

An Analysis of the Relationship between Language Attitudes and English Attainment of Secondary Students in Hong Kong

Herbert D. Pierson, Gail S. Fu and Sik-yum Lee

SOCIAL RESEARCH CENTRE

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG

Suggested citation:

Pierson, Herbert D., Gail S. Fu and Sik-yum Lee. 1980. *An Analysis of the Relationship between Language Attitudes and English Attainment of Secondary Students in Hong Kong*. Hong Kong: Occasional Paper No. 91, Social Research Centre, The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG SOCIAL RESEARCH CENTRE

AN ANALYSIS OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN
LANGUAGE ATTITUDES AND ENGLISH
ATTAINMENT OF SECONDARY
STUDENTS IN HONG KONG

bу

Herbert D. Pierson, Gail S. Fu, and Sik-yum Lee

(Not to be quoted or reproduced without permission)

All manuscripts are processed through the Editorial Subcommittee and evaluated and approved by its members in collaboration with invited reviewers of relevant specialties.

Members of the Editorial Subcommittee:

Dr. Michael Bond (Convenor)
Prof. John Jones
Dr. Fai-ming Wong
Dr. Sung-hsing Wang

Copyright remains with the authors.

Dr. Herbert D. Pierson (1941-) received his B.A. from Maryknoll College, Glen Ellyn, Ill, his M.S. from SUNY-Albany in 1976, and his Ed.D. from SUNY-Albany in 1977. Currently he is Lecturer in English, Chung Chi College, The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

Dr. Gail Schaefer Fu (1942-) received her B.A. from Wellesley College, U.S.A., in 1964; her M.A. from the University of Michigan, U.S.A., in 1967; and her Ph.D. from the University of Michigan, U.S.A., in 1975. She is currently Lecturer in English, Chung Chi College, The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

Dr. Sik-yum Lee (1948-) received his B.Sc. from The Chinese University of Hong Kong in 1972, his M.A. in 1974, his M.Sc. in 1976 and his Ph.D. in 1977 from University of California, Los Angeles, U.S.A. Currently he is Lecturer in Mathematics, Chung Chi College, The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

AN ANALYSIS OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LANGUAGE ATTITUDES AND ENGLISH ATTAINMENT OF SECONDARY STUDENTS IN HONG KONG

Herbert D. Pierson, Gail S. Fu, and Sik-yum Lee¹
The Chinese University of Hong Kong

This study analyzes the relationship between English language attainment and attitudes towards English among Chinese-speaking (Canton dialect) secondary school students in Hong Kong. The subjects were Form IV (10th grade) students from both English medium and Chinese medium schools. Attitude measures were obtained by use of both direct and indirect means. Attitudes towards English were measured directly by means of a series of statements concerning the study and use of English to which subjects were asked to respond on five points scales. Indirectly, attitudes were measured with a scale of stereotypes modelled on the work of Spolsky. English attainment was assessed by a cloze procedure as suggested by Oller. Results of factor analysis of the direct attitude questions regarding English show that several of these attitude factors are significantly related to English attainment as measured by the cloze test. In some cases, however, the correlations were contrary to the directions that one might expect. In general, the statistical results of this study indicate that, for the population under study, the direct measure of attitude was a better predictor of English attainment than an indirect measure. The indirect measures obtained by asking Ss to rate (1) themselves, (2) themselves as they would like to be, (3) Chinese people, and (4) Westerners did produce some significant results, but these were not as strong indicators of language attainment as the results obtained from the direct measures.

Oller, Hudson, and Liu (1977), in a replication of certain measures developed by Spolsky (1969), have studied the relation between

We wish to thank the Institute of Social Studies and the Humanities of The Chinese University of Hong Kong for the research grant which made this research possible. We also wish to thank in particular Dr. Rance Lee, Director, and Ms. Suet-ming Hsu of the Social Research Centre for their help and guidance. Credit and thanks go also to research assistants Ms. Catherine Mak, Ms. Eliza Ching, and Mr. Fat-cheung Ng. Mr. Simon S.C. Chau, then of the Translation Centre of The Chinese University of Hong Kong, is to be thanked for his translation work. And finally, our appreciation goes to the staff of the English Advisory Inspectorate of the Hong Kong Education Department and to the faculty and students in schools who, ultimately, made this project possible.

attitudes towards and attained proficiency in English among native speakers of Chinese in the United States. The study revealed meaningful clusters of attitudinal variables which related to English proficiency; attitudes towards both the self and the native language group correlated positively, in general, with attained proficiency in English as a Second Language (ESL). Indirect attitude scales based on Spolsky's work seemed to account for more meaningful variance than the kind of direct attitude measures tailored to the Lambert and Gardner (1972) model. The relation between ESL proficiency and attitudes towards the target language group were more complicated than the relationship between proficiency and attitudes towards the self and towards one's own language group. There was negative correlation, for instance, between desire to stay in the U.S. permanently and ESL proficiency. The relation between reasons for studying English or for travelling to the U.S. and attained proficiency was, notes Oller, contrary to previous predictions. In concluding their study, Oller et al. (1977) remark:

It will be interesting to see, for example, if populations abroad, studying English as a foreign language, show similar patterns of correlation between attitudinal variables and attained levels of English proficiency as are observed in this study.

The present writers also felt that this was an interesting question, and set out to explore in replication some of the questions which the Oller study suggested to them. They also wished to explore further other indirect attitude measures, agreeing as they did with Lyczak, Fu and Ho (1976) that "the study of racial and ethnic prejudice was long hampered

by the limitation of self-report attitude measures" (p. 425). In their study, Lyczak et al. (1976) used Lambert's matched-guise technique with a population of Hong Kong bilinguals. In the current study, the writers wished to add to the small but growing body of research in Hong Kong which explores certain affective aspects of English language learning. At the same time, the writers wished to work along the lines of Spolsky (1969), Oller (1972), Chihara and Oller (1978), and others. They confronted, thereby, many of the questions and uncertainties discussed by Oller and Perkins (1978a and 1978b) as well as by Upshur et al. (1978) as to the reliability and dependability of attitude measures and the nature of the relationship, if any, between attitudes, affective factors, and language learning proficiency and efficiency.

METHOD

Materials. The researchers decided to take up the challenge suggested by Oller et al. (1977) that attitudinal studies on ESL populations abroad be replicated. In addition the researchers wished to find out which types of attitudinal measures, direct or indirect, were better predictors of language proficiency. They therefore used two distinct attitude measures, a direct measure based on the Ss agreement or disagreement with certain statements about language study and use in Hong Kong, and an indirect measure developed from the Spolsky (1969) scale of stereotypes as suggested and used by Oller et al. (1977).

The twenty-three statements used in this study were purposely emotive in nature so as to elicit a reaction from Ss. They were selected from a list of forty-six statements developed in Hong Kong over the years by Pierson. They emphasized the relationship of language with the categories of politics, social interaction, career, ethnicity, and education. It was felt that these statements were concerned with matters about which Hong Kong youth would not feel neutral.

ESL proficiency was measured by a cloze test similar to the one used by Oller et al. (1977). The actual cloze passage, like the one used by Oller, was chosen from Praninskas (1959). In preparing the cloze passage, the researchers deleted nothing from the first and last sentence, but deleted every seventh word in the rest of the passage. There were fifty blanks in the test which was scored by the exact word method.

A questionnaire was designed and included to obtain information about the Ss and their language background. This consisted of questions about the Ss sex, family background, socio-economic status, education, knowledge of Chinese dialects, and acquaintance with English-speaking Westerners. Some of the questions in the questionnaire were suggested by Oller et al. (1977) and others by questionnaires which have been routinely used in the English language program at the Chinese University of Hong Kong. The questionnaire was given to the Ss in Chinese.

Subjects. Four hundred and sixty six secondary school students at the Form IV (10th grade) level served as subjects: 258 of these

students were male, and 208 were female. After discarding incomplete responses, 416 and 431 subjects were used in the analysis of direct measures and indirect measures respectively. Ss came from 11 representative secondary schools throughout Hong Kong, including 8 English medium and 3 Chinese medium schools. The former teach all subjects in English except for Chinese history and literature. The latter teach all subjects in Chinese except for the English language lessons. Schools were also chosen to ensure a wide selection of schools as classified according to the nature of their funding: 2 were subsidized schools, 3 private, 3 grant, and 3 government.

Procedure. Subjects were tested in their own schools during a free period by two Chinese research assistants. Groups generally had thirty to forty students in them. All subjects completed the background questionnaire, the attitude questionnaire, and the cloze test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Means and standard deviations of each of the direct attitude questions are given in Table 1. The direct statements which elicited the strongest agreement (1 = absolutely agree; 5 = absolutely disagree) was (12) "If I use English, it means that I am not patriotic"; (16) "I wish that I could speak fluent and accurate English"; and (5) "At times I fear that by using English I will become like a foreigner." The statement (3) "When using English, I do not feel that I am Chinese any more" also elicited definite agreement. The fact that might be seen

emerging here, is that while Ss seem to want to speak better English, they are also ambivalent about actually using it. Paradoxically, one statement that received least agreement was (17) "I feel uneasy and not confident when speaking English". Ss also seem to disagree with the statements which read (4) "If I use English, I will be praised and approved of by my family, relatives, and friends"; (13) "If I use English, my status is raised"; and (2) "English is the mark of an educated person." These results seem to reflect a tension within the Ss between needing and wanting to use English, while at the same time maintaining one's identity as a Chinese in a Chinese society.

In part the results may reflect a very basic bafflement in the Hong Kong student himself. Robert Lord describes "bilingualism under pressure" as those cases where the "natural learning process has been interferred with at intolerable levels, and as a result is transformed into an artificial process." He sees the majority of Hong Kong school children as "bilinguals under pressure", and adds to this confusion the probable impact in Hong Kong of English on Cantonese and on the Chinese language in general, both at the cultural and semantic levels. He refers also to the weight of Chinese tradition, which in Hong Kong he sees as a mixed and ambiguous one.

Students may be told they don't write Chinese properly; that it is full of mistakes and deviations. They do not know where to look for any norm. Who and where are the guardians of these norms? There is in some cases genuine bafflement. And when our student visits China he finds that even his spoken Chinese is not adequate for all purposes either. In Hong Kong to say 'I am Chinese' is to make a statement of a very complicated sort. On occasion, when it is said, it means: 'I seem to be losing my identity. Tell me who and what I am' (Lord, 1976).

Perhaps some of the paradoxes which emerged in the results of this study may in part be a reflection of this bafflement: "Tell me who and what I am".

The direct attitude questions relating to Ss feelings towards the use and study of English are assessed by a factor analysis model with eleven factors. Within each factor, the variables with varimax rotated loadings larger than 0.45 are reported in Table 2. A stepwise regression with the cloze test score as the dependent variable and factors as independent variables is given in Table 3. The program stopped at the sixth step because the increase of the multiple correlation coefficient by additional variables was negligible. The F value is equal to 16.35, with degrees of freedom of 6 and 410, indicating that these factors are significant predictors of the cloze score. Here the R-value is equal to .44, indicating that the proportion of variation in English attainment that is accounted for by these factors is roughly 19%.

Interestingly, the correlations do not always fall in the directions that one might predict. For example, in Factor 5, the more Ss agreed with the statements that they should not be forced to learn English and that English should not be one of the media of instruction in the Hong Kong schools, the higher the score on the cloze test. This might indicate that it is the better language learner who may be more perceptive about matters of language and more able to identify the need for more language choice in Hong Kong. An extremely interesting

possibility to consider here is the one which Oller poses in his useful article entitled "Research on the Measurement of Affective Variables: Some Remaining Questions". Here he suggests that it is the better language learner—be it in the first or the second language—who can better understand the questions of an attitude questionnaire and who can therefore give more perceptive and accurate responses. He is also more able to "psych" out what answers are expected of him, and to give answers compatible with his perception of the predispositions of the interviewer (Oller, 1979).

In factor 9, the more the Ss agreed that they would take up
English even if it were not compulsory, the higher their cloze scores.
This result is perhaps to be expected on the premise that one is more
motivated to study a subject one likes, or alternatively, one tends to
be motivated in subjects one succeeds in (Atkinson, 1964). Curiously,
the more the Ss said they felt uneasy and insecure when speaking English,
the higher their cloze scores. Here perhaps we should note that the Ss
English attainment was assessed only through the written medium. Oral
communication was not involved, so there is no necessary conflict between
higher attainment in writing or reading English and feeling uncomfortable,
psychologically or emotionally, when speaking English. Equally interesting
is the fact that the more Ss agreed with the statement "If I use English,
I will be praised and approved of by my family, relatives and friends",
the lower their cloze scores. This might indicate an awareness of the
prestige value of English in Hong Kong and some kind of internal resistance

to participating in that mentality. It might indicate also an unwillingness to separate oneself from family and friends who may not speak English well or at all. Similar forces may lie behind the fact that the more Ss agreed with statements about feeling discomfort when hearing Chinese speakers using English, the lower their cloze scores. Also, the more they felt that English was the mark of an educated person, the lower the cloze scores. These results seem to indicate that, in the population under study, English attainment is related in interesting and complex ways to feelings about language and its use in this society.

Of relevance here is the study done by Taylor, Meynard and Rheault (1977) in which they look at the threat to ethnic identity and second-language learning. They asked respondents to rate (1) the extent to which they felt their ethnic identity was threatened; (2) their degree of English language competence; and (3) the potential costs and rewards for learning English. Most notably, they found that respondents with more contact with English speakers were themselves better English speakers and those who felt their own cultural identity not threatened were more competent speakers of English. The researchers correctly note that the social conditions which contribute to second-language learning without loss of identity need to be thoroughly researched in order that we may better understand how language and ethnicity operate in multicultural settings. Oller (1979) poses some additional matters for consideration here. In particular he reminds us of the "self-flattery" factor--the desire to appear acceptable in one's

own eyes—and of the "approval motive"—the desire to appear acceptable in the eyes of others. Oller refers to work he has done in which it was possible to show that as much as 25% of the variance in self-ratings may be attributed to self-flattery. In our own study, the paradoxical directions that some of the results took might be somehow related to these matters of self-flattery, approval motive, and threat to identity.

Table 4 shows the twenty attributes by which Ss were asked to rate (1) themselves, (2) themselves as they would like to be, (3) Chinese people, and (4) Westerners. A comparison of column one and column three gives the values that Ss placed on each trait (1 = the trait applies wery well; 5 = the trait does not apply at all). Comparing the mean ratings of Chinese against the ratings of Westerners indirectly gives us insight into Ss attitudes about the language groups involved and their motivations for language study. Chinese were rated more favourably on 12 scales: they were seen as more "conservative in outlook", "loyal to one's family", "trustworthy", "hardworking", "gentle and graceful", "able and far-sighted", "understanding to others", "persistent", "clever and smart", "motivated to strive for success", "logically minded and wise", and "sincere". Westerners, on the other hand, were rated more "cool and clear-headed", "frank and honest", "easy to get along with", "successful", "humble and polite", "presentable in appearance", "selfconfident", and "like to help others". Perhaps these results, like Lyczak's et al. (1976), could be seen to fall along lines which might be encouraged by the Hong Kong colonial situation. Ss apparently tend to rank Westerners high on those qualities such as appearance, affability,

and clear thinking which can be associated with the successful business person. Most of the Westerners whom these Ss might be exposed to in Hong Kong are probably in business or administration and probably tend to be, generally, quite successful and well-off. The Chinese, while also being favored on certain traits which can be associated with success, seem to be rated favorably on qualities which might traditionally be seen as being acquired at home, in the family. These are qualities such as trust, loyalty, sincerity, gentleness, and gracefulness.

Tables 5, 6, 7, and 8 deal with the stereotype scales which were adapted from Spolsky (1969) as suggested and used by Oller et al. (1977). These tables give the results of stepwise multiple regression analyses based on the twenty traits with the cloze score as the dependent variable. In other words, the researchers wanted to know to what extent these indirect attitudes variables explain differences in cloze score results. Table 5 summarizes the results of a multiple regression analysis of which adjectives used by Ss to describe themselves would significantly predict the cloze scores. Five of the stereotypes from Ss self ratings entered the regression equation. The associated F value is equal to 5.61 with degrees of freedom equal to 5 and 426, indicating that they were statistically significant predictors of the cloze score. The more Ss thought of themselves as "very able and far-sighted", "frank and honest", the lower the cloze scores. The more they thought of themselves as "humble and polite", "motivated to strive for success", and "trustworthy", the higher the cloze scores.

Table 6 provides analysis based on the section of the indirect attitude questionnaire pertaining to how Ss would like to be. The more Ss wanted to be "sincere when dealing with others", "loyal to one's family", and "motivated to strive for success", the better they performed on the cloze test. The more they thought of their idealized self as "very able and far-sighted", the lower the cloze scores.

One would have expected "motivated to strive for success" to correlate positively with the cloze score. However, the positive correlation of "loyal to one's family" at a significant level needs some further explanation. The Chinese characters which convey this notion [Anauh seuhn], are difficult to translate. They convey the idea of filial piety which is a traditional Chinese virtue characteristic of a strong sense of Chinese ethnicity. The fact that this quality correlates so highly with English proficiency might lead one to ask the question: does success in mastering the language of an alien culture pressure one to maintain one's cultural identity? This would be a very fruitful area of research in light of the fact that Hong Kong still has colonial status.

Table 7 summarizes Ss attitudes toward Chinese people. The results indicate that the more the Ss thought of Chinese people as "presentable and outstanding in appearance", "humble and polite", the lower the cloze scores. The more they saw Chinese people as "clever and smart" and "trustworthy", the better they did on the cloze test.

As indicated in Table 8, the more Ss felt Western people could be described as "gentle and graceful", the more they could be described as "trustworthy", the lower the Ss cloze scores. The more Ss felt Western

people could be described as "logically minded and wise", "hardworking", and "self-confident", the higher the Ss cloze scores.

The tentative nature of the results from the indirect measures puzzled the researchers because of Spolsky's (1969) assertion that more indirect measures of attitudinal orientation were relatively more successful in predicting English attainment. In addition, Oller's study seems to confirm the usefulness of this type of measure.

CONCLUSION

The R-values associated with Tables 2, 5, 6, 7, & 8 are equal to .44, .25, .22, and .25 respectively. These statistical results indicate that a direct measure of attitude is a better predictor of English attainment than an indirect measure for the population under study.

This contrasts with Oller et al. (1977) who found an indirect measure based on a scale of stereotypes a better predictor. Reasons can be suggested for this. First of all, the sample size in the present study was over 400, almost ten times greater than the sample size in the Oller et al. (1977) study. Secondly, the population under investigation in this study was a group of culturally homogeneous Chinese school children from Hong Kong with little or no personal contact with Westerners. This differs from Oller's population which consisted of Chinese students at the graduate level from both Hong Kong and Taiwan who were in the process of being acculturated to the United States and living in an English-speaking environment.

The predictive value of the attitudinal variables is not so powerful as the researchers might have expected. English proficiency could not be easily predicted from attitudinal measures, but some attitudinal variables appeared to be better predictors than others. For example, a number of attitudinal variables concerned with freedom of language choice, desire to learn English, lack of self-confidence in using English, approbation for using English, discomfort about Chinesespeakers using English, and English as a mark of education correlated significantly with English attainment. The lack of power in these measures may be further indication of the complexity of the issues involved in attitude studies. In his article "Social Psychological Aspects of Second Language Acquisition", Gardner (1979) constructs a theoretical framework which features four individual difference variables (intelligence, language aptitude, motivation and situational anxiety) and links them conceptually to the social milieu. He further indicates how they interact with the context of language acquisition and give rise to different outcomes. In this way, Gardner attempts to bring together explicitly in one framework factors which have been frequently discussed for many years, but too often perhaps in theoretical isolation. Problems of inconsistency in data may point in fact to an oversimplification of the relationship between attitudes and proficiency and to a need for greater recognition of the interaction between a whole constellation of factors, as Gardner points out.

It is felt that the line of research discussed in the present study should be persued vigorously since a number of promising areas revealed themselves during the investigation. One particularly promising direction lies in the development of increasingly sophisticated direct attitude measures. Such measures might uncover an even stronger relationship between attitudes and proficiency. The researchers hope that these measures will be developed and tested on populations in different linguistic, geographical, and cultural environments. In closing, we would be remiss not to note Oller's (1979) statement:

"Concerning the presently popular post hoc (ad hoc?) interpretations of the results of affective studies, a serious skepticism is enjoined." He goes on to say that "until discriminant and convergent validity can be shown, the whole superstructure of attitude theory is without a secure empiricial foundation." We can only underscore his assertion and echo his tentative tone.

Bibliography

- Atkinson, J.W. 1964. An Introduction to Motivation. Princeton, N.J.:
 Van Nostrand.
- Chihara, T. and J. Oller, Jr. 1978. "Attitudes and attained proficiency in EFL: a sociolinguistic study of adult Japanese speakers."

 Language Learning 28. 55-68.
- Fu, G.S. 1975. A Hong Kong Perspective: English Language Learning and the Chinese Student. University of Michigan: Comparative Education Dissertation Series 28.
- Gardner, R.C. 1979. "Social psychological aspects of second language acquisition." Giles, H. and R. St. Clair, Language and Social Psychology, Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- Gardner, R. and W. Lambert. 1972. Attitudes and Motivation in Second Language Learning. Rowley, Mass.: Newbury House.
- Lord, R. 1976. "Bilingualism under pressure." Studies in Bilingual Education in Robert Lord and Benjamin K. T'sou (eds.) Language Centre, University of Hong Kong.
- Lyczak, R., G.S. Fu, and A. Ho. 1976. "Attitudes of Hong Kong bilinguals towards English and Chinese speakers." Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology 7. 425-438.
- Oller, J. 1972. "Scoring methods and difficulty levels for cloze tests of ESL proficiency." Modern Language Journal 56. 151-8.
- Oller, J., A. Hudson, and P.F. Liu. 1977. "Attitudes and attained proficiency in ESL: a sociolinguistic study of native speakers of Chinese in the United States." Language Learning 27. 1-27.
- Oller, J., and K. Perkins. 1978a. "A further comment on language proficiency as a source of variance in certain affective measures." Language Learning 28. 417-423.
- Oller, J., and K. Perkins. 1978b. "Intelligence and language proficiency as sources of variance in self-reported affective variables."

 <u>Language Learning</u> 28. 85-97.
- Oller, J. 1979. "Research on the Measurement of Affective Variables: Some Remaining Questions." Paper presented at TESOL meeting, Boston, (in press).

- Praninskas, J. 1959. Rapid Review of English Grammar. Englewood-Cliffs, N.Y.: Prentice-Hall.
- Spolsky, B. 1969. "Attitudinal aspects of second language learning."

 <u>Language Learning</u> 19. 271-83.
- Taylor, D.M., R. Meynard, and E. Rheault. 1977. "Threat to ethnic identity and second-language learning." In Giles, H. Language, Ethnicity and Intergroup Relations. Academic Press; New York.
- Upshur, J.A. et. al. 1978. "Causation or correlation: a reply to Oller and Perkins." Language Learning 28. 99-104.
- Walker, D. 1979. Self-Concept of Ability and ESL Proficiency. Unpublished M.A. thesis, University of California, Los Angeles.

TABLE 1

Means and standard deviations for direct attitude questions concerning Ss' feelings about the use and study of English.

	Question	Mean	SD
1.	It is a good thing to have English as the main official language of Hong Kong.	3.3	1.1
2.	English is the mark of an educated person.	3.9	1.3
3.	When using English, I do not feel that I am Chinese any more.	1.5	0.9
4.	If I use English, I will be praised and approved of by my family, relatives, and friends.	3.7	1•3
5•	At times I fear that by using English I will become like a foreigner.	1.4	0.7
6.	I should not be forced to learn English.	2.7	1.4
7.	To read English magazines is a kind of enjoyment.	3.1	1.1
8.	I do not feel awkward when using English.	2.2	1.1
9•	I love conversing with Westerners in English.	2.4	1.1
10.	The Cantonese language is superior to English.	3.4	1.2
11.	I like to see English-speaking films.	2.7	1.1
12.	If I use English, it means that I am not patriotic.	1.3	0.6
13.	If I use English, my status is raised.	3. 8	1.3
14.	I feel uncomfortable when hearing one Chinese speaking to another in English.	3.5	1.4
15.	My history, geography and mathematics textbooks should be written in or translated into Chinese	2.8	1.1
16.	I wish that I could speak fluent and accurate English.	1.4	0.9
	I feel uneasy and lack confidence when speaking English.	3.6	1.2
18.	The use of English is one of the most crucial factors which has contributed to the success of Hong Kong's prosperity and development today.	3.1	1.2
19.	The English language sounds very nice.	2.8	1.0
20 .	I would take English even if it were not a compulsory subject in school.	1.9	1.0
21.	I feel uneasy when hearing a Chinese speaking English.	2.4	1.1
	English should not be a medium of instruction in the schools in Hong Kong.	1.8	1.0
23.	The command of English is very helpful in understanding foreigners and their cultures.	1.6	1.0

^{*} Ss were asked to indicate the degree of their agreement with each of the statements using the following five point scale: 1 = "absolutely agree"; 2 = "quite agree"; 3 = "no opinion"; 4 = "quite disagree"; 5 = "absolutely disagree".

TABLE 2

Factor analysis over direct attitude questions concerning Ss' feelings about the use and study of English.

Factor 1 = POSITIVE ORIENTATION TOWARDS ENGLISH	
It is a good thing to have English as the main official language of Hong Kong.	0.45
The use of English is one of the most crucial factors which has contributed to the success of Hong Kong's prosperity and	0.56
development. The English language sounds very nice.	0.50
Factor 2 = DESIRE TO CONVERSE WITH WESTERNERS	
I love conversing with Westerners in English.	0.53
Factor 3 = DISCOMFORT ABOUT CHINESE SPEAKERS USING ENGLISH	
I feel uncomfortable when hearing one Chinese speaking to another in English.	0.64
I feel uneasy when hearing a Chinese speaking English.	0.59
Factor 4 = APPROBATION FOR USING ENGLISH	
If I use English, I will be praised and approved of by my	0.51
family, relatives, and friends. If I use English, my status is raised.	0.62
Factor 5 = FREEDOM OF LANGUAGE CHOICE	
I should not be forced to learn English.	0.49
English should not be a medium of instruction in the schools in Hong Kong.	0.50
Factor 6 = ENGLISH AS DETRACTING FROM CULTURAL IDENTITY	
When using English, I do not feel that I am Chinese any more.	0.55
At times I fear that by using English I will become like a foreigner.	0.58
Factor 7 = SELF-CONFIDENCE IN USING ENGLISH	•
I do not feel awkward when using English.	0.81
Factor 8 = ENGLISH AS MARK OF EDUCATION	
English is the mark of an educated person.	0.80
Factor 9 = DESIRE TO LEARN ENGLISH	
I would take English even if it were not a compulsory subject in school.	0.75
Factor 10 = LACK OF SELF-CONFIDENCE IN USING ENGLISH	
I feel uneasy and lack confidence when speaking English.	0.51
Factor 11 = MOTHER TONGUE FAVORED OVER ENGLISH	
The Cantonese language is superior to English	0.55

TABLE 3

Stepwise regression analysis of direct attitude factors (summarized in Table 2) in relation to ESL proficiency as measured by a cloze test.

			Regression equation:
410)	16.35 (6.410)	0.44	6 Factor 8 (x_6) = ENGLISH AS MARK OF EDUCATION
411)	18.43 (5.411)	0.43	5 Factor 3 (X ₅) = DISCOMFORT ABOUT CHINESE SPEAKERS USING ENGLISH
,412)	18.99 (4.412)	0.39	4 Factor 4 (X ₄) = APPROBATION FOR USING ENGLISH
,413)	20.21 (3.413)	0.36	3 Factor 10 (X ₃)= LACK OF SELF-CONFIDENCE IN USING ENGLISH
414)	22.89 (2.414)	0.32	2 Factor 9 (X ₂) = DESIRE TO LEARN ENGLISH
415)	25.69 (1.415)	0.24	1 Factor 5 (X ₁) = FREEDOM OF LANGUAGE CHOICE
d.f.)	F-value (d.f.)	ਸ਼	Step # Factor entered

Means and standard deviations of twenty unipolar scalar attributes in relation to how S sees self (Self), how S would like to be (Ideal), how S sees Chinese people (Chinese), and how S sees Westerners (Westerners), N = 466.

	2	1	775	1		- Curryin	3	1 1200	רוביייי		?
ATTRIBUTES	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		Mean	SD	Mean SD	SD	<u>'</u>	minus
											Westerner
Like to help others	1.957	0.746	1.461	0.646	+	2.401	1.012	1.908	0.912	+	+0.493
Self-confident	2.414	1.007	1.461	0.712	+	2.292	0.888	1.819	0.847	+	+0.473
Motivated to strive for success	2.026	0.893	1.396	0.652	+	1.933	0.948	2.168	0.932	ı	-0.235
Frank & honest	2.174	0.899	1.605	0.753	+	2.498	1.098	2.471	0.985	+	+0.027
Clever & smart	2.922	0.957	1.646	0.912	+	2.039	0.917	2.281	0.863	1	-0.242
Persistent	2.944	1.055	1.912	1.304	+	2.378	0.996	2.694	0.930	1	-0.316
Conservative in outlook	3.491	1.111	3.689	1.182	1	2.086	1:038	4:393	0.851	1	-2.307
Understanding of others	2.705	1.011	1.751	0.912	+	2.561	0.924	2.891	0.834	١.	-0.330
Successful	3.204	0.870	1.638	0.917	+	2.363	0.908	2.271	0.815	+	+0.092
Easy to get along with	2.155	0.922	1.461	0.727	+	2.380	1.018	2.333	0.963	+	+0.047
Cool & Clear-headed	2.875	1.014	1.545	0.730	+	2.472	0.923	2.454	0.847	+	+0.018
Sincere when dealing with others 2.202	2.202	0.813	1.570	0.820	+	2.442	1.104	2.489	0.970	ı	-0.047
Logical & wise	2.698	0.920	2.108	1.037	+	2.465	0.969	2,602	0.847	ı	-0.137
Gentle & graceful	3.034	1.003	1.461	0.727	+	2.429	0.982	3.041	0.963	ı	-0.612
Very able & far-sighted	3.416	1.021	2.041	1.129	+	2.403	0.984	2.927	0.892	ı	-0.524
Humble & polite	2.600	0.840	1.654	0.792	+	2.586	0.956	2.387	0.920	+	+0.199
Hardworking	2.582	0.910	1.549	0,849	+	2.120	1.002	2.738	0.949	1	-0.618
Trustworthy	2.240	0.947	1.496	0.763	+	1.627	0.794	2.851	0.951	ŀ	-1.224
Presentable & outstanding in appearance	3.324	1.029	2.157	1.116	+	2.843	0.877	2.532	0.903	+	+0.311
Loyal to one's family	2.252	1.028	1.740	0.959	+	1.582	0.767	3.645	1.009	ı	-2.063
					I						

^{*} Ss were asked to indicate how well each of the traits applied on the following five point scale: 1 = "very well"; 2 = "somewhat"; 3 = "average"; 4 = "only a little"; 5 = "not at all".

TABLE 5
Stepwise regression analysis of Ss's self ratings (Self) in relation to cloze score.

• 37X ₅	2.27X ₄ - 1.	Regression equation: $Y = 31.374 + 3.35X_1 - 2.96X_2 - 2.03X_3 + 2.27X_4 - 1.37X_5$	Regres
5.67 (5.420)	0.25	Trustworthy (X ₅)	\sqrt{1}
6.34 (4.427)	0.24	Frank and honest (X_{μ})	4
6.73 (3.428)	0.21	Motivated to strive for success (X_3)	W
8.08 (2.429)	0.19	Humble and polite (X_2)	N
6.06 (1.430)	0.12	Very able and far-sighted (X_1)	_
F-value (d.f.)	R	Variable entered	Step #
The state of the s			

TABLE 6

Stepwise regression analysis of Ss' ratings of how they would like to be (Ideal) in relation to cloze score.

Step #	Variable entered	R	F-value (d.f.)
د	Sincere with others (X_{η})	0.19	15.44 (1.430)
N	Loyal to one's family (X_2)	0.21	10.17 (2:429)
W	Motivated to strive for success $(X_{\overline{5}})$	0.23	7.77 (3.428)
4	Very able and far-sighted $(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{\mu}})$	0.24	6.65 (4.427)
Regress	Regression equation: $Y = 41.52 - 3.07X_1 - 1.58X_2 - 2.84X_3 + 1.44X_4$		

TABLE 7

Stepwise regression analysis of Ss' ratings of Chinese people (Chinese) in relation to cloze score.

	1.70x _h	Regression equation: $Y = 30.37 + 2.57X_1 - 2.80X_2 - 2.11X_3 + 1.70X_4$	Regression Y = 30.
5.68 (4.427)	0.22	Humble and polite (X_{μ})	+
6.32 (3.428)	0:21	Trustworthy $(X_{\overline{2}})$	W
7.82 (2.429)	0.19	Clever and smart (X_2)	22
5.06 (1.430)	0.11	Presentable (X ₁)	->
F-value (d.f.)	R	Variable entered	Step #

TABLE 8

Stepwise regression analysis of Ss' ratings of Western people (Westerners) in relation to cloze score.

	5	4), w + co), n = co) m 2 1 - co 1 3	+ 1
	X	4X 1.53.	Regression equation:	Regres
	5.82 (5.426)	0.25	Self-confident (X ₅)	5
	6.46 (4.427)	0.24	Hardworking (X_{μ})	+
	7.41 (3.428)	0.22	Trustworthy $(X_{\overline{5}})$	W
.,	8.53 (2.429)	0.20	Logical and wise (X_2)	N
	6.42 (1.430)	0.12	Gentle and graceful (X_1)	_
	F-value (d.f.)	×	Variable entered	Step #
_				

APPENDIX I

(Questionnaire in Chinese)

你對語言有什麼想法?

本港許多人士都懂得說中文和英文。這次調查希望找出中學生對語言的認識和語言的能力。

這份問卷是由香港中文大學的潘道生先生和傅 謝斐儀女士擬訂,請你想淸楚後才坦誠地填上 資料,這樣調查才會成功。

請依照提示作答,所有資料全部不記姓名。

多謝你合作填這份問卷。

請選出合適的答案,在該行左面的 數字上加圈: (第2,6,7,等題除外,請填上答案) 1 你的性别是 1 男	6 客家話 7 臺山話 8 四邑話 9 新會話 10 福建話 11 其他(請詳細說明)	13 你父親的英文程度怎樣? 1 完全不懂 2 很差 3 普通 4 相當不錯 5 極好
2 女 2 你的出生日期是19年月日。	9 你在家裏說那幾種話? 1 廣東話 2 英語 3 國語 4 上海話 5 潮州話 6 客家話	14 你母親的英文程度怎樣? 1 完全不懂 2 很差 3 普通 4 相當不錯 5 極好
3 你出生在 1 香港 2 中國大陸 3 台灣 4 澳門 5 其他(請說明)	7 臺山話 8 四邑話 9 新會話 10 福建話 11 其他(請詳細說明)	15 你以前有多少位朋友是以英文為母 語的? 1 沒有 2 共一位
4 你現在住的房子是 1 家人自置的 2 租來的	你從那一個年級開始正式學英文? 1 幼稚園一年級 2 幼稚園二年級 3 幼稚園三年級 4 小學一年級 5 小學二年級 6 小學三年級	3 共二位 4 共三位 5 共四位 6 共有四位以上
5 你	7 小學四年級 8 小學五年級 9 小學六年級 10 中學一年級 11 中學二年級 12 中學三年級 13 中學四年級	你目前有多少位朋友是以英文爲母 語的? 1 沒有 2 共一位 3 共二位 4 共三位 5 共四位 6 共有四位以上
6 你父親的職業是 (請詳細說明)	11 目前有多少位外籍教師正在教導你? 1 沒有 2 共一位 3 共二位 4 共三位	以下各種說法你是否同意?請在每題中選一個號碼作答。 1 =完全同意 2 = 頗同意 3 = 沒有意見
7 你母親的職業是 (請詳細說明)	5 共四位 6 共有四位以上	4 = 頗不同意 5 = 完全不同意
8 如果你能說或聽得懂下列各種語言 或方言,請圈上該行的數字: 1 廣東話 2 英語 3 國語 4 上海話 5 潮州話	12 以前共有多少位外籍教師教導過你 ? 1 沒有 2 共一位 3 共二位 4 共三位 5 共四位 6 共有四位以上	17 香港用英文作為最主要的官方語: , 是一件好事。 1 2 3 4 5 18 英語是受過教育的人的表記。 1 2 3 4 5

。 1	2	3		是中國人 5	該譯	成中文	或用中	文撰寫		有自信心	Τ	2	3	4	5
T	4	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	43					
										力求上進	٦	.2	٠,	1	5
20					32					カ水工運	1	ے.	ی	4.	5
我使用	再英文	,就會	得到家人	人親友讚	我想		說得流			•					
許。					1	2	3	4	5	44					
1	2	3	4	5						待人坦誠	1	2	3	4	5
					33										
					我說:	英語時	感到不	自在,	沒有信心	45					
21					٥					45 ਜ਼ਬ 900 ਸਮੇਂ ਸਮ	1	0	0		_
		自己說	英語就	夢成了外	1	2	3	4	5	頭腦聰明	1	.2	3	4	5
國人		-		_											
1	2	3	4	5	34					46					
						いは: ま	話優勝	•		堅定不移	1	2	3	4	5
22					國語 。 1	比成果 2	的 变的 3	4	5				Ū	•	O
	態該被	白魁花	₹r 0		1	4	J	4	J						
1	医成权; 2	9 3	·义。 4	5						47					
1	ے	J	4	Ü	3 5					思想保守	1	2	3	4	5
									天,使用						
23							要的因		_	48					
	青讀英	小 書 邨	雜誌長-	-種享受。	1	2	3	4	5	40 善解人意	1	2	3	/	5
1	2	3	4	恒子文· 5						FT/74 /人/尼·	7	ت	J	4	J
_	_		-	-	36										
						遺種語	言聽來	很悅耳	0	49					
24					1	2	3		5	做事成功	1	2	3	4	5
我使.	用英文	不覺得	怪相。												,
1	2	3	4	5	37	81 FF 7.	40 ct 1 2/1	ski der tat	ماديات عاب						
							規定业:	乳修 央	文,我也	50					
					會修 1	2	3	4	5	容易相處	1	2	3	4	Б
25					1	4	5	4	5						
我喜	飲和西	人講英	文。		38					51					
1	2	3	4	5	聽到中	中國人	講英文	, 覺得	限不自然	處事鎮定	1	2	3	4	5
					٥					11 31.70	_	_		-1	
					1	2	3	4	5						
26										52					
	話比英				39					待人誠懇	1	2	3	4	อิ
1	2	3	4	5		的學校	不應該:	把英文	作爲授課						
					甜喜,	2∘				53					
27					1	2	3	4	5	恒思明辨	1	2	3	4	5
	射手柱	∄£₩↓r⁴~	的影片。								-	_	_		
1	数和 表。	当 3	时影片。 4	。 5	40										
-	٢	J	4	J		少點了	能分化的	人利以	剥文化很	54		0	_		_
					有割		OT CHEST	C111/11		温柔文雅	1	2	3	4	ອ
28					1	2	3	4	5						
	段使用:	英文,	就是不多	受國。	*	~)	•	Ü	55					
1	2	3	4	5						雄才大略	1	2	3	4	5
									容人的		-	_	_	•)
									∤上是否						
29							要題中语 -	≝──個別	えは。 こ	56		_			
			就提高			F堂合道 600 合:				謙遜有禮	1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5		東為合語									
						各為合語 にナム語				57					
•						F大合道 B全不名				做事勤奮	1	2	3	4	5
30	.1. === /	Tarler	a a pomente a	5 G → 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4	5 = 5	正王个百	山地			1973	_	_	J	T	J
				語交談。	44										
我感 1	到很不			5	41	14, 1	٠ ،		A 57	58		_	~		_
	2	-3	4	5	樂意即	ガヘ	1 7	2 3	4 5	值得信賴	1	2	3	4	5

59 儀表出衆	1	2	3	4	5	70 容易相處	1	2	3	4	5	81 樂意助人	1	2	3	4	5
60 忠於家庭	1	2	3	4	5	71 待人誠懇	1	2	3	4	5	82 有自信心	1	2	3	4	5
以下各種說為。試想想把認 的你自己是不 一個號碼。	置些部 5合通	5用3	形容	7理想	中	72 愼思明辨	1	2	3	4	5	83 力求上進	1	2	3	4	5
1 = 非常合施 2 = 頗爲合施 3 = 略爲合施 4 = 不大合施 5 = 完全不行	面面面					73 溫柔文雅	1	2	3	4	5	84 待人坦誠	1	2	3	4	5
61 樂意助人	1	2	3	4	5	74 值得信賴	1	2	. 3	4	5	85 頭腦聰明	1	2	3	4	5
62 有自信心	1	2	3	4	5	75 雄才大略	1	2	3	4	5	86 堅定不移	1	2	3	4	5
63 力求上進	1	2	3	4	5	76 謙遜有禮	1	2	3	4	5	87 思想保守	1	2	3	4	5
64 待人坦誠	1	2	3	4	5	77 處事鎮定	1	2	3	4	5	88 善解人意	1	2	3	4	5
65 頭腦聰明	1	2	3	4	5	78 做事勤奮	1	2	3	4	5	89 做事成功	1	2	3	4	5
66 堅定不移	1	2	3	4	5	79 儀表出衆	1	2	3	4	5	90 容易相處	1	2	3	4	5
67 思想保守	1	2	3	4	5	80 忠於家庭	1	2	3	4	5	91 處事鎖定	1	2	3	4	5
68 善解人意	1	2	3	4	5	以下各種說: ,試想想把: 是否合適,!	這些 請在	話用	來形字	容中国	國人	92 待人誠懇	1	2	3	4	5
69 做事成功	1	2	3	4	5	1 = 非常合 2 = 頗為合 3 = 略為合 4 = 不大合 5 = 完全不	適 適 適					93 愼思明辨	1	2	3	4	5

94 溫柔文雅	1	2	3	4	5	102 有自信心	1	2	3	4	5	112 待人誠懇	1	2	3	4	5
95 值得信賴	1	2	3	4	5	103 力求上進	1	2	3	4	5	113 愼思明辨	1	2	3	4	5
96 雄才大略·	1	2	3	4	5	104 待人坦誠	1	2	3	4	5	114 溫柔文雅	1	2	3	4	5
97 誅遜有禮	1	2	3	4	5	105 頭腦聰明	1	2	3	4	5	115 值得信賴	1	2	3	4	5
98 做事勤奮	1	2,	3	4	5	106 堅定不移	1	2	3	4	5	116 雄才大略	1	2	3	4	5
99 儀表出衆	1	2	3	4	5	107 思想 保守	1	2	3	4	5	117 ·謙遜有禮	1	2	3	4	5
100 忠於家庭	1	2	3	4	5	108 善解人意	1	2	3	4	5	118 做事勤奮	1	2	3	4	5
以下各種說法 。試想想把達 否合適,請在 1 == # ?	些記 E 毎 題	用利	₹₩₹	李西人	人是	109 做事成功	1	2	3	4	5	119 儀表出衆	1	2	3	4	5
2 = 頗為合适 3 = 略為合适 4 = 不大合适 5 = 完全不合	ā Š					110 容易相處	1	2	3	4	5	120 忠於 家庭	1	2	3	4	5
101 樂意助人	1	2	3	4	5	111 處事鎮定	1	2	3	4	5	•					

承印:精藝設計印刷公司5-273688 香港灣仔洛克道114-120號 嘉洛商業大厦十三樓C座

請在以下各空檔內填上一個字,這個字在文法和文意方面都要和上下文配合:

In large universities, beginning science courses are often taught by groups of staff mem-
bers instead of by individual professors. Each series of lectures is presented(1)
a different lecturer. The lecturers are(2) according to the areas of a(3)
in which they have done research(4) lectures are presented in large aud-
toriums,(5) they are often attended by as(6) as 200 students.
In addition to(7) to lectures, the students are required(8)
attend quiz or test sections which (9) supervised by assistants. In the quiz
(10) the lectures are discussed and text (11) are assigned. Quizzes
are given regularly (12) a week, and the quiz grades (13) recorded
and averaged at the end(14) the semester. Many students aren't used
(15) taking weekly quizzes and they don't(16) this system at first.
Once they(17) used to it they like it(18) they find that it helps them
(19) up to date in their assignments.
(20) experience is also provided for in (21) beginning science
(20) experience is also provided for in (21) beginning science courses. Each student must (22) certain assigned experiments, some of which
(23) complicated equipment which must be set(24) by a lab
assistant. Sometimes two(25) working on the same experiment check
(26) other's results. This practice is advantageous(27) long as each
student does his(28) and has confidence in his own(29). It is
unprofitable when one fellow(30) depends on the other for the(31)
answer. Besides these lectures, quizzes, and(32) experiments, science students
also make oral(33) on assigned research projects.
also make that(55) on assigned research projects.
A science(34) who is really interested in his(35) won't depend
on someone else to(36) his work for him. He will(37) carefully to
the lectures and he(38) attend all of the meetings of(39) quiz
section. He will look forward(40) each new assignment and he will
(41) limit his reading to the text(42) will insist on having a list(43)
supplementary readings. He may ask his(44) for one or he may borrow
(45) from a graduate student in his(46). He won't object to doing
an(47) over several times if his lab(48) isn't satisfied with his results.
Only (49) this way can the student really (50) the material he is
studying. Only in this way can he acquire a good foundation in the fundamentals of the
subject.

APPENDIX II

(Translation from the Chinese version)

WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT LANGUAGE?

A lot of people in Hong Kong can speak both Chinese and English. This survey intends to investigate the opinions of students in secondary schools about languages and their ability in command them.

This questionnaire has been set by Mr. H.D. Pierson and Mrs. G.S. Fu of the Chinese University of Hong Kong. To make this survey a success, you are requested to think carefully and then fill in the questionnaire accurately.

Please answer as directed by the instructions. DO NOT

PUT DOWN YOUR NAME, AS PERSONAL DATA WILL BE KEPT CONFIDENTIAL.

Thank you very much for your cooperation.

Plea answ in):	use put a circle around the numeral which precedes the appropriate wer (except in Nos. 2, 6 and 7 where the answers have to be filled
1)	Your sex: 1. M 2. F
2)	Your date of birth: Year Month Date
3)	You were born in: 1. Hong Kong 2. Mainland China 3. Taiwan 4. Macao 5. Elsewhere (Please specify)
4)	The place you are now living is: 1. owned by your family 2. rented
5)	The place you are now living is:
	 private property resettlement estate low-rent housing company quarters government quarters none of the above (Please specify)
6)	Your father's occupation is (Please put down in detail)
7)	Your mother's occupation is (Please put down in detail)
8)	Circle ALL the numbers which come before the languages which you can speak or understand:
	 Cantonese English Mandarin Shanghainese Chiuchownese Hakkanese Taishanese Szeyapnese Sunhuinese Fukienese Others (Please specify)

9)	Circle ALL the numerals which come before the languages which you speak at home:
	 Cantonese English Mandarin Shanghainese Chiuchownese Hakkanese Taishanese Szeyapnese Sunhuinese Fukienese Others (Please specify)
10)	At what level did you start receiving formal instructions in acquiring the English language?
	 lst year in kindergarten 2nd year in kindergarten 3rd year in kindergarten Primary 1 Primary 2 Primary 3 Primary 4 Primary 5 Primary 6 Form 1 Form 2 Form 3 Form 4
11)	How many non-Chinese teachers are now teaching you?
	 None One Two Three Four More than four
12)	How many non-Chinese teachers have taught you in the past?
	 None One Two Three Four More than four

- 13) How would you describe your father's command of the English language?
 - 1. None
 - 2. Rather poor
 - Fair 3.
 - 4. Quite good
 - 5. Excellent
- 14) How would you describe your mother's command of the English language?
 - 1. None
 - 2. Rather poor
 - 3. Fair
 - 4. Quite good
 - 5. Excellent
- 15) How many of your friends in the past have had English as their mother tongue?
 - 1. None
 - 2. One

 - 3. Two
 4. Three
 5. Four

 - 6. More than four
- 16) How many of your friends now have English as their mother tongue?
 - 1. None
 - 2. One
 - **-3.** Two
 - 4. Three
 - 5. Four
 - 6. More than four

Do you agree with the following statements? Please indicate by circling ONE of the five numbers:

- 1. = absolutely agree
- 2. = quite agree
- 3. = no opinion
- 4. = quite disagree
- 5. = absolutely disagree
- 17) It is a good thing to have English as the main official language of Hong Kong.
 - 1 2 3 4 5
- 18) English is the mark of an educated person.
 - 1 2 3 4 5

19) When using English, I do not feel that I am Chinese any more.

1 2 3 4 5

20) If I use English, I will be praised and approved of by my family, relatives and friends.

1 2 3 4 5

21) At times I fear that by using English I will become like a foreigner.

1 2 3 4 5

22) I should not be forced to learn English.

1 2 3 4 .5

23) To read English magazines is a kind of enjoyment.

1 2 3 4 5

24) I do not feel awkward when using English.

1 2 3 4 5

25) I love conversing with Westerners in English.

1 2 3 4 5

26) The Cantonese language is superior to English.

1 2 3 4 5

27) I like to see English-speaking films.

1 2 3 4 5

28) If I use English, it means that I am not patriotic.

1 2 3 4 5

29) If I use English, my status is raised.

1 2 3 4 5

30) I feel uncomfortable when hearing one Chinese speaking to another in English.

1 2 3 4 5

31) My History, Geography and Mathematics textbooks should be written in or translated into Chinese.

1 2 3 4 5

32) I wish that I could speak fluent and accurate English.

- 33) I feel uneasy and lack confidence when speaking English.
 - 1 2 3 4 5
- 34) Mandarin is superior to Cantonese.
 - 1 2 3 4 5
- 35) The use of English is one of the most crucial factors which has contributed to the success of Hong Kong's prosperity and development today.
 - 1 2 3 4 5
- 36) The English language sounds very nice.
 - 1 2 3 4 5
- 37) I would take English even if it were not a compulsory subject in school.
 - 1 2 3 4 5
- 38) I feel uneasy when hearing a Chinese speaking English.
 - 1 2 3 4 5
- 39) English should not be a medium of instruction in the schools in Hong Kong.
 - 1 2 3 4 5
- 40) The command of English is very helpful in understanding foreigners and their cultures.
 - 1 2 3 4 5

The following is a list of qualities which can be used to describe people. Please think and see if they are appropriate when applied to you. Indicate by circling one of the numbers in each case.

41)	Like to help others	1	2	3	4	5	
42)	Self-confident	1	2	3	4	5	
43)	Motivated to strive for success	1	2	3	4	5	
44)	Frank and honest	1	2	3	4	5	
45)	Clever and smart	1	2	3	4	5	
46)	Persistent*	1	2	3	4	5	
47)	Conservative in outlook	1	2	3	4	5	

^{*} i.e. firm, unyielding in a complimentary sense.

48)	Understanding of others	1	2	3	4	5
49)	Successful	1	2	3	4	5
50)	Easy to get along with	1	2	3	4	5
51)	Cool and clear-headed**	1	2	3	4	5
52)	Sincere when dealing with others	1	2	3	4	5
53)	Logical and wise	1	2	3	4	5
54)	Gentle and graceful***	1	2	3	4	5
55)	Very able and far-sighted	1	2	3	4	5
56)	Humble and polite	1	2	3	4	5
57)	Hardworking	1	2	3	4	5
58)	Trustworthy	1	2	3	4	5
59)	Presentable and outstanding in appearance	1	2	3	4	5

60) Loyal to one's family

The following is a list of qualities which can be used to describe people. Please think and see if they are appropriate when applied to YOUR IDEAL SELF. Indicate by circling one of the numbers in each case.

61)	Like to help others	1	2	3	4	5
62)	Self-confident	1	2	3	4	5
63)	Motivated to strive for success	1	2	3	4	5
64)	Frank and honest	1	2	3	4	5
65)	Clever and smart	1	2	3	4	5
66)	Persistent	1	2	3	4	5
67)	Conservative in outlook	1	2	3	4	5
68)	Understanding of others	1	2	3	4	5
69)	Successful	1	2	3	4	5

^{**} does not panic in a crisis

^{***} the essential trait of a learned man, as opposed to the rough and uncultured behaviour of the illiterate.

70)	Easy to get along with	1	2	3	4	5	
71)	Cool and clear-headed	1	2	3	4	5	
72)	Sincere when dealing with others	1	2	3	4	5	
73)	Logical and wise	1	2	3	4	5	
74)	Gentle and graceful	1	2	3	4	5	
75)	Very able and far-sighted	1	2	3	4	5	
76)	Humble and polite	1	2	3	4	5	
77)	Hardworking	1	2	3	4	5	
78)	Trustworthy	1	2	3	4	5	
79)	Presentable and outstanding in appearance	1	2	3	4	5	
80)	Loyal to one's family	1	2	3	4	5	
peop	following is a list of qualities which ole. Please think and see if they are a NESE. Indicate by circling one of the r	appr	opr	iat	e w	hen applied to	o
81)	Like to help others	1	2	3	4	5	
82)	Self-confident	1	2	3	4	5	
83)	Motivated to strive for success	1	2	3	4	5	
84)	Frank and honest	1	2	3	4	5	
85)	Clever and smart	1	2	3	4	5	
86)	Persistent	1	2	3	4	5	
87)	Conservative in outlook	1	2	3	4	5	
88)	Understanding of others	1	2	3	4	5	
89)	Successful	1	2	3	4	5	
90)	Easy to get along with	1	2	3	4	5	
91)	Cool and clear-headed	1	2	3	4	5	
92)	Sincere when dealing with others	1	2	3	4	5	
93)	Logical and wise	1	2	3	4.	5	
94)	Gentle and graceful	1	2	3	4	5	

1 2 3 4 5

95) Very able and far-sighted

96)	Humble and polite	1	2	3	4	5
97)	Hardworking	1	2	. 3	4	5
98)	Trustworthy	1	2	3	4	5
99)	Presentable and outstanding in appearance	1	2	3	4	5
100)	Loyal to one's family	1	2	3	4	5
peop	following is a list of qualities which le. Please think and see if they are ÆSTERNERS. Indicate by encircling one	appr	opr	iat	e w	hen applied
101)	Like to help others	1	2	3.	4	5
102)	Self-confident	1	2	3	4	5
103)	Motivated to strive for success	1	2	3	4	5
104)	Frank and honest	1	2	3	4	5
105)	Clever and smart	1	2	3	4	5
106)	Persistent	1	2	3	4	5
107)	Conservative in outlook	1	2	3	4	5
108)	Understanding of others	1	2	3	4	5
109)	~Successful	1	2	3	4	5
110)	Easy to get along with	1	2	3	4	5
111)	Cool and clear-headed	1	2	3	4	5
112)	Sincere when dealing with others	1	2	3	4	5
113)	Logical and wise	1	2	3	4	5
114)	Gentle and graceful	1	2	3	4	5
115)	Very able and far-sighted	1	2	3	4	5
116)	Humble and polite	1	2	3	4	5
117)	Hardworking	1	2	3	4	5
118)	Trustworthy	1	2	3	4	5.
119)	Presentable and outstanding in appearance	1	2	3	4	5
120)	Loyal to one's family	1	2	3	4	5

Please put ONE word only in each of the following blanks. The word should fit into the passage both in terms of meaning and structure.

In large universities, beginning science courses are often taught by
groups of staff members instead of by individual professors. Each series of
lectures is presented a different lecturer. The lecturers are
according to the areas of a in which they have done research.
lectures are presented in large auditoriums, they are often attended
by as as 200 students.
In addition to to lectures, the students are required
attend quiz or test sections which supervised by assistants. In the
quiz the lectures are discussed and text are assigned. Quizzes
are given regularly a week, and the quiz grades recorded and
averaged at the end the semester. Many students aren't used
taking weekly quizzes and they don't this system at first. Once
they used to it they like it they find that it helps them
up to date in their assignments.
experience is also provided for in the second secon
experience is also provided for in beginning science
courses. Each student must certain assigned experiments, some of
which complicated equipment which must be set by a lab
assistant. Sometimes two working on the same experiment check
other's results. This practice is advantageous long as each student
does his and has confidence in his own It is
umprofitable when one fellow depends on the other for the
answer. Besides these lectures, quizzes, and experiments, science
students also make oral on assigned research projects.
A science who is really interested in his won't
depend on someone else to his work for him. He will
carefully to the lectures and he attend all of the meetings of
quiz section. He will look forward each new assignment
and he will limit his reading to the text will insist
on having a list supplementary readings. He may ask his
for one or he may borrow from a graduate student in his
He won't object to doing an over several times if his lab
isn't satisfied with his results. Only this way can the student
ceally the material he is studying. Only in this way can he
acquire a good foundation in the fundamentals of the subject