

ENGG1410F Tutorial

More on Similarity Transformation

Yufei Tao

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Chinese University of Hong Kong

I: Proving Non-diagonalizability

We will start by seeing an example where we want to prove that the following matrix is **not** diagonalizable:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -4 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

I: Proving Non-diagonalizability

Goal: Prove that we won't be able to find 3 linearly independent eigenvectors of \mathbf{A} .

First, find the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} : $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = 2$.

We will prove:

- The eigenspace of λ_1 has dimension 1
namely, any two eigenvectors of λ_1 must be linearly **dependent**.
- The same is true for λ_2 .

This will complete the proof.

I: Proving Non-diagonalizability

Let us first focus on $\lambda_1 = 1$. We want to solve the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{A} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I})\mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \\ \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} &= \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

We can see that there are two useful equations. In other words, there is only one unconstrained variable. Therefore, $\text{eigenspace}(\lambda_1)$ has dimension 1.

I: Proving Non-diagonalizability

Next, focus on $\lambda_2 = 2$. We want to solve the equation:

$$(\mathbf{A} - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} -3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

We can see that there are two useful equations. In other words, there is only one unconstrained variable. Therefore, $\text{eigenspace}(\lambda_2)$ has dimension 1.

We now can conclude that \mathbf{A} is not diagonalizable.

II: Transitivity of Diagonalizability

Let \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} , and \mathbf{C} be three $n \times n$ matrices for some integer n .

If \mathbf{A} is similar to \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{B} is similar to \mathbf{C} ,
then \mathbf{A} is similar to \mathbf{C} .

This is an exercise in the last week's exercise list.

III: Proof of Similarity

Prove:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

is similar to

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We will give two ways to do this.

III: Proof of Similarity

Method 1: Use transitivity.

Verify that \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} have the same eigenvalues: 3 and 2.

- By the way, if they do not, then immediately they are not similar.

Hence, \mathbf{A} can be diagonalized into $\mathbf{P}^{-1} \text{diag}[3, 2] \mathbf{P}$, and \mathbf{B} can be diagonalized into $\mathbf{Q}^{-1} \text{diag}[3, 2] \mathbf{Q}$.

In other words, \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are both similar to $\text{diag}[3, 2]$. Therefore, \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are similar to each other.

III: Proof of Similarity

Method 2: Finding an explicit form.

We will try to find an **invertible** matrix $\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix}$ such that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{PBP}^{-1}$. Equivalently, we want to have $\mathbf{AP} = \mathbf{PB}$, that is:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \\ \begin{bmatrix} x - z & y - w \\ 2x + 4z & 2y + 4w \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 3x & x + 2y \\ 3z & z + 2w \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

III: Proof of Similarity

Method 2: Finding an explicit form.

This gives the following equation set:

$$\begin{aligned}x - z &= 3x \\y - w &= x + 2y \\2x + 4z &= 3z \\2y + 4w &= z + 2w\end{aligned}$$

You can verify that the set of solutions $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{bmatrix}$ is

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -u/2 \\ u/2 - v \\ u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \mid u \in \mathbb{R}, v \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

III: Proof of Similarity

Method 2: Finding an explicit form.

Let us try $u = 2, v = 0$. This gives $\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Since $\det(\mathbf{P}) \neq 0$, we know that \mathbf{P} is invertible. We can now conclude that \mathbf{A} is similar to \mathbf{B} .