## Solutions of Homework I

- 1. (i) Since  $4^2 4 \times 4 = 0$ , it is of the parabolic type.
  - (ii) Since  $6^2 4 \times 9 = 0$ , it is of the parabolic type.
  - (iii) Since  $4^2 4 > 0$ , it is of the hyperbolic type.
- 2. (i) Fix (t, x). Let  $z(s) = u(t+s, x+\frac{3}{2}s)$ , then  $\dot{z} = 0$ . Since  $z(-t) = u(0, x-\frac{3}{2}t) = \sin(x-\frac{3}{2}t)$ ,  $u(t, x) = z(0) = \sin(x-\frac{3}{2}t)$ . And it is easy to verify that  $\sin(x-\frac{3}{2}t)$  is a solution.
  - (ii) Fix (t, x). Let z(s) = u(t+s, x+s), then  $\dot{z}+z = 0$ . Since z(-t) = u(0, x-t) = g(x-t),  $u(t, x) = z(0) = e^{-(0-(-t))}g(x-t) = e^{-t}g(x-t)$ . And it is easy to verify that  $e^{-t}g(x-t)$  is a solution.
  - (iii) Fix (t, x). Let z(s) = u(t + s, x + s), then  $\dot{z} + z = e^{t+2x+3s}$ . Since z(-t) = u(0, x t) = 0,  $u(t, x) = z(0) = \int_{-t}^{0} e^{-(0-s)} e^{t+2x+3s} ds = \frac{1}{4}(e^{t+2x} e^{-3t+2x})$ . And it is easy to verify that  $\frac{1}{4}(e^{t+2x} - e^{-3t+2x})$  is a solution.
- 3. (i) For a solution u, fixing (t, x), let z(s) = u(t + s, x + 2s), then ż = 0. Since z(-t) = u(0, x 2t) = g(x 2t), u(t, x) = z(0) = g(x 2t). Now it is clear that for each fixed x, u(t, x) = g(x 2t) tends to 0 as t → ∞ since g(x) → 0 as x → -∞.
  - (ii) For a solution u, fixing (t, x), let z(s) = u(t + s, x + 2s), then ż + z = 0. Since z(-t) = u(0, x 2t) = g(x 2t), u(t, x) = z(0) = e^{-(0 (-t))}g(x 2t) = e^{-t}g(x 2t). So it is clear that for each fixed x, u(t, x) → 0 as t → ∞ since g is bounded.
- 4. For (i) and (ii), they could be verified by direct calculation. Here we verify them from another point of view.
  - (i) Firstly, the domains are (a) R<sup>2</sup>, (b) R<sup>2</sup>, (c) R<sup>2</sup> \ {(0,0)}, (d) R<sup>2</sup> \ {(0,0)}. We could observe that these functions are real parts of holomorphic functions (a) e<sup>z</sup>, (b) 1 + z<sup>2</sup>, (d) 1/z. (c) is somewhat subtle. In fact, we can't find a holomorphic function whose real part is log(x<sup>2</sup> + y<sup>2</sup>) on R<sup>2</sup> \ {(0,0)}. But since

harmonicity is just a local property, we could show that  $\log(x^2 + y^2)$  is harmonic by regarding it as the real parts of  $2\log z$  defined on  $\{\arg z \in (-\pi, \pi)\}$ and  $\{\arg z \in (0, 2\pi)\}$ . We could also prove it by regrading it as the real part of  $2\log z$  defined on  $\{\arg z \in (-\pi, \pi)\}$  and then by continuity of  $\Delta u$  to cover the line  $\arg z = \pi$ .

- (ii) An important observation is that traveling waves f(x + 2t) and g(x 2t) are solutions of the wave equations. (In fact, we will learn that solutions of onedimensional wave equations are linear superpositions of these two kinds of functions.) Now, (a)  $4t^2 + x^2 = \frac{1}{2}((2t+x)^2 + (2t-x)^2)$ ; (b) is clear; (c)  $\sin 2t \cos x = \frac{1}{2}(\sin(2t+x) + \sin(2t-x))$ ; (d) is clear.
- (iii) By the polar coordinate representation of Laplacian, we have

$$f''(r) + \frac{1}{r}f'(r) = 0.$$

We have f'(r) = C/r and  $f(r) = a \log r + b$ . And it is easy to check that  $a \log r + b$  are harmonic on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ .

5. Firstly, u<sup>2</sup> also satisfies the equation. So we could assume that u ≥ 0 and we only need to prove that u ≤ 0. u has a maximum M on D and suppose that u attains it at z<sub>0</sub>. If z<sub>0</sub> is in the interior of D, then u<sub>x</sub>(z<sub>0</sub>) = u<sub>y</sub>(z<sub>0</sub>) = 0, and by the equation we have M = 0. Suppose that z<sub>0</sub> is on the boundary of D. a(x, y)x + b(x, y)y > 0 tells us that v = (a, b) is in the same direction of normal vector. In fact, we have z<sub>0</sub> - tv(z<sub>0</sub>) ∈ D if t > 0 is small enough.

$$|z_0|^2 - |z_0 - tv|^2 = t(2(z_0, v) - t|v|^2),$$

where  $(z_0, v)$  denotes the inner product. Let  $z_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ . Since  $(z_0, v) = a(x_0, y_0)x_0 + b(x_0, y_0)y_0 > 0$ , if t > 0 is small enough, we have  $z_0 - tv(z_0) \in D$ . Since u attains the maximum at  $z_0$ ,

$$\frac{u(z_0) - u(z_0 - tv(z_0))}{t} \ge 0$$

for small positive t. Let  $t \to 0$ , then we obtain that

$$(v(z_0), \nabla u) = a(z_0)u_x(z_0) + b(z_0)u_y(z_0) \ge 0.$$

By the equation, we have  $M \ge 0$ . So in each case,  $M \le 0$ , and we have  $u \le 0$ .

6. (i) Fix 
$$(t, x)$$
. Let  $z(s) = u(t + s, x + s)$ , then  $\dot{z} + z^2 = 0$ . Since  $\dot{z}$ 

$$-\frac{z}{z^2} = 1,$$

 $\frac{1}{z(0)} - \frac{1}{z(-t)} = t.$ 

Since z(-t) = u(0, x - t) = g(x - t),

$$u(t,x) = z(0) = \frac{1}{1/g(x-t)+t} = \frac{g(x-t)}{tg(x-t)+1}$$

We could check that it is a general formula for the equation.

(ii) If the initial data is positive, it is clear that the solution exists for all time. Since

$$|u(t,x)| \le \frac{1}{t},$$

we have  $u(t, x) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$  for each fixed x.

(iii) For (iii) and (iv), we just consider the cases g has a minimum because otherwise there may be no solutions to the equation. Suppose that g attain its minimum m < 0 at  $x_0$ . Then before T = -1/m, we could imply that

$$u(t,x) = \frac{g(x-t)}{tg(x-t)+1}.$$

For  $y = x_0 - 1/m$ , we have

$$\lim_{t \to T^-} u(t, y) = -\infty.$$

(iv) If  $m = \min g < 0$ , it is easy to check that g(x - t)/(tg(x - t) + 1) is a solution before T = -1/m. So by (iii),  $T_* = -(\min g)^{-1}$ . If  $m = \min g \ge 0$ , by (ii),  $T_* = \infty$ . Therefore,

$$T_* = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{\min g} & \min g < 0;\\ \infty & \min g \ge 0. \end{cases}$$