Cook–Levin Theorem

CSCI 3130 Formal Languages and Automata Theory

Siu On CHAN

Chinese University of Hong Kong

Fall 2017

NP-completeness



Theorem (Cook–Levin)

Every language in NP polynomial-time reduces to SAT

Cook–Levin theorem

Every $L \in$ NP polynomial-time reduces to SAT

Need to find a polynomial-time reduction R such that

L		SAT
z	$\longrightarrow$ R $\longrightarrow$	Boolean formula φ
$z \in L$	$\longleftrightarrow$	φ is satisfiable

NP-completeness of SAT

All we know: L has a polynomial-time verifier V



$z \in L$ if and only if
V accepts $\langle z, s \rangle$ for some s

Tableau of computation history of V



Tableau of computation history



$n =$ length of z

height of tableau is $O(n^c)$ for some constant c

width of tableau is $O(n^c)$

k possible tableau symbols

$$x_{T,S,u} = \begin{cases} \text{True} & \text{if cell } (T, S) \text{ contains symbol } u \\ \text{False} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Reduction to SAT

L		SAT
z	$\rightarrow$ R $\rightarrow$	Boolean formula φ
$z \in L$	$\longleftrightarrow$	φ is satisfiable

Will design a formula φ such that

variables of φ		$x_{T,S,u}$
assignment to $x_{T,S,u}$	$\approx$	assignment to tableau symbols
satisfying assignment	$\leftrightarrow$	accepting computation history
φ is satisfiable	$\leftrightarrow$	V accepts $\langle z, s \rangle$ for some s

Reduction to SAT

Will construct in $O(n^{2c})$ time a formula φ such that
$\varphi(x)$ is True precisely when the assignment to $\{x_{T,S,u}\}$ represents legal and accepting computation history

$$\varphi = \varphi_{\text{cell}} \wedge \varphi_{\text{init}} \wedge \varphi_{\text{move}} \wedge \varphi_{\text{acc}}$$

φ_{cell} : Exactly one symbol in each cell

φ_{init} : First row is $q_0 z\#s$ for some s

φ_{move} : Moves between adjacent rows follow the transitions of V

φ_{acc} : Last row contains q_{acc}

q_0 0	1	1	0	#	1	0	□	
0	q_1 1	1	0	#	1	0	□	
			$\vdots$					
1 q_{acc} 0	$\cdots$							

φ_{cell} : exactly one symbol per cell

$$\varphi_{\text{cell}} = \varphi_{\text{cell},1,1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_{\text{cell},\#\text{rows},\#\text{cols}} \quad \text{where}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\text{cell},T,S} = {} & (x_{T,S,1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{T,S,k}) && \text{at least one symbol} \\
& \left.\begin{aligned}
&\wedge \overline{(x_{T,S,1} \wedge x_{T,S,2})} \\
&\wedge \overline{(x_{T,S,1} \wedge x_{T,S,3})} \\
&\qquad \vdots \\
&\wedge \overline{(x_{T,S,k-1} \wedge x_{T,S,k})}
\end{aligned}\right\} && \text{no two symbols in one cell}
\end{aligned}$$

φ_{init} and φ_{acc}

First row is $q_0 z\#s$ for some s

$$\varphi_{\text{init}} = x_{1,1,q_0} \wedge x_{1,2,z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{1,n+1,z_n} \wedge x_{1,n+2,\#}$$

Last row contains q_{acc} somewhere

$$\varphi_{\text{acc}} = x_{\#\text{rows},1,q_{\text{acc}}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{\#\text{rows},\#\text{cols},q_{\text{acc}}}$$

Legal and illegal transitions windows

legal windows	illegal windows
$\cdots$ abx $\cdots$	$\cdots$ $q_3$ab $\cdots$
$\cdots$ abx $\cdots$	$\cdots$ abq_3 $\cdots$
$\cdots$ aq_3a $\cdots$	$\cdots$ q_3q_3a $\cdots$
$\cdots$ $q_6$ax $\cdots$	$\cdots$ q_3q_3x $\cdots$
$\cdots$ aba $\cdots$	$\cdots$ aq_3a $\cdots$
$\cdots$ abq_6 $\cdots$	$\cdots$ $q_6$ab $\cdots$
$\cdots$ aa$\square$ $\cdots$	$\cdots$ aq_3a $\cdots$
$\cdots$ xa$\square$ $\cdots$	$\cdots$ aq_6x $\cdots$



φ_{move} : moves between rows follow transitions of V



$$\varphi_{\text{move}} = \varphi_{\text{move},1,1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_{\text{move},\#\text{rows}-1,\#\text{cols}-2}$$

$$\varphi_{\text{move},T,S} = \bigvee_{\text{legal } \boxed{\substack{a_1\,a_2\,a_3\\ b_1\,b_2\,b_3}}} \begin{pmatrix} x_{T,S,a_1} \wedge x_{T,S+1,a_2} \wedge x_{T,S+2,a_3} \wedge \\ x_{T+1,S,b_1} \wedge x_{T+1,S+1,b_2} \wedge x_{T+1,S+2,b_3} \end{pmatrix}$$

NP-completeness of SAT



Let V be a polynomial-time verifier for L

$R =$ On input z,

1. Construct the formulas $\varphi_{\text{cell}}, \varphi_{\text{init}}, \varphi_{\text{move}}, \varphi_{\text{acc}}$
2. Output $\varphi = \varphi_{\text{cell}} \wedge \varphi_{\text{init}} \wedge \varphi_{\text{move}} \wedge \varphi_{\text{acc}}$

R takes time $O(n^{2c})$

V accepts $\langle z, s\rangle$ for some s if and only if φ is satisfiable

NP-completeness: More examples

Cover for triangles

k-cover for triangles: k vertices that touch all triangles



Has 2-cover for triangles?

Yes

Has 1-cover for triangles?

No, it has two vertex-disjoint triangles

$$\text{TRICOVER} = \{\langle G, k\rangle \mid G \text{ has a } k\text{-cover for triangles}\}$$

TRICOVER is NP-complete

Step 1: TRICOVER is in NP

What is a solution for TRICOVER?

A subset of vertices like $\{$D, F$\}$

$V =$ On input $\langle G, k, S\rangle$, where S is a set of k vertices

1. For every triple (u, v, w) of vertices:
   If $(u, v), (v, w), (w, u)$ are all edges in G:
   If none of u, v, w are in S, *reject*
2. Otherwise, *accept*



Running time = $O(n^3)$

Step 2: Some NP-hard problem reduces to TRICOVER

$$\text{VC} = \{\langle G, k\rangle \mid G \text{ has a vertex cover of size } k\}$$

Some vertex in every edge is covered

$$\text{TRICOVER} = \{\langle G, k\rangle \mid G \text{ has a } k\text{-cover for triangles}\}$$

Some vertex in every triangle is covered

Idea: replace edges by triangles



vertex cover in G cover for triangles in G'

VC polynomial-time reduces to TRICOVER

$R =$ On input $\langle G, k \rangle$, where graph G has n vertices and m edges,

1. Construct the following graph G':

   G' has $n + m$ vertices:

   $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ are vertices from G

   introduce a new vertex u_{ij} for every edge (v_i, v_j) of G

   For every edge (v_i, v_j) of G:

   include edges $(v_i, v_j), (v_i, u_{ij}), (u_{ij}, v_j)$ in G'

2. Output $\langle G', k \rangle$

Running time is $O(n + m)$

Step 3: Argue correctness (forward)

$$\langle G, k \rangle \in \text{VC} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle G', k \rangle \in \text{TRICOVER}$$



G has a k-vertex cover S

$\Rightarrow$



G' has a k-triangle cover S

old triangles from G are covered

new triangles in G' also covered

Step 3: Argue correctness (backward)

$\langle G, k \rangle \in$ VC $\quad \Leftarrow \quad \langle G', k \rangle \in$ TRICOVER



G has a k-vertex cover S'

$\Leftarrow$



G' has a k-triangle cover S

S' is obtained after moving some vertices of S

Since S' covers all triangles in G', it covers all edges in G

Some vertices in S may not come from G!

But we can move them and still cover the same triangle