## MATH 2058 - HW 1 - Solutions

## Comments.

- *i.* Do NOT skip writing quantifies (for all, for some, there exists, etc) when writing statements. Otherwise, it would be hard for both me and (more importantly) yourself to read the answers.
- ii. Inf, Sup are operations for neither functions nor numbers, but sets. Beware of how you take infimums/supremums. In general, make it clear to yourself the kind of objects, for example, sets, functions or numbers, you are dealing with,

**1** (P.44-45 Q8). Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a non-empty subset. Let  $f, g : X \to \mathbb{R}$  be functions of bounded ranges.

- a. Show that
  - i.  $\sup\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\} \le \sup\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) : x \in X\}$ ii.  $\inf\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \inf\{g(x) : x \in X\} \le \inf\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\}$
- b. Give examples to show that each of the above inequalities can either be strict or equal.

Solution. Since f, g are functions of bounded ranges, by the Axiom of Completeness, all the supremums and infimums in questions are finite and well-defined.

a. i. (Method 1: By Definition of Supremum) Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $f(x) \le \sup\{f(x) : x \in X\}$ and  $g(x) \le \sup\{g(x) : x \in X\}$  as supremums are upper bounds. Therefore, we have

$$f(x) + g(x) \le \sup\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) : x \in X\}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . In other words,  $\sup\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) : x \in X\}$  is an upper bound for the set  $\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\}$ . By definition of supremum as the *least* upper bound, we have

$$\sup\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\} \le \sup\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) : x \in X\}$$

(Method 2: Using an  $\epsilon$ -argument) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then by the  $\epsilon$ -characterization of supremum, there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that

$$\sup\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\} - \epsilon < f(x_0) + g(x_0) \le \sup\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) : x \in X\}$$

As the above inequalities holds for arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ , it follows that

$$\sup\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\} \le \sup\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) : x \in X\}$$

ii. The structure of arguments is basically the same as that of the case of supremums. We present here only the one using an  $\epsilon$ -argument.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then by the  $\epsilon$ -characterization of infimum, there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that

$$\inf\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\} + \epsilon > f(x_0) + g(x_0)$$

Note that  $f(x_0) \ge \inf\{f(x) : x \in X\}$  and  $g(x_0) \ge \inf\{g(x) : x \in X\}$  as infimums are lower bounds. Hence, we have

$$\inf\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\} + \epsilon > f(x_0) + g(x_0) \ge \inf\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \inf\{g(x) : x \in X\}$$

As the above inequalities holds for arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ , it follows that

$$\inf\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\} \ge \inf\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \inf\{g(x) : x \in X\}$$

- b. Take  $X = \{0, 1\}$ . Define  $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$  by f(0) := 0 and f(1) := 1; define  $g : X \to \mathbb{R}$  by g(0) := 1and g(1) := 0. It is not hard to see that we have
  - $\inf\{f(x): x \in X\} = 0$ ,  $\sup\{f(x): x \in X\} = 1$
  - $\inf\{g(x): x \in X\} = 0, \sup\{g(x): x \in X\} = 1$
  - $\inf\{f(x) + f(x) : x \in X\} = 0, \sup\{f(x) + f(x) : x \in X\} = 2$
  - $\inf\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\} = 1$ ,  $\sup\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\} = 1$

Therefore we have

- Equality:  $\sup\{f(x) + f(x) : x \in X\} = \sup\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \sup\{f(x) : x \in X\}$
- Equality:  $\inf\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \inf\{f(x) : x \in X\} = \inf\{f(x) + f(x) : x \in X\}$
- Strict Inequality:  $\sup\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\} < \sup\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) : x \in X\}$
- Strict Inequality:  $\inf\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \inf\{g(x) : x \in X\} > \inf\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\}$

**2** (P.44-45 Q11). Let  $X, Y \subset \mathbb{R}$  be nonempty subsets. Let  $h: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$  be of bounded range. Let  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: Y \to \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$f(x) := \sup\{h(x, y) : y \in Y\} \qquad \qquad g(y) := \inf\{h(x, y) : x \in X\}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  respectively. Show that

$$\sup\{g(y): y \in Y\} \le \inf\{f(x): x \in X\}$$

Solution. First,  $\sup\{g(y) : y \in Y\}$  and  $\inf\{f(x) : x \in X\}$  exist as the respective sets are clearly bounded. Next we present two ways to proceed.

(Method 1: Using an  $\epsilon$ -argument). Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $y_0 \in Y$  and  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\sup\{g(y) : y \in Y\} - \epsilon/2 < g(y_0)$  and  $f(x_0) < \inf\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \epsilon$  by the  $\epsilon$ -characterizations of supremums and infimums respectively. Note that by definition of g, f, we have

$$g(y_0) = \inf\{h(x, y_0) : x \in X\} \le h(x_0, y_0) \le \sup\{h(x_0, y) : y \in Y\} = f(x_0)$$

It follows that

$$\sup\{g(y): y \in Y\} - \epsilon/2 < g(y_0) \le f(x_0) < \inf\{f(x): x \in X\} + \epsilon/2$$

and so

$$\sup\{g(y): y \in Y\} < \inf\{f(x): x \in X\} + \epsilon$$

As  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $\sup\{g(y) : y \in Y\} \le \inf\{f(x) : x \in X\}.$ 

(Method 2: By Definitions) . We claim that for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ , we have  $g(y) \leq f(x)$ . It is because for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ , we have by definitions of infimums and supremums as lower and upper bounds that

$$g(y) = \inf\{h(x, y) : x \in X\} \le h(x, y) \le \sup\{h(x, y) : y \in Y\} = f(x)$$

Hence, fixing  $x \in X$ , we have  $g(y) \leq f(x)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . This implies f(x) is an upper bound for  $\{g(y) : y \in Y\}$ . Therefore, we have  $\sup\{g(y) : y \in Y\} \leq f(x)$  by the definition of supremum as the least upper bound. As x is arbitrary, we now have that  $\sup\{g(y) : y \in Y\}$  to be a lower bound for  $\{f(x) : x \in X\}$ . Therefore, by the definition of infimum as the greatest lower bounds, we have

$$\sup\{g(y): y \in Y\} \le \inf\{f(x): x \in X\}$$