

## Suggested Solution to Homework 6

Yu Mei†

**P175, 6.** Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space and  $M$  a countable dense subset of  $H$ . Show that  $H$  contains a total orthonormal sequence which can be obtained from  $M$  by the Gram-Schmidt process.

**Proof.** W.L.O.G. assume  $H$  is infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space.  $M = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a countable dense subset of  $H$ . Then there exist a linear independent subsequence  $N = x_{n_k}_{k=1}^\infty$  of  $M$  dense in  $H$ , otherwise,  $H$  is finite dimensional so that the conclusion is trivial. Using the Gram-Schmidt process, we can obtain an orthonormal sequence  $e_{n_k}$  by  $e_{n_k} = \frac{v_{n_k}}{\|v_{n_k}\|}$  with  $v_{n_k} = x_{n_k} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \langle x_{n_k}, e_{n_j} \rangle e_{n_j}$ . Moreover,  $\overline{\text{span}e_{n_k}} = \overline{\text{span}x_{n_k}} = H$ . Therefore,  $e_{n_k}$  is total orthonormal sequence obtained from  $M$  in  $H$ .  $\square$

**P200, 4.** Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be Hilbert spaces and  $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  a bounded linear operator. If  $M_1 \subset H_1$  and  $M_2 \subset H_2$  are such that  $T(M_1) \subset M_2$ , show that  $M_1^\perp \supset T^*(M_2^\perp)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $z \in T^*(M_2^\perp)$ . Then, there exist  $y \in M_2^\perp$  such that  $z = T^*y$ . By the definition of Hilbert-adjoint operator, for any  $x \in M_1^\perp$ , one has,

$$\langle x, T^*y \rangle = \langle Tx, y \rangle = 0,$$

since  $Tx \in T(M_1) \subset M_2$  and  $y \in M_2^\perp$ . Therefore,  $z = T^*y \in M_1^\perp$  so that  $M_1^\perp \supset T^*(M_2^\perp)$ .  $\square$

**P200, 5.** Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  in Prob. 4 be closed subspaces. Show that then  $T(M_1) \subset M_2$  if and only if  $M_1^\perp \supset T^*(M_2^\perp)$ .

**Proof.** By the conclusion of Prob. 4, one has that  $T(M_1) \subset M_2$  implies  $M_1^\perp \supset T^*(M_2^\perp)$ .

Now assume  $M_1^\perp \supset T^*(M_2^\perp)$ , where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are closed subspaces of Hilbert spaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively, one need to show that  $T(M_1) \subset M_2$ . We use the argument by contradiction. Suppose that  $T(M_1)$  is not a subset of  $M_2$ . Then there exist  $0 \neq x \in T(M_1) - M_2$ , since  $0 \in T(M_1) \cap M_2$ . Note that  $M_2$  is a closed subspace of Hilbert space  $H_2$ , it yields that  $x = y + z$  for some  $y \in M_2$  and  $0 \neq z \in M_2^\perp$ . Moreover  $x = Tw$  for some  $w \in M_1$ . Since  $M_1^\perp \supset T^*(M_2^\perp)$ ,  $T^*z \in M_1^\perp$ , it follows from the definition of Hilbert-adjoint operator that

$$0 = \langle w, T^*z \rangle = \langle Tw, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle + \langle z, z \rangle = \langle z, z \rangle$$

Therefore  $z = 0$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**P200, 6.** If  $M_1 = \mathcal{N}(T) = \{x | Tx = 0\}$  in Prob. 4, show that

$$(a) \quad T^*(H_2) \subset M_1^\perp, \quad (b) \quad [T(H_1)]^\perp \subset \mathcal{N}(T^*), \quad (c) \quad M_1 = [T^*(H_2)]^\perp.$$

**Proof.**

(a) Note that  $M_1$  is a closed subspace of Hilbert space  $H_1$ . Since  $T(M_1) = \{0\}$  and  $H_2 = \{0\}^\perp$ , taking  $M_2 = \{0\}$  in Prob. 4, one has  $T^*(H_2) \subset M_1^\perp$ .

(b) Let  $x \in [T(H_1)]^\perp$ . Then,  $\langle y, x \rangle = 0$  for any  $y = Tz \in T(H_1)$ . It follows from the definition of adjoint operator that

$$0 = \langle Tz, x \rangle = \langle z, T^*x \rangle, \quad \text{for any } z \in H_1.$$

Therefore,  $T^*x = 0$ , i.e.  $x \in \mathcal{N}(T^*)$ . Hence, (b) is valid.

† Email address: ymei@math.cuhk.edu.hk. (Any questions are welcome!)

(c) Taking orthogonal complement in (a) yields that  $M_1 \subset [T^*(H_2)]^\perp$ , since  $M_1 = \mathcal{N}(T)$  is a closed subspace. It suffice to show that  $[T^*(H_2)]^\perp \subset M_1$ . Indeed, let  $x \in [T^*(H_2)]^\perp$ . Then

$$0 = \langle x, T^*y \rangle = \langle Tx, y \rangle, \quad \text{for any } y \in H_2,$$

which implies that  $Tx = 0$ , i.e.  $x \in M_1 = \mathcal{N}(T)$ .

□