Remarks: (1) No need to clearme  $f(a)$ ,  $g(a)$  exist as in Thm 6.3.3 (& Thm 635), but need differentiable in  $(a,b)$ 

\n- (2) Thm 6.33 (a Thm 6.3.5) states only the case of taking 
$$
J\ddot{\omega}
$$
 to the  $x \rightarrow a + 1$  (right hand limit)
\n- So "convuivience",
\n- In  $Jat$ , it is true also for  $x \rightarrow b - 1$  (left that limit)
\n- $x \rightarrow c$  (two-sided limit,  $c \in (a,b)$ )
\n- $x \rightarrow \pm \infty$
\n

$$
\boxed{\frac{\text{Thm6.33}}{\text{Let}} \cdot -\infty \text{ a } \text{the } \text{the } \text{at } k \text{ is a } \text{the } \text{at } k \text{ is a } \text{the } k \text{ is a } \text{the } k \text{ is a } k \
$$

15:	En. any x, p. such that $a < a < \beta < b$ ,
16:	Tables $g(p) + g(a)$ $sina \ g(x) + b \ \forall x \in (a, b)$ .
17:	Example: $1$
20:	1
30:	1
4:	1
5:	1
6:	1
7:	1
8:	1
9:	1
10:	1
11:	1
12:	1
13:	1
14:	1
15:	1
16:	1
17:	1
18:	1
19:	1
10:	1
11:	1
12:	1
13:	1
14:	1
15:	1
16:	1
17:	1
18:	1
19:	1
10:	1

Now, 
$$
\forall \xi' > 0
$$
, we can choose  $\xi > 0$  st.  $\xi < \xi'$ .  
Then  $\left| \frac{f(\beta)}{g(\beta)} - L \right| \le \xi < \xi'$ ,  $\forall \beta \in (a, a+\delta)$ .

In other words, 
$$
\forall \xi > 0
$$
,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  
\n $\left| \frac{\xi(\beta)}{3(\beta)} - L \right| < \xi'$ ,  $\forall \beta \in (a, a+\delta)$ .  
\n $\lim_{x \to a^+} \frac{\xi(x)}{9(x)} = L$ .

$$
Cone (b) \quad \lim_{x\to a^+} \frac{f(x)}{g'(x)} = L, \quad L = \pm \infty.
$$

$$
\exists f \L=+\infty
$$
, then  $\forall M>0$ ,  $\exists \delta>0$  such that  
 $\frac{\xi(x)}{g(x)} > M$ ,  $\forall x \in (a, a+\delta)$ .

Hence 
$$
-\int ev
$$
  $0 < e < u < \beta < 0 + \delta$   
\n
$$
M < \frac{f(u)}{g'(u)} = \frac{f(\beta) - f(u)}{g(\beta) - g(\alpha)}
$$

Letting 
$$
x \to a^+
$$
 as using  $\lim_{x \to a^+} f(x) = 0 = \lim_{x \to a^+} g(x)$ ,  
we have  $M \le \frac{f(\beta)}{g(\beta)}$ ,  $\forall \alpha < \beta < a + \delta$ .

Since M>0 is arbitrary, we have 
$$
\lim_{x\to a^{+}} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = +\infty = L
$$
.

\nSimilarly,  $f(x) = -\infty$  (thick!)  $\frac{x}{x}$ 

\nNotes: (1) The cases of  $\lim_{x\to a^{+}} x$  are  $\frac{u}{x}$  and  $\frac{u}{x}$ .

\n(2) The case of  $\lim_{x\to b^{-}}$ .

\n(3) Then, follows  $\lim_{x\to b^{-}}$  can be proved  $\frac{f}{x}$ .

\n(5) Then,  $\lim_{x\to a^{+}}$  by  $\lim_{x\to b^{-}}$  are  $\lim_{x\to c^{-}}$ .

\n(In  $\lim_{x\to a^{-}}$  and  $\lim_{x\to a^{+}}$  is  $\lim_{x\to c^{-}}$ .

\n(6.11)

\n(7)

\n(8.12)

\n(9.13)

$$
\frac{\log 6.3.4}{x \to 0^{+}} = \lim_{x \to 0^{+}} \frac{\sin x}{\sqrt{x}} \qquad (note \quad \sqrt{x} \text{ is not difficult at } x = 0)
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{x \to 0^{+}} \frac{\cos x}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{x}}} \qquad \qquad \text{g(x) = 5x \text{ diff. (}f_{\text{ca}} \times x = 0 \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
= 0 \qquad \qquad (\text{limit by its, calculation just if each})
$$

(b) 
$$
\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{1-cosx}{x^2} = \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{sinx}{2x}
$$
 ?  
 "indeterminate again"

However, 
$$
f(x) = a\overline{u}x
$$
  $d\xi f$ .  $\Rightarrow f(x) = \omega x$   
\n $g(x) = 2x$   $df f$ .  $\Rightarrow g'(x) = 2 \pm 0$   $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$   
\n $L'Hospital's Rule I$  (event the earlier than 6.3.1)  $\Rightarrow$   
\n $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{d\overrightarrow{u}x}{2x} = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{(\omega x)}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$  has a limit.  
\n $\frac{1}{2}$   
\n $\lim_{x \to 0} L\left| \frac{1 - (\omega x)}{x^2} \right| = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{d\overrightarrow{u}x}{2x}$   
\n $\lim_{x \to 0+} \frac{1 - (\omega x)}{x^2} = \lim_{x \to 0+} \frac{d\overrightarrow{u}x}{2x}$   
\n $\lim_{x \to 0+} (1 - (\omega x)) = a\overline{u}x$  exist.  $\& (x^2) = 2x \pm 0, \forall x > 0$ 

And 
$$
\lim_{x\to 0^{-}} \frac{1-6x}{x^{2}} = \lim_{x\to 0^{-}} \frac{4ix}{2x}
$$

Sance lui sin =  $\frac{1}{2}$  exist, the 2 1-sided luits equal and hence

$$
lim_{x\to 0} \frac{1-cosx}{x^2} =lim_{x\to 0} \frac{dimx}{x} = \frac{1}{2}
$$

(C) 
$$
\lim_{x\to0} \frac{e^{x}}{x} = \lim_{x\to0} \frac{e^{x}}{1} = 1
$$
 (duch conditions!)

As û (b), Hu's existence of lui't ùplies  
\n
$$
ln\left(\frac{e^{x}-1-x}{x^{2}}\right)=lim_{x\to0}\frac{e^{x}-1}{x}=1
$$
 (clock conditions!)

(d) 
$$
lim_{x \to 1} \frac{ln x}{x-1}
$$
 (dyfües fa x > 0 )  
=  $lim_{x \to 1} \frac{1/x}{1}$  (  $(lim x)^{2} = \frac{1}{x}$  exists 4 x > 0 )  
= 1 (  $lim x \to 1$  exists, calculation just  
( $limivits$ , calculation just

Thus, 
$$
3.5
$$
 (L'Hospital's Rule II)

\nLet  $\cdot$   $-\infty$  s  $\alpha < b \le \infty$ 

\n $\cdot$   $\$ 

As before, 
$$
\forall x \beta \in (a, b)
$$
 with  $a < a < \beta < b$ , we have  
\n•  $\frac{f(\beta) - f(\alpha)}{g(\beta) - f(\alpha)} = \frac{f(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)}$  for some  $u \in (a, \beta)$   
\n•  $\frac{f(\beta) - f(\alpha)}{g(\beta) - f(\alpha)}$  for some  $u \in (a, \beta)$   
\nCase(Q):  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
\nSubspace  $L > 0$   
\nBy  $\lim_{x \to a +} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = L$ ,  $\forall \xi > 0$   $(\epsilon \leq \frac{L}{2})$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that  
\n $0 < L - \epsilon < \frac{f(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)} < L + \epsilon$ ,  $\forall u \in (a, a + \delta)$   
\n $\Rightarrow L - \epsilon < \frac{f(\beta) - f(\alpha)}{g(\beta) - g(\alpha)} < L + \epsilon$ ,  $\forall a < a < \beta < a + \delta$ .  
\nAs  $\lim_{x \to a +} g(x) = +\infty$ ,  $\exists c \in (a, a + \delta)$  such that  
\n $g(x) > 0$ ,  $\forall x \in (a, c)$   $(c(a, a + \delta))$   
\nThen  $fa \quad \text{any} \quad a < a < c$ , we have  
\n $L - \epsilon < \frac{f(c) - f(\alpha)}{g(c) - g(\alpha)} < L + \epsilon$   $(by taking \beta = c)$   
\nUsing again,  $\lim_{x \to a +} g(x) = +\infty$ , we have  
\n $\lim_{x \to a +} \frac{g(c)}{g(x)} = 0$ 

Therefore, 
$$
\exists
$$
  $0 < C_{1} < C$  such that

\n
$$
0 < \frac{g(c)}{g(d)} < 1, \quad \forall \alpha \in (a, C_{1}) \ (c(a, c))
$$
\n(Both  $g(d) \geq g(c) > 0$  from above)

\n
$$
\frac{g(d) - g(c)}{g(d)} = 1 - \frac{g(c)}{g(d)} > 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in (a, C_{1})
$$
\nThough

\n
$$
L - \xi < \frac{f(c) - f(x)}{g(c) - g(x)} < L + \xi
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow (L - \xi) \left( 1 - \frac{g(c)}{g(d)} \right) < \frac{f(c) - f(a)}{g(c) - g(a)}, \left( 1 - \frac{g(c)}{g(a)} \right) < (L + \xi) \left( 1 - \frac{g(c)}{g(a)} \right)
$$
\ni.e.  $(L - \xi)(1 - \frac{g(c)}{g(a)}) < \frac{f(a)}{g(a)} - \frac{f(c)}{g(a)} < (L + \xi) \left( 1 - \frac{g(c)}{g(a)} \right)$ 

\nii.  $(L - \xi)(1 - \frac{g(c)}{g(a)}) < \frac{f(a)}{g(a)} - \frac{f(c)}{g(a)} < (L + \xi) \left( 1 - \frac{g(c)}{g(a)} \right)$ 

\niii.  $(L - \xi)(1 - \frac{g(c)}{g(a)}) < \frac{f(a)}{g(a)} - \frac{f(c)}{g(a)} < (L + \xi) \left( 1 - \frac{g(c)}{g(a)} \right)$ 

which is

$$
(L-\xi)(1-\frac{g(c)}{g(\alpha)})+\frac{f(c)}{g(\alpha)}<\frac{f(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)}<(L+\xi)\left(1-\frac{g(c)}{g(\alpha)}\right)+\frac{f(c)}{g(\alpha)}\quad\forall\,\alpha\in (a,c)
$$

Using 
$$
\lim_{x \to a^{+}} g(x) = t^{\infty}
$$
 again,  $\exists C_{2} \in (a, C_{1})$  such that

\n
$$
0 < \frac{g(c)}{g(a)} < \eta \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \frac{|f(c)|}{g(a)} < \eta \quad, \forall \, d \in (a, C_{2})
$$
\nwhere  $\eta = \min\{1, \xi, \frac{\xi}{L+1}\}\n>0$ .

$$
\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} < (L+E) + \eta < L+2E \qquad \text{Since } L+E > L-E > 0
$$

and 
$$
\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} > (L-\epsilon) (1-\gamma) - \gamma
$$

$$
= (L-\epsilon) - \left[ (L-\epsilon) + 1 \right] \eta
$$

$$
> (L-\epsilon) - (L+1-\epsilon) \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{L+1} \qquad (\eta \leq \frac{\epsilon}{L+1})
$$

$$
= L - \zeta - \epsilon + \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{L+1}
$$

$$
> L - 2\epsilon
$$

We've proved that,  $\forall z\epsilon>0$  (same a  $\forall z\epsilon>0$ ) (z $\epsilon< L$ )  $\exists C_{2} \in (0, C_{1})$  such that  $L-z\epsilon < \frac{f(x)}{q(x)} < L+z\epsilon$ ,  $\forall$   $\alpha \in (a, c_2)$ . (C2 can be arritten as  $a+\delta$ )  $\therefore$  lin  $\frac{\int x}{\lambda} = L$ .

The proof of the subcases that  $L=0$  and  $L<0$  are sincler (with careful consideration of "sign" in the inequalities!)

( Pf of 1b): next lecture)