### Recall

### Hahn-Banach Theorem(s)

- Dominated extension. Let Y be a subspace of a vector space X. Let p be a positive homogeneous subadditive function on X. For every linear functional  $f \in Y^{\sharp}$  with  $f \leq p$  on Y, there exists  $F \in X^{\sharp}$  extending f and  $F \leq p$  on X.
- Continuous extension. Let Y be a subspace of a normed space X. For every  $f \in Y^*$ , there exists  $F \in X^*$  extending f such that  $||F|| = ||f||$ .
- Existence of separating functional. For every  $x_0$  in a normed space X, there exists  $f \in X^*$  such that  $||f|| = 1$  and  $f(x_0) = ||x_0||$ .
- Chosure point checking. Let Y be a subspace of a normed space X. Then  $x \in \overline{Y}$  if and only if for every  $f \in X^*$  with  $f = 0$  on Y, we have  $f(x) = 0$ .
- Hyperplane separation. Let C be a closed convex subset of a normed space X and  $x_0 \in X \setminus C$ . Then there exists  $f \in X^*$  such that  $\sup_{y \in C} f(C) < f(x_0)$ .

(Note that we restrict to normed space since the proof in [LN, Prop. 4.16] has used norm which can be avoided. But *hyperplane separation* holds for locally convex spaces.)

If the dual space  $X^*$  is separable, then X is separable.

Recall that to apply *dominated extension* in the proof of *hyperplane separation*, we have introduced the *Minkowski functional*  $\mu_A$  defined for a set A. The properties of A determine the behavior of  $\mu_A$ . The way of defining Minkowski functional is useful to construct natural functions from sets and reveals properties of the space.

Let X, Y be normed spaces and  $T \in B(X, Y)$ . The adjoint operator  $T^* \colon Y^* \to X^*$  is (formally) defined as, for  $y^* \in Y^*, x \in X$ ,

 $T^*y^*(x) \coloneqq y^*(Tx).$ 

Then  $T^* \in B(Y^*, X^*)$  and  $||T^*|| = ||T||$ . (In symmetric notation,  $\langle x, T^*y^* \rangle := \langle Tx, y^* \rangle := y^*(Tx)$ .)

# Dual space of  $C[a, b]$

Let [a, b] be a closed bounded interval in R. Let  $C[a, b]$  be the space of R-valued functions on [a, b] with the sup-norm  $\lVert \cdot \rVert_{\infty}$ .

Let  $\rho: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a real-valued function and  $P: \{a = x_0 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$  be a partition of [a, b]. Define the variation of  $\rho$  with respect to P by

$$
V(\rho, P) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\rho(x_k) - \rho(x_{k+1})|,
$$

and the total variation by

$$
V(\rho) \coloneqq \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} V(\rho, P)
$$

1 Prepared by Zhou Feng

where P denotes all the paritions of [a, b]. A function  $\rho: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is called bounded variation if  $V(\rho) < \infty$ . Let  $BV[a, b]$  denote the vector space of all the bounded variations.

Let  $f \in C[a, b]$  and  $\rho \in BV[a, b]$ . Let  $P : a = x_0 < \cdots < x_n = b$  with tags  $t_k \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$  be a tagged partition. Define the Riemann-Stieltjes sum with respect to  $\rho$  and P by

$$
S(f, \rho, P) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(t_k) (\rho(x_k) - \rho(x_{k-1})).
$$

Then the *Riemann-Stieltjes integral* is defined by

$$
\int_a^b f(x)d\rho(x) := \lim_{\|P\| \to 0} S(f, \rho, P).
$$

where  $||P||$  denotes the diameter of a partition. The Riemann-Stieljes integral exists by the uniform continuity of f on  $[a, b]$ .

Observe that  $V(\cdot)$  satisfies non-negativity, scaling property and the triangle inequality. However,  $V(\cdot)$  is not non-degenerate since  $V(\rho) = 0$  only implies that  $\rho$  is constant on [a, b]. Hence we restrict to the following subspace (the notation may not be standard)

$$
BV_0[a, b] = \{ \rho \in BV[a, b] : \rho(a) = 0 \} .
$$

Then it is readily checked that  $BV_0[a, b]$  is a Banach space under the norm  $V(\cdot)$ .

To justify the injectivity in our proof, we further remove the redundancy and modify the space to

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
BV_0^+[a,b] := \left\{ \rho \in BV_0[a,b] : \lim_{y \to x+} \rho(y) = \rho(x), \ \forall x \in (a,b) \right\}.
$$
 (1)

It can be checked that  $BV_0^+[a, b]$  is closed in  $BV_0[0, 1]$  since the right continuity is preserved by uniform convergence and  $\lVert \cdot \rVert_{\infty} \leq V(\cdot)$  on  $BV_0[a, b]$ .

Remark. The elements in [\(1\)](#page-1-0) are defined explicitly. They can viewed as representatives of classes in a quotient space whose definition shares the same purpose to establish the injectivity. The details are given in the next section.

It follows from Jordan decomposition of  $\rho \in BV[a, b]$  that  $\rho = \rho_+ - \rho_-$  where  $\rho_+$  and  $\rho_-$  are increasing. Hence for  $x \in (a, b)$ ,

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
\rho^* := \lim_{y \to x+} \rho = \lim_{y \to x+} \rho_+(x) - \lim_{y \to x+} \rho_-(x) \tag{2}
$$

is well defined, i.e.,  $\rho^* \in BV_0^+[a, b]$ . Since  $\rho_+$  and  $\rho_-$  are monotone,  $\rho^*$  and  $\rho$  only differ on the at most countable discountinuities in  $(a, b)$ . Moreover, for  $\rho \in BV_0[a, b]$ ,

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
V(\rho^*) \le V(\rho) \text{ and } \int_a^b f d\rho = \int_a^b f d\rho^* \text{ for all } f \in C[a, b]
$$
 (3)

and for  $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in BV_0^+[a, b],$ 

<span id="page-1-2"></span>if 
$$
\int_{a}^{b} f d\rho_{1} = \int_{a}^{b} f d\rho_{2}
$$
 for all  $f \in C[a, b]$ , then  $\rho_{1} = \rho_{2} \in BV_{0}^{+}[a, b]$ . (4)

The proofs of [\(3\)](#page-1-1) and [\(4\)](#page-1-2) are given in Appendix. After the above modifications, we are ready to state the main theorem.

<span id="page-2-5"></span>**Theorem 1.** Under above notation,  $C[a, b]^* = BV_0^+[a, b]$ .

*Proof.* We first introduce some convenient notation. For  $f \in C[a, b]$  and  $\rho \in BV_0[a, b]$ , denote

$$
\langle f, \rho \rangle := \int_a^b f d\rho.
$$

Then  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ :  $C[a, b] \times BV_0[a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is well defined by the existence of Riemann-Stieltjes integral. It follows from the linearity of summation that for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f, \tilde{f} \in C[a, b]$  and  $\rho, \tilde{\rho} \in BV_0[a, b],$ 

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\langle \alpha f + \tilde{f}, \rho \rangle = \alpha \langle f, \rho \rangle + \langle \tilde{f}, \rho \rangle \text{ and } \langle f, \alpha \rho + \tilde{\rho} \rangle = \alpha \langle f, \rho \rangle + \langle f, \tilde{\rho} \rangle. \tag{5}
$$

And since for any partition  $P$ , we have

$$
\left|\sum_{k=1}^n f(t_k) \left(\rho(x_k) - \rho(x_{k-1})\right)\right| \le ||f||_{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n |\rho(x_k) - \rho(x_{k-1})| = ||f||_{\infty} V(\rho, P) \le ||f||_{\infty} V(\rho).
$$

Then take the limit  $||P|| \rightarrow 0$  on the LHS, we have

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
|\langle f, \rho \rangle| \le ||f||_{\infty} V(\rho). \tag{6}
$$

By [\(5\)](#page-2-0) and [\(6\)](#page-2-1), for any fixed  $\rho \in BV_0^+[a, b]$ , the map  $\langle \cdot, \rho \rangle : C[a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is linear and bounded, i.e.,  $\langle \cdot, \rho \rangle \in C[a, b]^*$ . To complete the proof, we will prove the map

$$
T: BV_0^+[a, b] \to C[a, b]^*
$$

$$
\rho \mapsto \langle \cdot, \rho \rangle
$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

- <span id="page-2-4"></span>(i) (linear and injective) By  $(5)$ , T is linear. By  $(4)$ , T is injective.
- <span id="page-2-3"></span>(ii) (surjective) For any  $\Lambda \in C[a,b]^*$ , we will first find  $\rho \in BV_0[a,b]$  such that  $\Lambda f = \langle f, \rho \rangle$  for all  $f \in C[a, b]$  and then modify  $\rho$  to  $\rho^* \in BV_0^+[a, b]$ .

(Inspired by the 'formal' argument that  $\rho(x) - \rho(a) = \int_a^x d\rho = \langle \chi_{[a,x]}, \rho \rangle \approx \Lambda \chi_{[a,x]}$ . But we can NOT apply  $\Lambda$  directly to  $\chi_{[a,x]}$ , which is where Hahn-Banach comes into the stage.) Observing that  $C[a, b]$  is a subspace in the normed space  $B[a, b]$  of bounded functions, we apply  $Hahn-Banach$  to extend  $\Lambda$  to  $\Lambda \in B[a, b]^*$  with  $\|\tilde{\Lambda}\| = \|\Lambda\|$ . Hence we are able to define  $\rho(x) \coloneqq \Lambda \chi_{[a,x]}$  for  $x \in (a, b]$  and  $\rho(0) \coloneqq 0$ .

First we check  $\rho \in BV_0[a, b]$ . For any partition P, write  $\theta_k = \text{Sgn}(\rho(x_k) - \rho(x_{k-1}))$ . Then by the linearity and  $\|\tilde{\Lambda}\| = \|\Lambda\|$ ,

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} |\rho(x_k) - \rho(x_{k-1})| = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \theta_k (\rho(x_k) - \rho(x_{k-1}))
$$
  
=  $\theta_1 \widetilde{\Lambda} \chi_{[a,x_1]} + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \theta_k (\widetilde{\Lambda} \chi_{[a,x_k]} - \widetilde{\Lambda} \chi_{[a,x_{k-1}]})$   
=  $\widetilde{\Lambda} (\theta_1 \chi_{[a,x_1]} + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \theta_k (\chi_{[a,x_k]} - \chi_{[a,x_{k-1}]}) )$   
 $\leq ||\widetilde{\Lambda}|| ||\theta_1 \chi_{[a,x_1]} + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \theta_k \chi_{(x_{k-1},x_k]} ||_{\infty}$   
=  $||\Lambda||$ , (7)

3 Prepared by Zhou Feng

where in the last equality we used that the function in  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  is bounded by 1. Take supremum over partition P on LHS to obtain  $V(\rho) \leq ||\Lambda||$ . Hence  $\rho \in BV_0[a, b]$ .

Next we check  $\Lambda f = \langle f, \rho \rangle$  for all  $f \in C[a, b]$ .

(Inspired by the facts that Riemann-Stieljes integral is contuinous w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  and f can by uniformly approximated by step functions.) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the uniform continuity of f, there exists  $\delta_1$  such that for any partition P with  $||P|| \leq \delta_1$ ,  $\sup_{x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]} |f(x) - f(x_k)| \leq \varepsilon$ for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then define the step function  $\tilde{f} = f(x_1)\chi_{[a,x_1]} + \sum_{k=2}^{n} f(x_k)\chi_{(x_{k-1},x_k]}$ 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
||f - \tilde{f}||_{\infty} \le \varepsilon \tag{8}
$$

By the definition of Riemann-Stieltjes integral, there exists  $\delta_2 > 0$ , such that for any partition P with  $||P|| \leq \delta_2$  and the tags  $t_k = x_k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ , we have

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
|\langle f, \rho \rangle - S(f, \rho, P)| \le \varepsilon. \tag{9}
$$

It follows from a similar check in [\(7\)](#page-2-2) that  $S(f, \rho, P) = \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{f}$ . Hence when  $||P|| < \min{\delta_1, \delta_2}$ , by  $(8)$  and  $(9)$ ,

$$
|\langle f, \rho \rangle - \Lambda f| = \left| \langle f, \rho \rangle - \widetilde{\Lambda} f \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \left| \langle f, \rho \rangle - \widetilde{\Lambda} \widetilde{f} \right| + |\widetilde{\Lambda} \widetilde{f} - \widetilde{\Lambda} f|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |\langle f, \rho \rangle - S(f, \rho, P)| + ||\widetilde{\Lambda}|| ||f - \widetilde{f}||_{\infty}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq (1 + ||\Lambda||)\varepsilon.
$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we have  $\langle f, \rho \rangle = \Lambda f$ .

Replace  $\rho$  with  $\rho^*$  defined in [\(2\)](#page-1-3). It follows from [\(3\)](#page-1-1) that  $\langle f, \rho^* \rangle = \langle f, \rho \rangle = \Lambda f$  and  $V(\rho^*) \leq V(\rho) \leq ||\Lambda||.$ 

(iii) (isometric) Let  $\rho \in BV_0^+[a, b]$ . It follows from [\(6\)](#page-2-1), that  $||T\rho|| \le V(\rho)$ . By [\(ii\),](#page-2-3) there exists  $\tilde{\rho} \in BV_0^+[a, b]$  with  $V(\tilde{\rho}) \leq ||T\rho||$  and  $\langle f, \tilde{\rho} \rangle = \langle f, \rho \rangle$ . By [\(i\),](#page-2-4)  $\rho = \tilde{\rho} \in BV_0^+[a, b]$ . Hence  $V(\rho) \leq ||T\rho||$ , thus  $V(\rho) = ||T\rho||$ .

Remark. A similar proof shows [Theorem 1](#page-2-5) also holds when the scalar field is C. These results are the special cases of Riesz representation of  $C_0(X)^*$  via Borel regular measures when X is locally compact Hausdorff.

It's good to stop here.

Remark. Actually the explicit candidate in [\(1\)](#page-1-0) is found in a 'cheated' way. We can reason as following, for  $\Lambda \in C[a, b]^*$ , by the general Riesz representation, there exists a unique Borel regular measure  $\mu \in M[a, b]$  such that  $\Lambda f = \int_a^b f d\mu$  (the integral is defined in Lebesgue way). Then the cumulative distribution function  $F_{\mu}(t) := \mu[a, t]$  is right countinuous (by the continuity of measure) and of bounded variation. Moreover,  $\mu$  is the measure extension of the premeasure induced by  $F_\mu$  on semiring  $\{a, \emptyset, (c, d], a \leq c < d \leq b\}$ . However, notice that for the Dirac measure  $\delta_a$  (representing the evaluation  $\Lambda f = f(a)$ ), the Riemann-Stieljes integral w.r.t.  $F_{\delta_a} = \chi_{[a,b]}$ 

 $\Box$ 

identically vanish on  $C[a, b]$ ! Then we realize the Riemann-Stieltjies integral will 'forget' the jump at a if the  $\rho$  is right-continuous at a. Hence we made the following modification for  $\mu \in M[a, b],$ 

$$
\Lambda f = \int_{a}^{b} f d\mu = \mu \{a\} f(a) + \int_{a}^{b} f dF_{\mu} = \int_{a}^{b} f d(F_{\mu} + G_{\mu \{a\}})
$$

where  $G_{\mu{a}}(a) := -\mu{a}\chi_{\{a\}}$ . Hence  $\widetilde{F}_{\mu} := F_{\mu} + G_{\mu{a}} \in BV_0^+[a, b]$ .

Then there comes a natural follow-up question that why we don't have to modify the distribution function in the 'Stieljes' integral defined in probability theory (e.g. MATH3280). One reason is that  $F(-\infty) = 0$  and the integration is on the whole real line.

### A quotient space perspective

Instead of explicitly finding the representatives like [\(1\)](#page-1-0), another natural way to achieve the injectivity is to define the quotient space. Define a subspace of  $BV_0[a, b]$  as

$$
H \coloneqq \{ \rho \in BV_0[a, b] : \langle f, \rho \rangle = 0, \ \forall \, f \in C[a, b] \}. \tag{10}
$$

By [\(6\)](#page-2-1), H is closed as the intersection of the kernels of continuous function  $\langle f, \cdot \rangle$ . Explicitly, H is exactly the subspace of  $BV_0[a, b]$  consisting of the functions differing from 0 only on at most countable points on  $(a, b)$ . Hence  $BV_0[a, b]/H$  is well-defined. Let  $\pi$  be the natural projection. Define  $T: BV_0[a, b]/H \to C[a, b]^*$  by  $T(\pi(\rho)) = \langle \cdot, \rho \rangle$ . Recall for any  $\pi(\rho) \in BV_0[a, b]$ , the quotient norm  $\|\pi(\rho)\| \leq \|\rho\|$  and for any  $h \in H$ ,  $|\langle f, \rho \rangle| = |\langle f, \rho + h \rangle| \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \|\rho + h\|$ , we have  $||T\rho|| \le ||\pi(\rho)||$ . The linear and injectivity follows as we expected. The surjectivity is obtained in the same way in the proof of [Theorem 1.](#page-2-5) Thus  $C[a, b]^* = BV_0[a, b]/H$  also holds.

## Appendix

In this Appendix, we will establish the intuition that with respect to  $\langle f, \cdot \rangle$ ,  $\forall f \in C[a, b]$ , a change at the countable **interior** discountinuites of  $\rho \in BV_0[a, b]$  doesn't matter.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Lemma 2.** Let  $c \in (a, b)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ . The Riemann-Stieljes integral  $\int_a^b f d(\alpha \chi_{\{c\}}) = 0$  for all  $f \in C[a, b].$ 

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C[a, b]$  and P be any tagged partition of [a, b]. If c is in the interior of some  $[x_k, x_{k-1}]$ , then  $S(f, \chi_{\{c\}}, P) = 0$ . If  $c = x_k$  for some  $x_k \in (a, b)$ , then by choosing the tag  $c = x_k$  at both  $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$  and  $[x_k, x_{k+1}]$ , we have  $S(f, \chi_{\{c\}}, P) = \alpha f(c) - \alpha f(c) = 0$ . Hence  $\int_a^b f d(\alpha \chi_{\{c\}}) = 0.$  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Lemma 3.** Let  $\rho \in BV_0[a, b]$ . Denote  $(c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  the discountinuous points of  $\rho$  in  $(a, b)$  and  $(\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ the oscillations of  $\rho$ , more precisely,  $\alpha_n = \lim_{y \to c_n-} \rho(y) - \lim_{y \to c_n+} \rho(y)$ . For any sequence  $(\beta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $|\beta_n| \leq \alpha_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $\eta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \chi_{\{c_n\}}$ . Then  $\eta \in BV_0[a, b]$  and  $\int_a^b f d\eta = 0$  for all  $f \in C[a, b]$ .

If  $\rho$  has only finitely many discontinuities, [Lemma 2](#page-4-0) finishes the proof.

*Proof.* Since  $\rho \in BV_0[a, b], \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_n| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \leq V(\rho) < \infty$ . Hence for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |\beta_n| \leq \varepsilon/2$ . Then

$$
V(\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \beta_n \chi_{\{c_n\}}) \le 2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |\beta_n| \le \varepsilon.
$$

Let  $f \in C[a, b]$ . By [Lemma 2](#page-4-0) and [\(6\)](#page-2-1),

$$
|\langle f, \eta \rangle| = \left| \langle f, \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n \chi_{\{c_n\}} \rangle + \langle f, \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty \beta_n \chi_{\{c_n\}} \rangle \right| \leq 0 + \|f\|_\infty \varepsilon.
$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we have  $\langle f, \eta \rangle = 0$ .

*Proof of* [\(3\)](#page-1-1). Let  $\rho \in BV_0[a, b]$ . Define  $\rho_n :=$  $\int \rho(x+1/n) \quad \text{if } x \in [a, b-1/n]$  $\rho(b)$  if  $x \in (b - 1/n, b].$ Then it is readily

checked that  $V(\rho_n) \leq V(\rho)$  and  $\rho^* = \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_n$ . By the lower semi-continuity of  $V(\cdot)$  (see e.g. [Royden-Fitzpatrick Real Analysis, Sec 6.3 Problem 33]),  $V(\rho^*) \le V(\rho)$ .

By the definition of  $\rho^*$ , we have  $\rho^* - \rho = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \chi_{\{c_n\}}$  for some sequence  $(\beta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  satisfying the condition in [Lemma 3.](#page-4-1) Hence  $\langle f, \rho^* \rangle = \langle f, \rho \rangle$  for all  $f \in C[a, b]$ .  $\Box$ 

Proof of [\(4\)](#page-1-2). It suffices to prove that if  $\rho \in BV_0^+[a, b]$  and  $\langle f, \rho \rangle = 0$  for all  $f \in C[a, b]$ , then  $\rho = 0$ . Let  $\mu$  be the measure extended from by  $\rho^*$ . Then for any  $c \in (a, b]$ ,  $\rho^*(c) =$  $\mu[a,c] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int f_n d\mu = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left( \rho^*(a) f_n(a) + \int_a^b f_n d\rho + \int_a^b f_n d\rho^*(a) \chi_{\{a\}} \right) = 0$  where the  $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ second equality follows from Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem for sequence  $f_n(x) \coloneqq$ 

$$
\begin{cases}\n1 & [a, c] \\
\text{linear} & (c, c + 1/n] \\
0 & (c + 1/n, b].\n\end{cases}
$$
\nHence  $\rho^* = 0$  on  $[a, b]$  and  $\rho = 0$  on  $[a, b]$ .

 $\Box$