

# 8.2 The Rate-Distortion Function

All the discussions are with respect to an i.i.d. information source  $\{X_k, k \ge 1\}$  with generic random variable X and a distortion measure d.

All the discussions are with respect to an i.i.d. information source  $\{X_k, k \ge 1\}$  with generic random variable X and a distortion measure d.

**Definition 8.8** An (n, M) rate-distortion code is defined by an encoding function

$$f: \mathcal{X}^n \to \{1, 2, \cdots, M\}$$

and a decoding function

$$g: \{1, 2, \cdots, M\} \to \hat{\mathcal{X}}^n.$$

All the discussions are with respect to an i.i.d. information source  $\{X_k, k \ge 1\}$  with generic random variable X and a distortion measure d.

**Definition 8.8** An (n, M) rate-distortion code is defined by an encoding function

$$f: \mathcal{X}^n \to \{1, 2, \cdots, M\}$$

and a decoding function

$$g: \{1, 2, \cdots, M\} \to \hat{\mathcal{X}}^n.$$

• Index set  $\mathcal{I} = \{1, 2, \cdots, M\}$ 

All the discussions are with respect to an i.i.d. information source  $\{X_k, k \ge 1\}$  with generic random variable X and a distortion measure d.

**Definition 8.8** An (n, M) rate-distortion code is defined by an encoding function

$$f: \mathcal{X}^n \to \{1, 2, \cdots, M\}$$

and a decoding function

$$g: \{1, 2, \cdots, M\} \to \hat{\mathcal{X}}^n.$$

- Index set  $\mathcal{I} = \{1, 2, \cdots, M\}$
- Codewords the reproduction sequences  $g(1), g(2), \dots, g(M)$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{X}}^n$

All the discussions are with respect to an i.i.d. information source  $\{X_k, k \ge 1\}$  with generic random variable X and a distortion measure d.

**Definition 8.8** An (n, M) rate-distortion code is defined by an encoding function

$$f: \mathcal{X}^n \to \{1, 2, \cdots, M\}$$

and a decoding function

$$g: \{1, 2, \cdots, M\} \to \hat{\mathcal{X}}^n.$$

- Index set  $\mathcal{I} = \{1, 2, \cdots, M\}$
- Codewords the reproduction sequences  $g(1), g(2), \cdots, g(M)$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{X}}^n$
- Codebook the set of all codewords

## A Rate-Distortion Code



**Definition 8.10** A rate-distortion pair (R, D) is (asymptotically) achievable if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists for sufficiently large n an (n, M) rate-distortion code such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\log M \le \mathbf{R} + \epsilon$$

and

$$\Pr\{d(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}) > \mathbf{D} + \epsilon\} \le \epsilon,$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{X}} = g(f(\mathbf{X}))$ .

**Definition 8.10** A rate-distortion pair (R, D) is (asymptotically) achievable if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists for sufficiently large n an (n, M) rate-distortion code such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\log M \le R + \epsilon$$

and

$$\Pr\{d(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}) > D + \epsilon\} \le \epsilon,$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{X}} = g(f(\mathbf{X}))$ .

**Remark** If (R, D) is achievable, then  $(\mathbf{R'}, D)$  and  $(R, \mathbf{D'})$  are achievable for all  $\mathbf{R'} \geq R$  and  $\mathbf{D'} \geq D$ . This in turn implies that  $(\mathbf{R'}, \mathbf{D'})$  are achievable for all  $\mathbf{R'} \geq R$  and  $\mathbf{D'} \geq D$ .

**Definition 8.10** A rate-distortion pair (R, D) is (asymptotically) achievable if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists for sufficiently large n an (n, M) rate-distortion code such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\log M \le R + \epsilon$$

and

$$\Pr\{d(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}) > D + \epsilon\} \le \epsilon,$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{X}} = g(f(\mathbf{X}))$ .

**Remark** If (R, D) is achievable, then  $(\underline{R'}, D)$  and (R, D') are achievable for all  $\underline{R'} \ge R$  and  $D' \ge D$ . This in turn implies that  $(\underline{R'}, D')$  are achievable for all  $\underline{R'} \ge R$  and  $D' \ge D$ .

**Definition 8.10** A rate-distortion pair (R, D) is (asymptotically) achievable if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists for sufficiently large n an (n, M) rate-distortion code such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\log M \le R + \epsilon$$

and

$$\Pr\{d(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}) > D + \epsilon\} \le \epsilon,$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{X}} = g(f(\mathbf{X}))$ .

**Remark** If  $(\underline{R}, D)$  is achievable, then  $(\underline{R'}, D)$  and (R, D') are achievable for all  $\underline{R'} \geq \underline{R}$  and  $D' \geq D$ . This in turn implies that  $(\underline{R'}, D')$  are achievable for all  $\underline{R'} \geq R$  and  $D' \geq D$ .

**Definition 8.10** A rate-distortion pair (R, D) is (asymptotically) achievable if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists for sufficiently large n an (n, M) rate-distortion code such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\log M \le R + \epsilon$$

and

$$\Pr\{d(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}) > D + \epsilon\} \le \epsilon,$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{X}} = g(f(\mathbf{X}))$ .

**Remark** If (R, D) is achievable, then  $(\mathbf{R'}, D)$  and  $(R, \underline{D'})$  are achievable for all  $\mathbf{R'} \geq R$  and  $\underline{D'} \geq D$ . This in turn implies that  $(\mathbf{R'}, \mathbf{D'})$  are achievable for all  $\mathbf{R'} \geq R$  and  $\mathbf{D'} \geq D$ .

**Definition 8.10** A rate-distortion pair (R, D) is (asymptotically) achievable if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists for sufficiently large n an (n, M) rate-distortion code such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\log M \le R + \epsilon$$

and

$$\Pr\{d(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}) > D + \epsilon\} \le \epsilon,$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{X}} = g(f(\mathbf{X}))$ .

**Remark** If  $(R, \underline{D})$  is achievable, then  $(\underline{R'}, D)$  and  $(R, \underline{D'})$  are achievable for all  $\underline{R'} \ge R$  and  $\underline{D'} \ge \underline{D}$ . This in turn implies that  $(\underline{R'}, \underline{D'})$  are achievable for all  $\underline{R'} \ge R$  and  $\underline{D'} \ge D$ .

**Definition 8.10** A rate-distortion pair (R, D) is (asymptotically) achievable if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists for sufficiently large n an (n, M) rate-distortion code such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\log M \le R + \epsilon$$

and

$$\Pr\{d(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}) > D + \epsilon\} \le \epsilon,$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{X}} = g(f(\mathbf{X}))$ .

**Remark** If (R, D) is achievable, then  $(\mathbf{R'}, D)$  and  $(R, \mathbf{D'})$  are achievable for all  $\mathbf{R'} \geq R$  and  $\mathbf{D'} \geq D$ . This in turn implies that  $(\mathbf{R'}, \mathbf{D'})$  are achievable for all  $\mathbf{R'} \geq R$  and  $\mathbf{D'} \geq D$ .

**Theorem 8.12** The rate-distortion region is closed and convex.

**Theorem 8.12** The rate-distortion region is closed and convex.

## Proof

• The closeness follows from the definition of the achievability of an (R, D) pair.

**Theorem 8.12** The rate-distortion region is closed and convex.

### Proof

- The closeness follows from the definition of the achievability of an (R,D) pair.
- The convexity is proved by time-sharing. Specifically, for any  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ and  $\overline{\lambda} = 1 - \lambda$ , if  $(R^{(1)}, D^{(1)})$  and  $(R^{(2)}, D^{(2)})$  are achievable, then so is

$$(R^{(\lambda)}, D^{(\lambda)}) = (\lambda R^{(1)} + \bar{\lambda} R^{(2)}, \lambda D^{(1)} + \bar{\lambda} D^{(2)}).$$

**Theorem 8.12** The rate-distortion region is closed and convex.

## Proof

- The closeness follows from the definition of the achievability of an (R, D) pair.
- The convexity is proved by time-sharing. Specifically, for any  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ and  $\overline{\lambda} = 1 - \lambda$ , if  $(R^{(1)}, D^{(1)})$  and  $(R^{(2)}, D^{(2)})$  are achievable, then so is

$$(R^{(\lambda)}, D^{(\lambda)}) = (\lambda R^{(1)} + \bar{\lambda} R^{(2)}, \lambda D^{(1)} + \bar{\lambda} D^{(2)}).$$

This can be seen by time-sharing between two codes, one achieving  $(R^{(1)}, D^{(1)})$ for  $\lambda$  fraction of the time, and the other one achieving  $(R^{(2)}, D^{(2)})$  for  $\overline{\lambda}$ fraction of the time.

**Theorem 8.12** The rate-distortion region is closed and convex.

## Proof

- The closeness follows from the definition of the achievability of an (R, D) pair.
- The convexity is proved by time-sharing. Specifically, for any  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ and  $\overline{\lambda} = 1 - \lambda$ , if  $(R^{(1)}, D^{(1)})$  and  $(R^{(2)}, D^{(2)})$  are achievable, then so is

$$(R^{(\lambda)}, D^{(\lambda)}) = (\underline{\lambda}R^{(1)} + \overline{\lambda}R^{(2)}, \underline{\lambda}D^{(1)} + \overline{\lambda}D^{(2)}).$$

This can be seen by time-sharing between two codes, one achieving  $(R^{(1)}, D^{(1)})$  for  $\underline{\lambda}$  fraction of the time, and the other one achieving  $(R^{(2)}, D^{(2)})$  for  $\overline{\lambda}$  fraction of the time.

**Theorem 8.12** The rate-distortion region is closed and convex.

### Proof

- The closeness follows from the definition of the achievability of an (R, D) pair.
- The convexity is proved by time-sharing. Specifically, for any  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ and  $\overline{\lambda} = 1 - \lambda$ , if  $(R^{(1)}, D^{(1)})$  and  $(R^{(2)}, D^{(2)})$  are achievable, then so is

$$(R^{(\lambda)}, D^{(\lambda)}) = (\lambda R^{(1)} + \underline{\overline{\lambda}} R^{(2)}, \lambda D^{(1)} + \underline{\overline{\lambda}} D^{(2)}).$$

This can be seen by time-sharing between two codes, one achieving  $(R^{(1)}, D^{(1)})$ for  $\lambda$  fraction of the time, and the other one achieving  $(R^{(2)}, D^{(2)})$  for  $\underline{\lambda}$ fraction of the time.













**Definition 8.14** The distortion-rate function D(R) is the minimum of all distortions D for a given rate R such that (R, D) is achievable.

**Definition 8.14** The distortion-rate function D(R) is the minimum of all distortions D for a given rate R such that (R, D) is achievable.

**Definition 8.14** The distortion-rate function D(R) is the minimum of all distortions D for a given rate R such that (R, D) is achievable.

#### Remarks

**Definition 8.14** The distortion-rate function D(R) is the minimum of all distortions D for a given rate R such that (R, D) is achievable.

#### Remarks

1. Most of the time we will be using R(D) instead of D(R).

**Definition 8.14** The distortion-rate function D(R) is the minimum of all distortions D for a given rate R such that (R, D) is achievable.

#### Remarks

- 1. Most of the time we will be using R(D) instead of D(R).
- 2. If (R, D) is achievable, then  $R \ge R(D)$ .











1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

#### Proof

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

#### $\mathbf{Proof}$

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

#### $\mathbf{Proof}$

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

#### $\mathbf{Proof}$

1. Let  $D' \geq D$ .  $(R(D), \underline{D})$  achievable  $\Rightarrow (R(D), \underline{D}')$  achievable. Then  $R(D) \geq R(D')$  by definition of R(D').

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

#### $\mathbf{Proof}$

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

#### $\mathbf{Proof}$

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

#### Proof

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

#### $\mathbf{Proof}$

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

### $\mathbf{Proof}$

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

### $\mathbf{Proof}$

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

#### Proof

- 1. Let  $D' \ge D$ . (R(D), D) achievable  $\Rightarrow (R(D), D')$  achievable. Then  $R(D) \ge R(D')$  by definition of R(D').
- 2. Follows from the convexity of the rate-distortion region.

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

- 1. Let  $D' \ge D$ . (R(D), D) achievable  $\Rightarrow (R(D), D')$  achievable. Then  $R(D) \ge R(D')$  by definition of R(D').
- 2. Follows from the convexity of the rate-distortion region.
- 3.  $(0, D_{max})$  is achievable  $\Rightarrow R(D_{max}) = 0$ . Then R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$  because  $R(\cdot)$  is non-increasing.

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

- 1. Let  $D' \ge D$ . (R(D), D) achievable  $\Rightarrow (R(D), D')$  achievable. Then  $R(D) \ge R(D')$  by definition of R(D').
- 2. Follows from the convexity of the rate-distortion region.
- 3. (0,  $D_{max}$ ) is achievable  $\Rightarrow R(D_{max}) = 0$ . Then R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$  because  $R(\cdot)$  is non-increasing.

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

#### Proof

- 1. Let  $D' \ge D$ . (R(D), D) achievable  $\Rightarrow (R(D), D')$  achievable. Then  $R(D) \ge R(D')$  by definition of R(D').
- 2. Follows from the convexity of the rate-distortion region.  $\geq R(D_{max})$
- 3. (0,  $D_{max}$ ) is achievable  $\Rightarrow R(D_{max}) = 0$ . Then R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$  because  $R(\cdot)$  is non-increasing.

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

#### Proof

- 1. Let  $D' \ge D$ . (R(D), D) achievable  $\Rightarrow (R(D), D')$  achievable. Then  $R(D) \ge R(D')$  by definition of R(D').
- 2. Follows from the convexity of the rate-distortion region.  $\geq R(D_{max})$
- 3.  $(\underline{0}, D_{max})$  is achievable  $\Rightarrow \underline{R(D_{max})} = 0$ . Then R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$  because  $R(\cdot)$  is non-increasing.

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

- 1. Let  $D' \ge D$ . (R(D), D) achievable  $\Rightarrow (R(D), D')$  achievable. Then  $R(D) \ge R(D')$  by definition of R(D').
- 2. Follows from the convexity of the rate-distortion region.
- 3.  $(0, D_{max})$  is achievable  $\Rightarrow R(D_{max}) = 0$ . Then R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$  because  $R(\cdot)$  is non-increasing.

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

### Proof

- 1. Let  $D' \ge D$ . (R(D), D) achievable  $\Rightarrow (R(D), D')$  achievable. Then  $R(D) \ge R(D')$  by definition of R(D').
- 2. Follows from the convexity of the rate-distortion region.
- 3.  $(0, D_{max})$  is achievable  $\Rightarrow R(D_{max}) = 0$ . Then R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$  because  $R(\cdot)$  is non-increasing.

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

- 1. Let  $D' \ge D$ . (R(D), D) achievable  $\Rightarrow (R(D), D')$  achievable. Then  $R(D) \ge R(D')$  by definition of R(D').
- 2. Follows from the convexity of the rate-distortion region.
- 3.  $(0, D_{max})$  is achievable  $\Rightarrow R(D_{max}) = 0$ . Then R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$  because  $R(\cdot)$  is non-increasing.
- 4. Since d is assumed to be normal, (H(X), 0) is achievable, and hence  $R(0) \leq H(X)$ .

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

- 1. Let  $D' \ge D$ . (R(D), D) achievable  $\Rightarrow (R(D), D')$  achievable. Then  $R(D) \ge R(D')$  by definition of R(D').
- 2. Follows from the convexity of the rate-distortion region.
- 3.  $(0, D_{max})$  is achievable  $\Rightarrow R(D_{max}) = 0$ . Then R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$  because  $R(\cdot)$  is non-increasing.
- 4. Since d is assumed to be normal,  $(\underline{H}(X), 0)$  is achievable, and hence  $R(0) \leq H(X)$ .

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

- 1. Let  $D' \ge D$ . (R(D), D) achievable  $\Rightarrow (R(D), D')$  achievable. Then  $R(D) \ge R(D')$  by definition of R(D').
- 2. Follows from the convexity of the rate-distortion region.
- 3.  $(0, D_{max})$  is achievable  $\Rightarrow R(D_{max}) = 0$ . Then R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$  because  $R(\cdot)$  is non-increasing.
- 4. Since d is assumed to be normal,  $(\underline{H(X)}, 0)$  is achievable, and hence  $R(0) \leq H(X)$ .

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- 4.  $R(0) \le H(X)$ .

- 1. Let  $D' \ge D$ . (R(D), D) achievable  $\Rightarrow (R(D), D')$  achievable. Then  $R(D) \ge R(D')$  by definition of R(D').
- 2. Follows from the convexity of the rate-distortion region.
- 3.  $(0, D_{max})$  is achievable  $\Rightarrow R(D_{max}) = 0$ . Then R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$  because  $R(\cdot)$  is non-increasing.
- 4. Since d is assumed to be normal,  $(\underline{H(X)}, 0)$  is achievable, and hence  $\underline{R(0)} \leq \underline{H(X)}$ .

- 1. R(D) is non-increasing in D.
- 2. R(D) is convex.
- 3. R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$ .
- $4. \ R(0) \le H(X).$

### Proof

- 1. Let  $D' \ge D$ . (R(D), D) achievable  $\Rightarrow (R(D), D')$  achievable. Then  $R(D) \ge R(D')$  by definition of R(D').
- 2. Follows from the convexity of the rate-distortion region.
- 3.  $(0, D_{max})$  is achievable  $\Rightarrow R(D_{max}) = 0$ . Then R(D) = 0 for  $D \ge D_{max}$  because  $R(\cdot)$  is non-increasing.
- 4. Since d is assumed to be normal,  $(\underline{H(X)}, 0)$  is achievable, and hence  $\underline{R(0)} \leq \underline{H(X)}$ .

