

Proposition 8.6 If  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|'$  both are complete norms on  $X$  such that  $\|\cdot\| \leq c\|\cdot\|'$  for some  $c > 0$ , then these ~~two~~ two norms are equivalent.

Ex 1. Use the above proposition to prove  $(C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_1)$  is not a Banach space, where  $\|f\|_1 = \int_0^1 |f(t)| dt$

Pf. Suppose that  $(C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_1)$  is a Banach space. Since  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  ( $\|f\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |f(t)|$ ) is also a complete norm and  $\|f\|_1 \leq \|f\|_\infty$   $\forall f \in C[0,1]$ , then it follows from the open mapping thm that  $\|f\|_1 \geq c\|f\|_\infty$  for some  $c > 0$ . However, that contradicts to the following example:  $f_n(t) = \begin{cases} -\frac{n^2}{2}t + n & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{2}{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \in C[0,1]$ ,  $\|f_n\|_1 = 1$ ,  $\|f_n\|_\infty = n$ . □

Ex 2. Let  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $S: X \rightarrow Z$  be <sup>linear, bounded</sup> operators on Banach spaces

(a) If  $M$  is a closed linear subspace of  $\ker T$ , then  $x+M \mapsto Tx$  is well-defined, linear & continuous.

(b) If  $S$  is onto and  $Sx=0 \Rightarrow Tx=0$ , then  $Sx \rightarrow Tx$  is a well-defined operator in  $B(Z, Y)$ .

Pf (a) Omitted.

(b) Define the mapping  $R: X/\ker S \rightarrow Y$  as  $x+\ker S \mapsto Tx$ .

Then the conclusion of (a) implies that  $R$  is well-defined linear bounded operator since  $\ker S$  is a closed subspace of  $\ker T$ .

Furthermore, since  $S$  is onto, then it follows from the Open Mapping Theorem that  $S_0: X/\ker S \rightarrow Z$  is an isomorphism.

So the mapping  $Sx \mapsto Tx$ , which is exactly  $R \circ S_0^{-1}$ , is a well-defined operator in  $B(Z, Y)$ .  $\square$

Ex 3. For a normed space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ , prove that

$\|\cdot\|$  is induced by an inner product iff it satisfies the parallelogram law.

Pf.  $\Rightarrow$  omitted

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose that  $\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2 = 2(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)$ ,  $\forall x, y \in X$

Then define  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\langle x, y \rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{4} (\|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2 + i(\|x+iy\|^2 - \|x-iy\|^2))$$

It suffices to prove that  $\|x\|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an inner product.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \langle x, x \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \|2x\|^2 + i(\|x+ix\|^2 - \|x-ix\|^2) \right] = \|x\|^2 \geq 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{2} \quad \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2 - i(\|x+iy\|^2 - \|x-iy\|^2) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2 - i(\|y-ix\|^2 - \|y+ix\|^2) \right] = \langle y, x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$\textcircled{3}$  The parallelogram implies that

$$\|x+y+z\|^2 + \|x-y+z\|^2 = 2\|x+z\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2.$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \|x+y+z\|^2 = 2\|x+z\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2 - \|x-y+z\|^2 \\ \|x+y+z\|^2 = 2\|y+z\|^2 + 2\|x\|^2 - \|y-x+z\|^2 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x+y+z\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + \|x+z\|^2 + \|y+z\|^2 - \|x-y+z\|^2 - \|y-x+z\|^2$$

$$\|x+y-z\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + \|x-z\|^2 + \|y-z\|^2 - \|x-y-z\|^2 - \|y-x-z\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \langle x+y, z \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \|x+z\|^2 - \|x-z\|^2 + \|y+z\|^2 - \|y-z\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + i(\|x+iz\|^2 - \|x-iz\|^2 + \|y+iz\|^2 - \|y-iz\|^2) \right] \\ &= \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$\textcircled{4}$  By induction, we have  $\langle \alpha x, y \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $\langle -x, y \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle -x, y \rangle = -\langle x, y \rangle$ . Then the above equality holds for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now if  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q \neq 0$ ,

$$\text{then } q \langle \alpha x, y \rangle = q \left\langle p \frac{x}{q}, y \right\rangle = p \langle q \frac{x}{q}, y \rangle = p \langle x, y \rangle.$$

Dividing it by  $q$  gives that the above equality (\*) holds for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

We have just seen that for fixed  $x, y$  the continuous function  $t \mapsto \frac{1}{t} \langle tx, y \rangle$  defined on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  is equal to  $\langle x, y \rangle$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ , thus is also equal to  $\langle x, y \rangle$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle ix, y \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} [ \|ix+y\|^2 - \|ix-y\|^2 + i(\|ix+iy\|^2 - \|ix-iy\|^2) ] \\
&= i \frac{1}{4} [ \|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2 - i(\|ix+y\|^2 - \|ix-y\|^2) ] \\
&= i \langle x, y \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \alpha x, y \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Combining ①. ②. ③,  $\|\cdot\|$  is induced by the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .  $\square$