

Chapter 4 Zero-Error Data Compression

© Raymond W. Yeung 2014 The Chinese University of Hong Kong

• Why *H*(*X*) measures the amount of information in *X*?

- *•* Why *H*(*X*) measures the amount of information in *X*?
- *•* A first look at data compression: Prefix codes

- *•* Why *H*(*X*) measures the amount of information in *X*?
- *•* A first look at data compression: Prefix codes
- How to construct optimal prefix codes Huffman codes?

4.1 The Entropy Bound

Definition 4.1 A *D*-ary source code C for a source random variable X is a mapping from $\mathcal X$ to $\mathcal D^*$, the set of all finite length sequences of symbols taken from a *D*-ary code alphabet.

Definition 4.1 A *D*-ary source code $\mathcal C$ for a source random variable X is a mapping from $\mathcal X$ to $\mathcal D^*$, the set of all finite length sequences of symbols taken from a *D*-ary code alphabet.

Definition 4.2 A code C is uniquely decodable if for any finite source sequence, the sequence of code symbols corresponding to this source sequence is different from the sequence of code symbols corresponding to any other (finite) source sequence.

$$
\begin{array}{c|c}\nx & \mathcal{C}(x) \\
\hline\n\text{A} & 0 \\
\text{B} & 1 \\
\text{C} & 01 \\
\text{D} & 10\n\end{array}
$$

Example 4.3 Let $\mathcal{X} = \{A, B, C, D\}$. Consider the code C defined by

$\mathcal{X}% _{M_{1},M_{2}}^{\alpha,\beta}(\varepsilon)=\mathcal{X}_{M_{1},M_{2}}^{\alpha,\beta}(\varepsilon)$	$\mathcal{C}(x)$
	01
	10

Example 4.3 Let $\mathcal{X} = \{A, B, C, D\}$. Consider the code C defined by

	\overline{x}	$\mathcal{C}(x)$
行		
		01
		$\frac{1}{2}$ 10

Example 4.3 Let $\mathcal{X} = \{A, B, C, D\}$. Consider the code C defined by

\boldsymbol{x}	$\mathcal{C}(x)$
	01
	1()

Therefore, C not uniquely decodable.

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality) Let *C* be a *D*-ary source code, and let l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_m be the lengths of the codewords. If *C* is uniquely decodable, then

$$
\sum_{k=1}^m D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

4. For $N = 2$, we have

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

4. For $N=2$, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

4. For $N=2$, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $4 \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $\underline{4} \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $4 \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $\underline{4} \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $\underline{4} \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $\underline{4} \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $4 \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$
= $A_2 \cdot 2^{-2} + A_3 \cdot 2^{-3} + A_4 \cdot 2^{-4}$,

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

4. For $N=2$, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $4 \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$
= $A_2 \cdot 2^{-2} + A_3 \cdot 2^{-3} + A_4 \cdot 2^{-4}$,

$$
A_2 = 4, \ A_3 = 8, \ A_4 = 4.
$$

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

4. For $N=2$, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $\frac{4}{4} \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$
= $\frac{A_2}{4} \cdot 2^{-2} + A_3 \cdot 2^{-3} + A_4 \cdot 2^{-4}$,

$$
A_2 = 4, \ A_3 = 8, \ A_4 = 4.
$$

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

4. For $N=2$, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $4 \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$
= $A_2 \cdot 2^{-2} + A_3 \cdot 2^{-3} + A_4 \cdot 2^{-4}$,

$$
A_2 = 4, \ A_3 = 8, \ A_4 = 4.
$$

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

4. For $N=2$, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $4 \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$
= $A_2 \cdot 2^{-2} + A_3 \cdot 2^{-3} + A_4 \cdot 2^{-4}$,

$$
A_2 = 4, \ A_3 = 8, \ A_4 = 4.
$$

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

4. For $N=2$, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $4 \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$
= $A_2 \cdot 2^{-2} + A_3 \cdot 2^{-3} + A_4 \cdot 2^{-4}$,

where

$$
A_2 = 4, \ A_3 = 8, \ A_4 = 4.
$$

5. Then $A_2 = 4$ is the total number of sequences of $N = 2$ codewords with a total length of 2 code symbols. Specifically, the 4 sequences are

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

4. For $N=2$, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $4 \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$
= $A_2 \cdot 2^{-2} + A_3 \cdot 2^{-3} + A_4 \cdot 2^{-4}$,

where

$$
A_2 = 4, \ A_3 = 8, \ A_4 = 4.
$$

5. Then $A_2 = 4$ is the total number of sequences of $N = 2$ codewords with a total length of 2 code symbols. Specifically, the 4 sequences are

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

4. For $N=2$, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $4 \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$
= $A_2 \cdot 2^{-2} + A_3 \cdot 2^{-3} + A_4 \cdot 2^{-4}$,

where

$$
A_2 = 4, \ A_3 = 8, \ A_4 = 4.
$$

5. Then $A_2 = 4$ is the total number of sequences of $N = 2$ codewords with a total length of 2 code symbols. Specifically, the 4 sequences are

$$
00(AA), 01(AB), 10(BA), 11(BB).
$$

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

4. For $N=2$, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $4 \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$
= $A_2 \cdot 2^{-2} + A_3 \cdot 2^{-3} + A_4 \cdot 2^{-4}$,

where

$$
A_2 = 4, \ A_3 = 8, \ A_4 = 4.
$$

5. Then $A_2 = 4$ is the total number of sequences of $N = 2$ codewords with a total length of 2 code symbols. Specifically, the 4 sequences are

$$
00(AA), 01(AB), 10(BA), 11(BB).
$$

6. Similarly, $A_3 = 8$ and $A_4 = 4$ are the total number of sequences of 2 codewords with a total length of 3 and 4 code symbols, respectively.

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

4. For $N=2$, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $4 \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$
= $A_2 \cdot 2^{-2} + A_3 \cdot 2^{-3} + A_4 \cdot 2^{-4}$,

where

$$
A_2 = 4, \ \ A_3 = 8, \ \ A_4 = 4.
$$

5. Then $A_2 = 4$ is the total number of sequences of $N = 2$ codewords with a total length of 2 code symbols. Specifically, the 4 sequences are

$$
00(AA), 01(AB), 10(BA), 11(BB).
$$

6. Similarly, $A_3 = 8$ and $A_4 = 4$ are the total number of sequences of 2 codewords with a total length of 3 and 4 code symbols, respectively.

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

4. For $N=2$, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $4 \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$
= $A_2 \cdot 2^{-2} + A_3 \cdot 2^{-3} + A_4 \cdot 2^{-4}$,

where

 $A_2 = 4, \ \n\boxed{A_3 = 8, \ A_4 = 4.}$

5. Then $A_2 = 4$ is the total number of sequences of $N = 2$ codewords with a total length of 2 code symbols. Specifically, the 4 sequences are

$$
00(AA), 01(AB), 10(BA), 11(BB).
$$

6. Similarly, $A_3 = 8$ and $A_4 = 4$ are the total number of sequences of 2 codewords with a total length of 3 and 4 code symbols, respectively.

1. Consider the code *C* in Example 4.3.

2. Let $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and $l_3 = l_4 = 2$. These are the lengths of the codewords in *C*.

3. Consider the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k} = \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)
$$

raised to the power *N*.

4. For $N=2$, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} 2^{-l_k}\right)^2
$$

= $\left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(2^{-1} + 2^{-1} + 2^{-2} + 2^{-2}\right)$
= $4 \cdot 2^{-2} + 8 \cdot 2^{-3} + 4 \cdot 2^{-4}$
= $A_2 \cdot 2^{-2} + A_3 \cdot 2^{-3} + A_4 \cdot 2^{-4}$,

where

$$
A_2 = 4, \ A_3 = 8, \ A_4 = 4.
$$

5. Then $A_2 = 4$ is the total number of sequences of $N = 2$ codewords with a total length of 2 code symbols. Specifically, the 4 sequences are

$$
00(AA), 01(AB), 10(BA), 11(BB).
$$

6. Similarly, $A_3 = 8$ and $A_4 = 4$ are the total number of sequences of 2 codewords with a total length of 3 and 4 code symbols, respectively.

Exercise Verify that $A_3 = 8$ and list the 8 sequences of 2 codewords with a total length of 3 code symbols.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1. \tag{1}
$$

Proof

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1. \tag{1}
$$

Proof

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1. \tag{1}
$$

Proof

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1. \tag{1}
$$

Proof

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1. \tag{1}
$$

Proof

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1. \tag{1}
$$

Proof

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k_2=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_N=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1}+l_{k_2}+\cdots+l_{k_N})}.
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$
 (1)

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1} + l_{k_2} + \cdots + l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$
 (1)

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1} + l_{k_2} + \cdots + l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} A_i D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$
 (1)

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1} + l_{k_2} + \cdots + l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} A_i D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1. \tag{1}
$$

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k_2=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_N=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1}+l_{k_2}+\cdots+l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} \underline{A_i} D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$
 (1)

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1} + l_{k_2} + \cdots + l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} \underline{A_i} \underline{D^{-i}}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$
 (1)

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1} + l_{k_2} + \cdots + l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} A_i D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

3. Now observe that A_i gives the total number of sequences of *N* codewords with a total length of *i* code symbols (see Example 4.5).

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$
 (1)

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k_2=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_N=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1}+l_{k_2}+\cdots+l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} A_i D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

3. Now observe that A_i gives the total number of sequences of *N* codewords with a total length of *i* code symbols (see Example 4.5).

4. Since the code is uniquely decodable, these code sequences must be distinct, and therefore

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$
 (1)

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k_2=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_N=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1}+l_{k_2}+\cdots+l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} A_i D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

3. Now observe that A_i gives the total number of sequences of *N* codewords with a total length of *i* code symbols (see Example 4.5).

4. Since the code is uniquely decodable, these code sequences must be distinct, and therefore

$$
A_i \le D^i \tag{3}
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1. \tag{1}
$$

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k_2=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_N=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1}+l_{k_2}+\cdots+l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} A_i D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

3. Now observe that A_i gives the total number of sequences of *N* codewords with a total length of *i* code symbols (see Example 4.5).

4. Since the code is uniquely decodable, these code sequences must be distinct, and therefore

$$
A_i \le D^i \tag{3}
$$

because there are D^i distinct sequences of *i* code symbols.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1. \tag{1}
$$

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k_2=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_N=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1}+l_{k_2}+\cdots+l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} A_i D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

3. Now observe that A_i gives the total number of sequences of *N* codewords with a total length of *i* code symbols (see Example 4.5).

4. Since the code is uniquely decodable, these code sequences must be distinct, and therefore

$$
A_i \le D^i \tag{3}
$$

because there are D^i distinct sequences of *i* code symbols.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1. \tag{1}
$$

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k_2=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_N=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1}+l_{k_2}+\cdots+l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} \underline{A_i} D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

3. Now observe that A_i gives the total number of sequences of *N* codewords with a total length of *i* code symbols (see Example 4.5).

4. Since the code is uniquely decodable, these code sequences must be distinct, and therefore

$$
A_i \le D^i \tag{3}
$$

because there are D^i distinct sequences of *i* code symbols.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1. \tag{1}
$$

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k_2=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_N=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1}+l_{k_2}+\cdots+l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} \underline{A_i} D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

3. Now observe that A_i gives the total number of sequences of *N* codewords with a total length of *i* code symbols (see Example 4.5).

4. Since the code is uniquely decodable, these code sequences must be distinct, and therefore

$$
A_i \le D^i \tag{3}
$$

because there are D^i distinct sequences of *i* code symbols.

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^m D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1. \tag{1}
$$

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k_2=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_N=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1}+l_{k_2}+\cdots+l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} \underline{A_i} D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

3. Now observe that A_i gives the total number of sequences of *N* codewords with a total length of *i* code symbols (see Example 4.5).

4. Since the code is uniquely decodable, these code sequences must be distinct, and therefore

$$
A_i \le D^i \tag{3}
$$

because there are D^i distinct sequences of *i* code symbols.

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N \le \sum_{i=1}^{N l_m} D^i D^{-i}
$$
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1. \tag{1}
$$

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k_2=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_N=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1}+l_{k_2}+\cdots+l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} \underline{A_i} D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

3. Now observe that A_i gives the total number of sequences of *N* codewords with a total length of *i* code symbols (see Example 4.5).

4. Since the code is uniquely decodable, these code sequences must be distinct, and therefore

$$
A_i \le D^i \tag{3}
$$

because there are D^i distinct sequences of *i* code symbols.

5. Substituting (3) into (2), we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N \le \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} D^i D^{-i} = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} 1
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1. \tag{1}
$$

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k_2=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_N=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1}+l_{k_2}+\cdots+l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} \underline{A_i} D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

3. Now observe that A_i gives the total number of sequences of *N* codewords with a total length of *i* code symbols (see Example 4.5).

4. Since the code is uniquely decodable, these code sequences must be distinct, and therefore

$$
A_i \le D^i \tag{3}
$$

because there are D^i distinct sequences of *i* code symbols.

5. Substituting (3) into (2), we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^m D^{-l_k}\right)^N \le \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} D^i D^{-i} = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} 1 = Nl_m,
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$
 (1)

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k_2=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_N=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1}+l_{k_2}+\cdots+l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} \underline{A_i} D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

3. Now observe that A_i gives the total number of sequences of *N* codewords with a total length of *i* code symbols (see Example 4.5).

4. Since the code is uniquely decodable, these code sequences must be distinct, and therefore

$$
A_i \le D^i \tag{3}
$$

because there are D^i distinct sequences of *i* code symbols.

5. Substituting (3) into (2), we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N \le \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} D^i D^{-i} = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} 1 = Nl_m,
$$

or

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \leq (N l_m)^{1/N}.
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1. \tag{1}
$$

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k_2=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_N=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1}+l_{k_2}+\cdots+l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} A_i D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

3. Now observe that A_i gives the total number of sequences of *N* codewords with a total length of *i* code symbols (see Example 4.5).

4. Since the code is uniquely decodable, these code sequences must be distinct, and therefore

$$
A_i \le D^i \tag{3}
$$

because there are D^i distinct sequences of *i* code symbols.

5. Substituting (3) into (2), we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^m D^{-l_k}\right)^N \le \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} D^i D^{-i} = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} 1 = Nl_m,
$$

or

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \leq (N l_m)^{1/N}.
$$

Since this inequality holds for any *N*, upon letting $N \to \infty$, we obtain (1), completing the proof.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$
 (1)

Proof

1. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_m.
$$

2. Let *N* be an arbitrary positive integer, and consider

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k_2=1}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_N=1}^{m} D^{-(l_{k_1}+l_{k_2}+\cdots+l_{k_N})}.
$$

3. By collecting terms of the same degree on the RHS, we write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} A_i D^{-i}.
$$
 (2)

where A_i is the coefficient of D^{-i} on the LHS.

3. Now observe that A_i gives the total number of sequences of *N* codewords with a total length of *i* code symbols (see Example 4.5).

4. Since the code is uniquely decodable, these code sequences must be distinct, and therefore

$$
A_i \le D^i \tag{3}
$$

because there are *Di* distinct sequences of *i* code symbols.

5. Substituting (3) into (2), we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k}\right)^N \le \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} D^i D^{-i} = \sum_{i=1}^{Nl_m} 1 = Nl_m,
$$

or

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \leq (N l_m)^{1/N}.
$$

Since this inequality holds for any *N*, upon letting $N \to \infty$, we obtain (1), completing the proof.

• Source random variable $X \sim \{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_m\}$

- Source random variable $X \sim \{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_m\}$
- *•* Expected length of *C*:

$$
L = \sum_i p_i l_i
$$

- Source random variable $X \sim \{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_m\}$
- *•* Expected length of *C*:

$$
L = \sum_i p_i l_i
$$

• Intuitively, for a uniquely decodable code *C*,

$$
H_D(X) \leq L
$$

because each *D*-ary symbol can carry at most 1 *D*-it of information.

$L \geq H_D(X)$.

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i \underline{l_i} = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i \underline{l_i} = \sum_{i} p_i \underbrace{\log_D D^l i}
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

2. Then

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_i p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^l i)
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \frac{\log_D p_i}{\log_D P_i}.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality) \sum *m* $k=1$ $D^{-l}k \leq 1.$

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^l i)
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$L = \sum$ *i* $p_i l_i = \sum$ *i* $p_{\textit{i}}\frac{\log_D\textit{D}^{\textit{l}}\textit{i}}{D}$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_i p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^l i)
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D \underline{p_i} + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D \underline{p_i} + \log_D \underline{D}^{l_i})
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D \underline{p_i} + \log_D \underline{D}^{l_i})
$$

$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (\underline{p_i D}^{l_i})
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

=
$$
\sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

=
$$
(\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a}
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

=
$$
\sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

=
$$
(\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

=
$$
\sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

=
$$
(\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \frac{\ln(p_i D^{l_i})}{\ln(p_i D^{l_i})}
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \frac{\ln(p_i D^{l_i})}{\ln(D^{l_i})}
$$

$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

=
$$
\sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

=
$$
(\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p'_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p'_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} \mathbf{y}_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{y}_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$
$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}}\right]
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality) \sum *m* $k=1$ $D^{-l}k \leq 1$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - \frac{1}{2})
$$
 (3)

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - 1) \qquad (3)
$$

\n
$$
= 0.
$$

$$
L \ge H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

2. Then

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - 1) \qquad (3)
$$

\n
$$
= 0.
$$

This proves the entropy bound in (1).

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

2. Then

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - 1) \qquad (3)
$$

\n
$$
= 0.
$$

This proves the entropy bound in (1).

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

2. Then

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - 1) \qquad (3)
$$

This proves the entropy bound in (1).

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

2. Then

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - 1) \qquad (3)
$$

This proves the entropy bound in (1).

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

2. Then

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - 1) \qquad (3)
$$

This proves the entropy bound in (1).

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

2. Then

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - 1) \qquad (3)
$$

\n
$$
= 0.
$$

This proves the entropy bound in (1).

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

2. Then

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - 1) \qquad (3)
$$

\n
$$
= 0.
$$

This proves the entropy bound in (1).

$$
\sum_{i} D^{-l} i = \sum_{i} D^{\log} D^{p} i = \sum_{i} p_{i} = 1,
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

2. Then

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - 1) \qquad (3)
$$

\n
$$
= 0.
$$

This proves the entropy bound in (1).

$$
\sum_{i} D \frac{-l_i}{i} = \sum_{i} D^{\log} D^{\pi} P_i = \sum_{i} p_i = 1,
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

2. Then

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - 1) \qquad (3)
$$

\n
$$
= 0.
$$

This proves the entropy bound in (1).

$$
\sum_{i} D \frac{-l_i}{i} = \sum_{i} D \frac{\log D \ p_i}{i} = \sum_{i} p_i = 1,
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

2. Then

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - 1) \qquad (3)
$$

\n
$$
= 0.
$$

This proves the entropy bound in (1).

$$
\sum_{i} D \frac{-l_i}{i} = \sum_{i} D \frac{\log D \ p_i}{i} = \sum_{i} p_i = 1,
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

2. Then

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - 1) \qquad (3)
$$

\n
$$
= 0.
$$

This proves the entropy bound in (1).

$$
\sum_{i} D^{-l} i = \sum_{i} D^{\log} D^{p} i = \sum_{i} p_{i} = 1,
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

2. Then

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - 1) \qquad (3)
$$

\n
$$
= 0.
$$

This proves the entropy bound in (1).

$$
\sum_{i} D^{-l_i} = \sum_{i} D^{\log} D^{p_i} = \sum_{i} p_i = 1,
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

2. Then

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - 1) \qquad (3)
$$

\n
$$
= 0.
$$

This proves the entropy bound in (1).

$$
\sum_{i} D^{-l_i} = \sum_{i} D^{\log} D^{p_i} = \sum_{i} p_i = 1,
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

2. Then

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - 1) \qquad (3)
$$

\n
$$
= 0.
$$

This proves the entropy bound in (1).

$$
\sum_{i} D^{-l_i} = \sum_{i} D^{\log} D^{p_i} = \sum_{i} p_i = 1,
$$

$$
L \geq H_D(X). \tag{1}
$$

This lower bound is tight if and only if $l_i = -\log_D p_i$ for all *i*.

Proof

1. Since *C* is uniquely decodable, the lengths of its codewords satisfy the Kraft inequality. Write

$$
L = \sum_{i} p_i l_i = \sum_{i} p_i \log_D D^l i
$$

and recall that

$$
H_D(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log_D p_i.
$$

Theorem 4.4 (Kraft Inequality)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} D^{-l_k} \le 1.
$$

Corollary 2.30 (Fundamental Inequality) For any $a > 0$,

$$
\ln a \ge 1 - \frac{1}{a} \qquad (a = p_i D^l i)
$$

with equality if and only if $a = 1$.

2. Then

$$
L - H_D(X) = \sum_{i} p_i (\log_D p_i + \log_D D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i} p_i \log_D (p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \ln(p_i D^{l_i})
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} p_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i D^{l_i}}\right) (2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \sum_{i} (p_i - D^{-l_i})
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} p_i - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
= (\ln D)^{-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i} D^{-l_i}\right]
$$

\n
$$
\geq (\ln D)^{-1} (1 - I)
$$
 (3)
\n
$$
= 0.
$$

This proves the entropy bound in (1).

3. In order for this bound to be tight, both (2) and (3) have to be tight simultaneously. Now (2) is tight if and only if $p_i D^l i = 1$, or $l_i = -\log D p_i$ for all *i*. If this holds, we have

$$
\sum_{i} D^{-l_i} = \sum_{i} D^{\log} D^{p_i} = \sum_{i} p_i = 1,
$$

i.e., (3) is also tight. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Proof

Proof

• Let $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1, \cdots, |\mathcal{X}|-1\}.$

Proof

- Let $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1, \cdots, |\mathcal{X}|-1\}.$
- *•* Let *C* be the identity code, i.e.,

$$
\begin{array}{c|cccc}\nx & 0 & 1 & \cdots & |\mathcal{X}| - 1 \\
\hline\n\mathcal{C}(x) & 0 & 1 & \cdots & |\mathcal{X}| - 1\n\end{array}
$$

Proof

- Let $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1, \cdots, |\mathcal{X}|-1\}.$
- *•* Let *C* be the identity code, i.e.,

$$
\begin{array}{c|cccc}\nx & 0 & 1 & \cdots & |\mathcal{X}| - 1 \\
\hline\n\mathcal{C}(x) & 0 & 1 & \cdots & |\mathcal{X}| - 1\n\end{array}
$$

• Evidently, *C* is an *|X |*-ary uniquely decodable code, with expected length equals 1.

Proof

- Let $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1, \cdots, |\mathcal{X}| 1\}.$
- Let *C* be the identity code, i.e.,

$$
\begin{array}{c|cccc}\nx & 0 & 1 & \cdots & |\mathcal{X}| - 1 \\
\hline\n\mathcal{C}(x) & 0 & 1 & \cdots & |\mathcal{X}| - 1\n\end{array}
$$

- *•* Evidently, *C* is an *|X |*-ary uniquely decodable code, with expected length equals 1.
- By the entropy bound, we have

$$
1 = L \ge H_{|\mathcal{X}|}(X).
$$

Proof

- Let $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1, \dots, |\mathcal{X}| 1\}.$
- Let *C* be the identity code, i.e.,

$$
\begin{array}{c|cccc}\nx & 0 & 1 & \cdots & |\mathcal{X}| - 1 \\
\hline\n\mathcal{C}(x) & 0 & 1 & \cdots & |\mathcal{X}| - 1\n\end{array}
$$

- *•* Evidently, *C* is an *|X |*-ary uniquely decodable code, with expected length equals 1.
- By the entropy bound, we have

$$
1 = L \ge H_{|\mathcal{X}|}(X).
$$

• Leaving the base unspecified, we have

$$
H(X) \leq \log |\mathcal{X}|,
$$

recovering Theorem 2.43.

Definition 4.8 The redundancy *R* of a *D*-ary uniquely decodable code is the difference between the expected length of the code and the entropy of the source.

By the entropy bound,

$$
R = L - H_D(X) \ge 0.
$$