# Context-free Grammars CSCI 3130 Formal Languages and Automata Theory

## Siu On CHAN

Chinese University of Hong Kong

Fall 2015

## Precedence in Arithmetic Expressions





# Grammars describe meaning



rules for valid (simple) arithmetic expressions

Rules always yield the correct meaning

# Grammar of English









# Grammar of English











# Grammar of English



#### **Recursive structure**

# Grammar of (parts of) English

| $SENTENCE \to NOUN\text{-}PHRASEVERB\text{-}PHRASE$                         | $ARTICLE \to a$    |
|---|--------------------|
| NOUN-PHRASE $ ightarrow$ A-NOUN   | $ARTICLE \to the$  |
| NOUN-PHRASE $ ightarrow$ A-NOUN PREP-PHRASE                                 | $NOUN \to boy$     |
| $VERB\text{-}PHRASE \to CMPLX\text{-}VERB$                                  | $NOUN \to girl$    |
| $VERB\text{-}PHRASE \to CMPLX\text{-}VERB\operatorname{PREP}\text{-}PHRASE$ | $NOUN \to flower$  |
| $PREP\text{-}PHRASE \to PREP\operatorname{A-NOUN}$                          | $VERB \to likes$   |
| $\operatorname{A-NOUN}\to\operatorname{ARTICLE}\operatorname{NOUN}$         | $VERB \to touches$ |
| $CMPLX\text{-}VERB \rightarrow VERB  NOUN\text{-}PHRASE$                    | $VERB \to sees$    |
| $CMPLX	ext{-}VERB	oVERB$  | $PREP \to with$    |

## The meaning of sentences

ARTICLENOUN PREP ARTICLE NOUN VERB ARTICLE NOUN a girl with a flower likes the boy

## The meaning of sentences



## The meaning of sentences



# Context-free grammar

- $\begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow \mathsf{0}A\mathbf{1} \\ A \rightarrow B \\ B \rightarrow \# \end{array}$
- A, B are variables 0, 1 are terminals  $A \rightarrow 0A1$  is a production A is the start variable

## Context-free grammar

$$\begin{array}{c} A \rightarrow \mathsf{0}A\mathbf{1} \\ A \rightarrow B \\ B \rightarrow \# \end{array}$$

A, B are variables 0, 1 are terminals  $A \rightarrow 0A1$  is a production A is the start variable

 $A \Rightarrow 0A1 \Rightarrow 00A11 \Rightarrow 000A111 \Rightarrow 000B111 \Rightarrow 000\#111$ derivation

# Context-free grammar

A context-free grammar is given by (  $V, \Sigma, R, S)$  where

- V is a finite set of variables or non-terminals
- $\Sigma$  is a finite set of terminals
- ► *R* is a set of productions or substitution rules of the form

## $A \to \alpha$

A is a variable and  $\alpha$  is a string of variables and terminals

•  $S \in V$  is a variable called the start variable

## Notation and conventions

| $E \to E + E$ | Ν |
|---------------|---|
| $E \to (E)$   | Ν |
| $E \to N$     | Ν |
|               | λ |

 $N \rightarrow 0N$  $N \rightarrow 1N$  $N \rightarrow 0$  $N \rightarrow 1$ 

Variables: E, NTerminals: +, (, ), 0, 1 Start variable: E

#### shorthand:

$$\begin{split} E &\rightarrow E {+}E \mid (E) \mid N \\ N &\rightarrow \mathbf{0}N \mid \mathbf{1}N \mid \mathbf{0} \mid \mathbf{1} \end{split}$$

conventions:

variables in UPPERCASE start variable comes first

## Derivation

### derivation: a sequential application of productions

derivation

| $E \Rightarrow$ | E+ $E$                     |
|-----------------|----------------------------|
| $\Rightarrow$   | (E)+ $E$                   |
| $\Rightarrow$   | (E)+ $N$                   |
| $\Rightarrow$   | ( <i>E</i> )+1             |
| $\Rightarrow$   | ( <i>E</i> + <i>E</i> )+1  |
| $\Rightarrow$   | ( <i>N</i> + <i>E</i> )+1  |
| $\Rightarrow$   | ( <i>N</i> + <i>N</i> )+1  |
| $\Rightarrow$   | ( <i>N</i> +1 <i>N</i> )+1 |
| $\Rightarrow$   | (N+10)+1                   |
| $\Rightarrow$   | (1+10)+1                   |

$$\begin{split} E &\rightarrow E\text{+}E \mid (E) \mid N \\ N &\rightarrow \text{0}N \mid \text{1}N \mid \text{0} \mid \text{1} \end{split}$$

$$\label{eq:application} \begin{split} \alpha \Rightarrow \beta \\ \text{application of one} \\ \text{production} \end{split}$$

## Derivation

### derivation: a sequential application of productions

| $E \Rightarrow$ | E+ $E$                     | I     |
|-----------------|----------------------------|-------|
| $\Rightarrow$   | ( <i>E</i> )+ <i>E</i>     |       |
| $\Rightarrow$   | (E)+ $N$                   |       |
| $\Rightarrow$   | ( <i>E</i> )+1             |       |
| $\Rightarrow$   | ( <i>E</i> + <i>E</i> )+1  | tion  |
| $\Rightarrow$   | ( <i>N</i> + <i>E</i> )+1  | eriva |
| $\Rightarrow$   | ( <i>N</i> + <i>N</i> )+1  | β     |
| $\Rightarrow$   | ( <i>N</i> +1 <i>N</i> )+1 |       |
| $\Rightarrow$   | (N+10)+1                   |       |
| $\Rightarrow$   | (1+10)+1                   | F     |
|                 |                            |       |

$$\begin{split} E &\rightarrow E \text{+} E \mid (E) \mid N \\ N &\rightarrow \text{0} N \mid \text{1} N \mid \text{0} \mid \text{1} \end{split}$$



 $E \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow}$  (1+10)+1

$$\alpha \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \beta$$
 derivation

# Context-free languages

The language of a CFG is the set of all strings at the end of a derivation

$$L(G) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w \}$$

Questions we will ask: I give you a CFG, what is the language? I give you a language, write a CFG for it

$$\begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow \mathsf{OA1} \mid B \\ B \rightarrow \texttt{\#} \end{array}$$

$$L(G) = \{\mathbf{0}^n \# \mathbf{1}^n \mid n \ge 0\}$$

## Can you derive:

00#11

#

00#111

00##11

$$\begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow \mathsf{OA1} \mid B \\ B \rightarrow \texttt{\#} \end{array}$$

$$L(G) = \{\mathbf{0}^n \# \mathbf{1}^n \mid n \ge 0\}$$

## Can you derive:

00#11  $A \Rightarrow 0A1 \Rightarrow 00A11 \Rightarrow 00B11 \Rightarrow 00#11$ 

#

00#111

00##11

$$\begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow \mathsf{OA1} \mid B \\ B \rightarrow \texttt{\#} \end{array}$$

$$L(G) = \{\mathbf{0}^n \# \mathbf{1}^n \mid n \ge 0\}$$

## Can you derive:

- $\# \qquad \qquad A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow \#$

00#111

00##11

$$\begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow \mathsf{OA1} \mid B \\ B \rightarrow \texttt{\#} \end{array}$$

$$L(G) = \{\mathbf{0}^n \# \mathbf{1}^n \mid n \ge 0\}$$

#### Can you derive:

| 00#11 | $A \Rightarrow 0A1 \Rightarrow 00A11 \Rightarrow 00B11 \Rightarrow 00\#11$ |
|-------|--|
|       |  |

 $\# \hspace{1.5cm} A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow \#$ 

00#111 No: uneven number of 0s and 1s

00##11 No: too many #





 $S \Rightarrow (S)$  $\Rightarrow ()$ 





## Parse trees

## $S \to SS \mid (S) \mid \varepsilon$

#### A parse tree gives a more compact representation





## Parse trees

| $S \Rightarrow (S)$    |   | $S \Rightarrow (S)$    |
|------------------------|---|------------------------|
| $\Rightarrow$ (SS)     |   | $\Rightarrow$ (SS)     |
| $\Rightarrow$ ((S)S)   |   | $\Rightarrow$ (S(S))   |
| $\Rightarrow$ ((S)(S)) |   | $\Rightarrow$ ((S)(S)) |
| $\Rightarrow$ (()(S))  |   | $\Rightarrow$ (()(S))  |
| $\Rightarrow$ (()())   |   | $\Rightarrow$ (()())   |
| $S \Rightarrow (S)$    | $S$ $S$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ | $S \Rightarrow (S)$    |
| $\Rightarrow$ (SS)     |   | $\Rightarrow$ (SS)     |
| $\Rightarrow$ ((S)S)   | $\varepsilon$ $\varepsilon$   | $\Rightarrow$ (S(S))   |
| $\Rightarrow$ (()S)    |   | $\Rightarrow$ (S())    |
| $\Rightarrow$ (()(S))  |   | $\Rightarrow$ ((S)())  |
| $\Rightarrow$ (()())   |   | $\Rightarrow$ (()())   |

One parse tree can represent many derivations

## $S \to SS \mid (S) \mid \varepsilon$

## Can you derive

(()()

())(()

## $S \to SS \mid (S) \mid \varepsilon$

## Can you derive

## (()() No: uneven number of ( and )

())(()

## $S \to SS \mid (S) \mid \varepsilon$

## Can you derive

(()() No: uneven number of ( and )

())(() No: some prefix has too many )

## $S \to SS \mid (S) \mid \varepsilon$

 $S \to SS \mid (S) \mid \varepsilon$ 

 $L(G) = \{ w \mid w \text{ has the same number of ( and )}$ no prefix of w has more ) than (}



Parsing rules:

Divide w into blocks with same number of ( and )

Each block is in L(G)

Parse each block recursively

$$L = \{\mathbf{0}^n \mathbf{1}^n \mid n \ge 0\}$$

#### These strings have recursive structure

 $\varepsilon$ 

$$L = \{\mathbf{0}^n \mathbf{1}^n \mid n \ge 0\}$$

### These strings have recursive structure

 $S \rightarrow 0S1 \mid \varepsilon$ 

$$L = \{\mathbf{0}^n \mathbf{1}^n \mathbf{0}^m \mathbf{1}^m \mid n \ge 0, m \ge 0\}$$

$$L = \{\mathbf{0}^n \mathbf{1}^n \mathbf{0}^m \mathbf{1}^m \mid n \ge 0, m \ge 0\}$$

These strings have two parts:

$$L = L_1 L_2$$
  

$$L_1 = \{ 0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 0 \}$$
  

$$L_2 = \{ 0^m 1^m \mid m \ge 0 \}$$

rules for  $L_1: S_1 \to \mathbf{0} S_1 \mathbf{1} \mid \varepsilon$  $L_2$  is the same as  $L_1$ 

$$egin{array}{ll} S 
ightarrow S_1S_1 \ S_1 
ightarrow {f 0} S_1 {f 1} \mid arepsilon \end{array}$$

Examples: 

$$L = \{\mathbf{0}^n \mathbf{1}^m \mathbf{0}^m \mathbf{1}^n \mid n \ge 0, m \ge 0\}$$

$$L = \{0^{n}1^{m}0^{m}1^{n} \mid n \ge 0, m \ge 0\}$$
  
Examples:  
011001  
0011  
1100  
00110011

These strings have a nested structure:

outer part:  $0^n 1^n$ inner part:  $1^m 0^m$ 

 $S \to 0S1 \mid I$  $I \to 1I0 \mid \varepsilon$ 

 $L = \{x \mid x \text{ has two 0-blocks with the same number 0s} \}$ 01011, 001011001, 10010101000 11001000, 01111 allowed not allowed

 $L = \{x \mid x \text{ has two 0-blocks with the same number 0s} \}$  01011, 001011001, 10010101000 11001, 01111 allowed not allowed



C: cannot begin with 0



$$\begin{split} S &\to ABC \\ A &\to \varepsilon \mid U\mathbf{1} \\ U &\to \mathbf{0} U \mid \mathbf{1} U \mid \varepsilon \\ C &\to \varepsilon \mid \mathbf{1} U \end{split}$$

- A:  $\varepsilon$ , or ends in 1
- C:  $\varepsilon$ , or begins with 1
- U: any string



$$\begin{split} S &\to ABC \\ A &\to \varepsilon \mid U1 \\ U &\to 0U \mid 1U \mid \varepsilon \\ C &\to \varepsilon \mid 1U \\ B &\to 0D0 \mid 0B0 \\ D &\to 1U1 \mid 1 \end{split}$$

- A:  $\varepsilon$ , or ends in 1
- C:  $\varepsilon$ , or begins with 1
- U: any string

B has recursive structure



at least one 0

D: begins and ends in 1

Write a CFG for the language  $(0 + 1)^* 111$ 

Write a CFG for the language  $(0 + 1)^*111$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow \ U \texttt{111} \\ U \rightarrow \texttt{0} \ U \mid \texttt{1} \ U \mid \varepsilon \end{array}$ 

Can you do so for every regular language?

Write a CFG for the language  $(0 + 1)^*111$ 

$$\begin{split} S &\to U \texttt{111} \\ U &\to \texttt{0} \, U \mid \texttt{1} \, U \mid \varepsilon \end{split}$$

Can you do so for every regular language?

Every regular language is context-free



# From regular to context-free

| regular expression  | $\Rightarrow$ CFG             |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Ø                   | grammar with no rules         |
| ε                   | $S \to \varepsilon$           |
| a (alphabet symbol) | S  ightarrow a                |
| $E_1 + E_2$         | $S \to S_1 \mid S_2$          |
| $E_1 E_2$           | $S \to S_1 S_2$               |
| $E_1^*$             | $S \to SS_1 \mid \varepsilon$ |

 ${\cal S}$  becomes the new start variable

Is every context-free language regular?

Is every context-free language regular?

$$S \to 0S1$$
  $L = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 0\}$   
Is context-free but not regular



Ambiguity

Ambiguity

# $E \to E + E \mid E^*E \mid (E) \mid N$ $N \to 1N \mid 2N \mid 1 \mid 2$

1+2\*2



A CFG is ambiguous if some string has more than one parse tree

# Example



# Example



Two ways to derive xxx



Sometimes we can rewrite the grammar to remove ambiguity

 $E \rightarrow E + E \mid E^*E \mid (E) \mid N$  $N \rightarrow 1N \mid 2N \mid 1 \mid 2$ 

+ and \* have the same precedence! Dived expression into terms and factors

$$\begin{array}{cccc} T & F \\ & & & \\ & T & T \\ & & & \\ & & F & F \\ 2 & (1 + 2 & 2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} E &\rightarrow E {+}E \mid E^{\star}E \mid (E) \mid N \\ N &\rightarrow 1N \mid 2N \mid 1 \mid 2 \end{split}$$

An expression is a sum of one or more terms $E \to T \mid E + T$ Each term is a product of one or more factors $T \to F \mid T^*F$ Each factor is a parenthesized expression or a number $F \to (E) \mid 1 \mid 2$ 

## Parsing example

$$\begin{array}{c} E \rightarrow T \mid E + T \\ T \rightarrow F \mid T^*F \\ F \rightarrow (E) \mid 1 \mid 2 \end{array}$$

Parse tree for 2+(1+1+2\*2)+1

$$\begin{array}{c} & E \\ & E \\ & F \\ & T \\ & T \\ & F \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & F \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & F \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & F \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\$$

Disambiguation is not always possible because There exists inherently ambiguous languages There is no general procedure for disambiguation

Disambiguation is not always possible because There exists inherently ambiguous languages There is no general procedure for disambiguation

In programming languages, ambiguity comes from the precedence rules, and we can resolve like in the example

In English, ambiguity is sometimes a problem:

I look at the dog with one eye