CSCI5160 Approximation Algorithms Spring 2018 *Lecturer: Siu On Chan* Scribe: Zhi Zhen Ye

Notes 2: Ellipsoid Algorithm

We will outline the ellipsoid algorithm. Full details of the algorithm will not be covered; interested students may consult the lecture notes [\[2\]](#page-1-0) and the references therein (such as [\[1,](#page-1-1) Section 2]).

The following set of lecture notes follow [\[3\]](#page-1-2).

1. Linear programs as satisfiability problems

Recall that a linear program (LP) takes the form

 $v^* = \max \quad c^T x$ $Ax \leq b$ $x \geqslant 0$

where $x, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a m-by-n matrix. Here x represents our LP variables, c represents our linear objective function, and $Ax \leq b$ are the linear constraints which means that $(Ax)_i \leqslant b_i, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}.$ The last inequality constraint $x \geqslant 0$ means that x has to be entry-wise nonnegative. Let

$$
F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ax \leqslant b \text{ and } x \geqslant 0 \}
$$

be the feasible region, which is the set only containing all x satisfying all constraints. We are interested in finding a maximizer $x^* \in F$ where $c^T x^* \geqslant c^T x, \forall x \in F$.

Note that we can perform a reduction from this optimization problem to a satisfiability problem. The way we do this is by doing a binary search for objective value. If we know that $v^* \in [low, up]$, then we can do the binary search by adding constraint $c^T x \geqslant t$ and see if $F \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid c^T x \geqslant t\}$ is non-empty. If so, we know $v^* \in [t, up]$. Otherwise, $v^* \in [low, t)$. And we can keep doing this iteratively. The number of times we incur the satisfiability solver is is $O(log_2(\frac{up - low}{\epsilon})$ $\frac{-\text{low}}{\epsilon})$), where ϵ is the precision required.

Algorithm 1: Linear Programs Solver

```
1 function solve (A, b, c, low, up, \epsilon)Input : A, b, c :=Parameter of LP
               low := lower bound
               up := upper bound
               \epsilon := required precision, e.g. 10<sup>-4</sup>
   Output: near-optimal solution x^* and near-optimal value v^*2 F := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ax \leqslant b \text{ and } x \geqslant 0\}3 closest sol := 0// Already within precision
 4 if up - low > \epsilon then
       // Assume feasible returns a bool representing feasibility and a feasible
            solution
 5 is\_feasible, x := \underline{feasible}(F \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid c^T x \geq low\})6 return x, c^T x7 while up - low > \epsilon do
 8 \Big| med := \frac{low+up}{2}2
 9 is\_feasible, x := \underline{feasible}(F \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid c^T x \geqslant med\})10 if is_feasible then
11 \Big| low \leftarrow c^T x12 | \text{closest\_sol} \leftarrow x13 else
14 \downarrow up \leftarrow med
15 end
16 end
17 return closest_sol, low
```
Before we proceed, let's introduce the task of checking for feasibility, and a related task of checking whether the constraints can be robustly satisfied.

Problem 1.1 (Feasibility). Given a set of constraints C, consider the feasible set $F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in$ $\mathbb{R}^n \mid x$ satisfies all constraints in C}. If $F \neq \emptyset$, then return any $x \in F$. Otherwise, output "F is infeasible".

Problem 1.2 (Robust feasibility). Given a set of constraints C and a length parameter $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, consider the feasible set $F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \text{ satisfies } C\}$. If F contains any cube of length r, return any $x \in F$. Otherwise, output "F is infeasible".

We claim (without giving any details) that to approximately solve a linear program, it suffices to solve robust feasibility.

2. Ellipsoid Algorithm

Remark 2.1*.*

- (1) In Line [8,](#page-1-3) since $F \subseteq E$ and $F \subseteq H$, we know $F \subseteq E \cap H$. So the iterative process is clear.
- (2) In terms of solving efficency, we have following claim (without proof):

Claim 2.2*. Vol(new ellipsoid)* $\leq (1 - \frac{1}{n^3})$ *Vol(original ellipsoid), where n is the dimension* $of \vec{x}$ *, the variables.*

Therefore the ellipsoid E in the algorithm shrinks exponentially. Assume that the separating oracle (a black-box subroutine for finding separating hyperplane) is efficient (polynomialtime in terms of problem size). Checking whether constraints are satisfied or not in LP is also efficient. (Here we gloss over details about finding the smallest ellipsoid containing the intersection.) Therefore LP is (approximately) solvable in polynomial time.

- (3) For LP, a separating hyperplane may be given by a violating constraint, that is a row A_i of A where $A_i^T c > b_i$ (and this must exist). Such a row can be found in polynomial time.
- (4) For SDP, the variable is a matrix. If $X \geq 0$ does not hold, then it has a negative eigenvalue. The associated eigenvector defines a separating hyperplane in this case.

REFERENCES

- [1] Robert G. Bland, Donald Goldfarb, and Michael J. Todd. The ellipsoid method: A survey. *Operations Research*, pages 1039–1091, 1981.
- [2] Stephen Boyd. Ellipsoid method. [https://stanford.edu/class/ee364b/lectures/ellipsoid_method_notes.](https://stanford.edu/class/ee364b/lectures/ellipsoid_method_notes.pdf) [pdf](https://stanford.edu/class/ee364b/lectures/ellipsoid_method_notes.pdf), 2014.
- [3] Ryan O'Donnell. Lecture 15, a theorist's toolkit. <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~odonnell/toolkit13/lecture15.pdf>, 2013.

 $\tt FIGURE$ 1. Ellipsoid in a single iteration