

**Proposition 11.23** The problem

For given  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ , maximize  $\sum_{i=1}^k \log(a_i + \lambda_i)$  subject to

$$\sum_i a_i \leq P \quad (1)$$

$$-a_i \leq 0. \quad (2)$$

has the solution

$$a_i^* = (\nu - \lambda_i)^+, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k,$$

where  $\nu$  satisfies

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\nu - \lambda_i)^+ = P.$$

**Proof**

1. We will prove the proposition by verifying that the proposed solution satisfies the KKT condition. This is done by finding nonnegative  $\mu$  and  $\mu_i$  satisfying the equations

$$\frac{\log e}{(a_i^* + \lambda_i)} - \mu + \mu_i = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\mu \left( P - \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^* \right) = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\mu_i a_i^* = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \quad (5)$$

where  $\mu$  and  $\mu_i$  are the multipliers associated with the constraints in (1) and (2), respectively.

2. To avoid triviality, assume  $P > 0$  so that  $\nu > 0$ , and observe that there exists at least one  $i$  such that  $a_i^* > 0$ .

3. For  $i$  such that  $a_i^* > 0$ :

- (5) implies  $\mu_i = 0$
- $a_i^* = (\nu - \lambda_i)^+ = \nu - \lambda_i$ , or  $a_i^* + \lambda_i = \nu$
- from (3), we obtain  $\mu = \frac{\log e}{\nu} > 0$ .

4. For  $i$  such that  $a_i^* = 0$ ,

- $\nu \leq \lambda_i$
- following (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log e}{(a_i^* + \lambda_i)} - \mu + \mu_i &= 0 \\ \frac{\log e}{\lambda_i} - \frac{\log e}{\nu} + \mu_i &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\mu_i = (\log e) \left( \frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right) \geq 0.$$

5. Thus we have obtained nonnegative  $\mu$  and  $\mu_i$  satisfying the KKT condition.