Chapter 11 Continuous-Valued Channels

© Raymond W. Yeung 2010

Department of Information Engineering The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Preamble

- *•* In a physical communication system, the input and output of a channel often take continuous real values.
- *•* A waveform channel is one which takes transmission in continuous time.

11.1 Discrete-Time Channels

Definition 11.1 Let $f(y|x)$ be a conditional pdf defined for all *x*, where

$$
-\int_{\mathcal{S}_Y(x)} f(y|x) \log f(y|x) dy < \infty
$$

for all *x*. A discrete-time continuous channel $f(y|x)$ is a system with input random variable *X* and output random variable *Y* such that *Y* is related to *X* through $f(y|x)$ (cf. Definition 10.22).

Remark The integral in Definition 11.1 is precisely the conditional differential entropy $h(Y|X=x)$, which is required to be finite.

Definition 11.2 Let $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, and *Z* be a real random variable, called the noise variable. A discrete-time continuous channel (α, Z) is a system with a real input and a real output. For any input random variable *X*, the noise random variable Z is independent of X , and the output random variable Y is given by

$$
Y = \alpha(X, Z).
$$

Definition 11.3 Two continuous channels $f(y|x)$ and (α, Z) are equivalent if for every input distribution $F(x)$,

$$
\Pr\{\alpha(X, Z) \le y, X \le x\} = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \int_{-\infty}^{y} f_{Y|X}(v|u) dv dF_X(u)
$$

for all *x* and *y*.

Remarks

- 1. Definition 11.2 is more general than Definition 11.1 because the former does not require the existence of $f(y|x)$.
- 2. We confine our discussion to channels defined by Definition 11.1.

Definition 11.4 (CMC I) A continuous memoryless channel (CMC) $f(y|x)$ is a sequence of replicates of a generic continuous channel $f(y|x)$. These continuous channels are indexed by a discrete-time index *i*, where $i \geq 1$, with the *i*th channel being available for transmission at time *i*. Transmission through a channel is assumed to be instantaneous. Let X_i and Y_i be respectively the input and the output of the CMC at time *i*, and let T_i [−] denote all the random variables that are generated in the system before X_i . The Markov chain T_i [−] → X_i → Y_i holds, and

$$
\Pr\{Y_i \le y, X_i \le x\} = \int_{-\infty}^x \int_{-\infty}^y f_{Y|X}(v|u)dv \, dF_{X_i}(u).
$$

Definition 11.5 (CMC II) A continuous memoryless channel (α, Z) is a sequence of replicates of a generic continuous channel (α, Z) . These continuous channels are indexed by a discrete-time index *i*, where $i \geq 1$, with the *i*th channel being available for transmission at time *i*. Transmission through a channel is assumed to be instantaneous. Let X_i and Y_i be respectively the input and the output of the CMC at time *i*, and let T_i [−] denote all the random variables that are generated in the system before X_i . The noise variable Z_i for the transmission at time *i* is a copy of the generic noise variable *Z*, and is independent of (X_i, T_{i-}) . The output of the CMC at time *i* is given by

$$
Y_i = \alpha(X_i, Z_i).
$$

Definition 11.6 Let κ be a real function. An average input constraint (κ, P) for a CMC is the requirement that for any codeword (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) transmitted over the channel,

$$
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\kappa(x_i) \le P
$$

Definition 11.7 The capacity of a continuous memoryless channel $f(y|x)$ with input constraint (κ, P) is defined as

$$
C(P) = \sup_{F(x): E\kappa(X) \le P} I(X;Y)
$$

Theorem 11.8 $C(P)$ is non-decreasing, concave, and left-continuous.

Proof

- 1. Non-decreasing Immediate.
- 2. Concave A consequence of the the concavity of mutual information with respect to the input distribution.
- 3. Left-continuous A consequence of concavity.

Remarks

- 1. *C*(*P*) is also right-continous (a consequence of concavity) but requires a separate proof.
- 2. This property of *C*(*P*) is not used in this chapter.

11.2 The Channel Coding Theorem

Definition 11.9 An (n, M) code for a continuous memoryless channel with input constraint (κ, P) is defined by an encoding function

$$
e:\{1,2,\cdots,M\}\to \Re^{n}
$$

and a decoding function

$$
g: \Re^n \to \{1, 2, \cdots, M\}.
$$

The set $\{1, 2, \dots, M\}$, denoted by W , is called the message set. The sequences $e(1), e(2), \cdots, e(M)$ in \mathbb{R}^n are called codewords, and the set of codewords is called the codebook. Moreover,

$$
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \kappa(x_i(w)) \le P \quad \text{for } 1 \le w \le M,
$$

where $e(w) = (x_1(w), x_2(w), \dots, x_n(w))$, i.e., each codeword satisfies the input power constraint.

Assumptions and Notations

- *W* is randomly chosen from the message set W , so $H(W) = \log M$.
- $X = (X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n);$ $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_n)$
- Thus $\mathbf{X} = e(W)$.
- Let $\hat{W} = g(\mathbf{Y})$ be the estimate on the message *W* by the decoder.

Error Probabilities

Definition 11.10 For all $1 \leq w \leq M$, let

$$
\lambda_w = \Pr\{\hat{W} \neq w | W = w\} = \int_{\{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}^n : g(\mathbf{y}) \neq w\}} f_{\mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y} | e(w)) d\mathbf{y}
$$

be the conditional probability of error given that the message is *w*.

Definition 11.11 The maximal probability of error of an (*n, M*) code is defined as

$$
\lambda_{max} = \max_{w} \lambda_w.
$$

Definition 11.12 The average probability of error of an (*n, M*) code is defined as

$$
P_e = \Pr\{\hat{W} \neq W\}.
$$

Definition 11.13 A rate *R* is (asymptotically) achievable for a continuous memoryless channel if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists for sufficiently large *n* an (*n, M*) code such that

$$
\frac{1}{n}\log M > R-\epsilon
$$

and

 $\lambda_{max} < \epsilon$

Theorem 11.14 A rate R is achievable for a continuous memoryless channel if and only if $R \leq C$, the capacity of the channel.

11.3.1 The Converse

- First establish the Markov chain $W \to \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y} \to \hat{W}$.
- W, \hat{W} discrete
- **X** real but discrete
- **Y** real and continuous

Lemma 11.15 (Data Processing Theorem)

 $I(W; \hat{W}) \leq I(X; \mathbf{Y})$

Converse Proof

• Let *R* be an achievable rate, i.e., for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists for sufficiently large *n* and (*n, M*) code such that

$$
\frac{1}{n}\log M > R - \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{max} < \epsilon.
$$

• Consider

$$
\log M = H(W)
$$

\n
$$
= H(W|\hat{W}) + I(W; \hat{W})
$$

\n
$$
\leq H(W|\hat{W}) + I(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y})
$$

\n
$$
= H(W|\hat{W}) + h(\mathbf{Y}) - h(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X})
$$

\n
$$
\leq H(W|\hat{W}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(Y_i) - h(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X})
$$

\n
$$
= H(W|\hat{W}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(Y_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(Y_i|X_i)
$$

\n
$$
= H(W|\hat{W}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(X_i; Y_i)
$$

- Let *V* be a mixing random variable distributed uniformly on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ which is independent of X_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- Let $X = X_V$ and *Y* be the output of the channel with *X* being the input.
- *•* Then

$$
E\kappa(X) = EE[\kappa(X)|V]
$$

=
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} Pr{V = i}E[\kappa(X)|V = i]
$$

=
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} Pr{V = i}E[\kappa(X_i)|V = i]
$$

=
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} E\kappa(X_i)
$$

=
$$
E\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa(X_i)\right]
$$

$$
\leq P
$$

• By the concavity of mutual information with respect to the input distribution,

$$
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}I(X_i;Y_i) \leq I(X;Y) \leq C
$$

- The second inequality above follows because *X* satisfies $E\kappa(X) \leq P$ as shown.
- *•* It follows that

$$
\log M \le H(W|\hat{W}) + nC
$$

• The proof is completed by invoking Fano's inequality.

11.3.2 Achievability

Remarks

1. In the formula

$$
C(P) = \sup_{F(x): E\kappa(X) \le P} I(X;Y)
$$

X may not have a pdf, so it is difficult to consider sequences typical w.r.t. *F*(*x*).

- 2. Need a new notion of joint typicality.
- 3. Recall that for any input distribution $F(x)$, $f(y)$ exists as long as $f(y|x)$ exists. Hence ρ / \perp $\sqrt{7}$

$$
I(X;Y) = E\left[\log \frac{f(y|x)}{f(y)}\right]
$$

Mutual Typicality

Definition 11.16 The mutually typical set $\Psi_{[XY]\delta}^n$ with respect to $F(x, y)$ is the set of $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{X}^n \times \mathcal{Y}^n$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{1}{n}\log\frac{f(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{y})} - I(X;Y)\right| \le \delta,
$$

where

$$
f(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(y_i|x_i)
$$

and

$$
f(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(y_i),
$$

and δ is an arbitrarily small positive number. A pair of sequences (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) is called mutually δ -typical if it is in $\Psi_{[XY]\delta}^n$.

Proof

1.

$$
\frac{1}{n}\log\frac{f(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X})}{f(\mathbf{Y})} = \frac{1}{n}\log\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{f(Y_i|X_i)}{f(Y_i)} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log\frac{f(Y_i|X_i)}{f(Y_i)}
$$

2. By WLLN,

$$
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log\frac{f(Y_i|X_i)}{f(Y_i)} \to E\log\frac{f(Y|X)}{f(Y)} = I(X;Y)
$$

in probability.

Lemma 11.18 Let (X', Y') be *n* i.i.d. copies of a pair of generic random variables (X', Y') , where X' and Y' are independent and have the same marginal distributions as *X* and *Y* , respectively. Then

$$
\Pr\{(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{Y}') \in \Psi_{[XY]\delta}^n\} \le 2^{-n(I(X;Y) - \delta)}.
$$

Proof

•

$$
\left|\frac{1}{n}\log\frac{f(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{y})} - I(X;Y)\right| \le \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{n}\log\frac{f(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{y})} \ge I(X;Y) - \delta
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad f(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) \ge f(\mathbf{y})2^{n(I(X;Y) - \delta)}
$$

• Then

$$
1 \geq Pr\{(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \in \Psi_{[XY]\delta}^n\}
$$

\n
$$
= \int \int_{\Psi_{[XY]\delta}^n} f(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) dF(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{y}
$$

\n
$$
\geq 2^{n(I(X;Y) - \delta)} \int \int_{\Psi_{[XY]\delta}^n} f(\mathbf{y}) dF(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{y}
$$

\n
$$
= 2^{n(I(X;Y) - \delta)} Pr\{(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{Y}') \in \Psi_{[XY]\delta}^n\}
$$

• Hence

$$
\Pr\{(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{Y}') \in \Psi_{[XY]\delta}^n\} \le 2^{-n(I(X;Y) - \delta)}
$$

Random Coding Scheme

• Since $C(P)$ is left-continuous, there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$
C(P - \gamma) > C(P) - \frac{\epsilon}{6}
$$

• By the definition of $C(P - \gamma)$, there exists an input random variable *X* such that

$$
E\kappa(X) \le P - \gamma
$$
 and $I(X;Y) \ge C(P - \gamma) - \frac{\epsilon}{6}$

• Choose for a sufficiently large *n* an even integer *M* satisfying

$$
I(X;Y) - \frac{\epsilon}{6} < \frac{1}{n} \log M < I(X;Y) - \frac{\epsilon}{8}
$$

• Then
$$
\frac{1}{n}\log M > I(X;Y) - \frac{\epsilon}{6} \ge C(P-\gamma) - \frac{\epsilon}{3} > C(P) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

The random coding scheme:

- 1. Construct the codebook *C* of an (*n, M*) code randomly by generating *M* codewords in \mathbb{R}^n independently and identically according to $F(x)^n$. Denote these codewords by $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(1), \tilde{\mathbf{X}}(2), \cdots, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}(M)$.
- 2. Reveal the codebook $\mathcal C$ to both the encoder and the decoder.
- 3. A message *W* is chosen from *W* uniformly.
- 4. The sequence $\mathbf{X} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}(W)$ is transmitted through the channel.
- 5. The channel outputs a sequence \bf{Y} according to

$$
\Pr\{Y_i \le y_i, 1 \le i \le n | \mathbf{X}(W) = \mathbf{x}\} = \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{y_i} f(y|x_i) dy.
$$

6. The sequence **Y** is decoded to the message *w* if $(\mathbf{X}(w), \mathbf{Y}) \in \Psi_{[XY]\delta}^n$ and there does not exist $w' \neq w$ such that $(\mathbf{X}(w'), \mathbf{Y}) \in \Psi_{[XY]\delta}^n$. Otherwise, Y is decoded to a constant message in W . Denote by W the message to which $\mathbf Y$ is decoded.

Performance Analysis

• Let
$$
\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(w) = (\tilde{X}_1(w), \tilde{X}_2(w), \cdots, \tilde{X}_n(w)).
$$

• Define the error event $Err = E_e \cup E_d$, where

$$
E_e = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa(\tilde{X}_i(W)) > P \right\} \text{ and } E_d = \{ \hat{W} \neq W \}
$$

$$
Pr{Err} = Pr{Err|W = 1}
$$

\n
$$
\leq Pr{E_e|W = 1} + Pr{E_d|W = 1}
$$

• Choose δ to be small to make

$$
\Pr\{E_d|W=1\} \le \frac{\epsilon}{4}
$$

for sufficiently large *n*.

•

• By WLLN, for sufficiently large *n*,

$$
\Pr{E_e|W=1} = \Pr\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \kappa(\tilde{X}_i(1)) > P \middle| W=1\right\}
$$

$$
= \Pr\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \kappa(\tilde{X}_i(1)) > P\right\}
$$

$$
= \Pr\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \kappa(\tilde{X}_i(1)) > (P-\gamma) + \gamma\right\}
$$

$$
\leq \Pr\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \kappa(\tilde{X}_i(1)) > E\kappa(X) + \gamma\right\}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}
$$

• So,

$$
\Pr\{Err\} \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

which implies for some codebook *C*[∗] ,

$$
\Pr\{Err|\mathcal{C}^*\} \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

- *•* Rank the codewords in *C*[∗] in ascending order according to Pr*{Err|C*[∗]*, W* = *w}*.
- After discarding the worst half of the codewords in \mathcal{C}^* , if a codeword $\mathbf{X}(w)$ remains in \mathcal{C}^* , then

$$
\Pr\{Err|C^*, W = w\} \le \epsilon
$$

- However, it is not clear whether $\mathbf{X}(w)$ satisfies both $\lambda_w \leq \epsilon$ and the input power constraint.
- Since $Err = E_e \cup E_d$, we have

$$
\lambda_w = \Pr\{E_d | \mathcal{C}^*, W = w\} \le \epsilon
$$

and

$$
\Pr\{E_e|\mathcal{C}^*, W=w\} \le \epsilon
$$

• Observe that conditioning on $\{\mathcal{C}^*, W = w\}$, the codeword $\mathbf{X}(w)$ is deterministic, so either $Pr{E_e | C^*, W = w} = 0$ or 1. Therefore, $Pr{E_e | C^*, W = w}$ w } = 0.

11.4 Memoryless Gaussian Channel

The Gaussian channel is the most commonly used model for a noisy channel with real input and output, because:

- 1. the Gaussian channel is highly analytically tractable
- 2. the Gaussian noise can be regarded as the worst kind of additive noise subject to a constraint on the noise power.

Definition 11.19 (Gaussian Channel) A Gaussian channel with noise energy *N* is a continuous channel with the following two equivalent specifications:

1.
$$
f(y|x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi N}}e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2N}}
$$
.

2. $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, N)$ and $\alpha(X, Z) = X + Z$.

Definition 11.20 (Memoryless Gaussian Channel) A memoryless Gaussian channel with noise power N and input power constraint P is a memoryless continuous channel with the generic continuous channel being the Gaussian channel with noise energy *N*. The input power constraint *P* refers to the input constraint (κ, P) with $\kappa(x) = x^2$.

Theorem 11.21 (Capacity of a Memoryless Gaussian Channel) The capacity of a memoryless Gaussian channel with noise power *N* and input power constraint *P* is

$$
\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P}{N}\right).
$$

The capacity is achieved by the input distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, P)$.

Remarks

- *•* The capacity of a memoryless Gaussian channel depends only on *P/N*, called the signal-to-noise ratio.
- The capacity is strictly positive no matter how small *P/N* is.
- *•* The capacity is infinite if there is no input power constraint.

Lemma 11.22 Let $Y = X + Z$. Then $h(Y|X) = h(Z|X)$ provided that $f_{Z|X}(z|x)$ exists for all $x \in S_X$.

Proof of Lemma 11.22

- $f_{Y|X}(y|x) = f_{Z|X}(y-x|x)$ exists.
- Then $h(Y|X=x)$ is defined, and

$$
h(Y|X) = \int h(Y|X=x)dF_X(x)
$$

=
$$
\int h(X+Z|X=x)dF_X(x)
$$

=
$$
\int h(x+Z|X=x)dF_X(x)
$$

=
$$
\int h(Z|X=x)dF_X(x)
$$

=
$$
h(Z|X)
$$

Proof of Theorem 11.21

- Let $F(x)$ be the CDF of the input random variable *X* such that $EX^2 \leq P$, where *X* is not necessarily continuous.
- Since $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, N)$, $f(y|x)$ and hence $f(y)$ exists.
- *•* By Lemma 11.22,

$$
I(X;Y) = h(Y) - h(Y|X) = h(Y) - h(Z|X) = h(Y) - h(Z)
$$

• Since *Z* is independent of *X* and *Z* is zero-mean,

$$
EY^2 = E(X+Z)^2 = EX^2 + EZ^2 \le P + N
$$

• By Theorem 10.43,

$$
h(Y) \le \frac{1}{2} \log[2\pi e(P + N)]
$$

with equality if $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0, P + N)$. This is achieved with $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, P)$.

• Hence,

$$
C = h(Y) - h(Z) = \frac{1}{2} \log[2\pi e(P + N)] - \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi eN) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N}\right)
$$

11.5 Parallel Gaussian Channels

- $Z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, N_i)$ and $Z_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ are independent.
- Total input power constraint: $E\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i^2 \leq P$.

$$
C(P) = \sup_{F(\mathbf{x}): E \sum_{i} X_i^2 \le P} I(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y})
$$

• Intuitively,

•

$$
C(P) = \max_{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k : \sum_i P_i = P} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \log \left(1 + \frac{P_i}{N_i} \right)
$$

where $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, P_i)$ and $X_1, X_2 \cdots, X_k$ are mutually independent.

Formal Justification:

$$
I(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y}) = h(\mathbf{Y}) - h(\mathbf{Z})
$$

\n
$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} h(Y_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} h(Z_i)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log[2\pi e(EY_i^2)] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log(2\pi eN_i)
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log(EY_i^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log N_i
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log(EX_i^2 + EZ_i^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log N_i
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log(P_i + N_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log N_i
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log(1 + \frac{P_i}{N_i})
$$

Maximization of \sum $i \log(P_i + N_i)$

- Constraints: $\sum_{i} P_i \leq P$ and $P_i \geq 0$
- $\sum_{i} P_i \leq P$ can be replaced by $\sum_{i} P_i = P$ because $\log(P_i + N_i)$ is increasing in P_i .
- Ignore the constraints $P_i \geq 0$ for the time being. Use Lagrange multiplier to obtain

$$
P_i = \nu - N_i
$$

where the constant ν is chosen such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} P_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\nu - N_i) = P
$$

• This solution, which has a water-filling interpretation, would be a valid solution if $\nu \geq N_i$ so that $P_i \geq 0$ for all *i*.

Capacity of Parallel Gaussian Channels

By means of Proposition 11.23 (an application of the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) condition), we obtain that in the general,

$$
C(P) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log \left(1 + \frac{P_i^*}{N_i} \right)
$$

where $\{P_i^*, 1 \le i \le k\}$ is the optimal input power allocation among the channels given by

$$
P_i^* = (\nu - N_i)^+, \quad 1 \le i \le k
$$

with ν satisfying

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\nu - N_i)^+ = P
$$

Water-Filling

11.6 Correlated Gaussian Channels

- *•* Same model as for parallel Gaussian channels except that Z ∼ *N* (0*, K*Z).
- $EZ_i = 0$ for all *i*.
- The total input power constraint continues to be $E\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i^2 \leq P$.
- *•* The problem can be reduced to the problem of parallel Gaussian channels by decorrelating the noise vector.

Decorrelation of the Noise Vector

• Let *K***z** be diagonalizable as $Q\Lambda Q$ ^T and consider

$$
\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Z}
$$

• Then

$$
Q^\top \mathbf{Y} = Q^\top \mathbf{X} + Q^\top \mathbf{Z}
$$

• Let $\mathbf{X}' = Q^{\top} \mathbf{X}, \ \mathbf{Y}' = Q^{\top} \mathbf{Y}, \text{ and } \mathbf{Z}' = Q^{\top} \mathbf{Z}$ to obtain

 $\mathbf{Y}'=\mathbf{X}'+\mathbf{Z}'$

• $K_{\mathbf{Z'}} = \Lambda$, $Z'_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \lambda_i)$, and Z'_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ are mutually independent.

- $\mathbf{X} = Q\mathbf{X}'$ and $\mathbf{Y}' = Q^\top \mathbf{Y}$ as prescribed.
- **Z'** is the equivalent noise vector, making it a system of parallel Gaussian channels.
- *•* The only difference between this system and the original system are the linear transformations Q and Q^{\top} before and after the original system.
- *•* By Proposition 10.9, the total input power constraint for the original system translates to the total input power constraint

$$
E\sum_{i=1}^{k}(X_i')^2 \le P
$$

for this system.

• Let the capacity of this system be *C'* and the capacity of the original system be *C*. Obviously, $C \geq C'$.

$$
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c} \hline & & & & & \mathbf{Z} & & \\ \hline & & & & & \mathbf{Z} & & \\ \hline & & & & & \mathbf{Z} & & \mathbf{Z} & & \mathbf{Z} &
$$

- Let the capacity of the above system be C'' .
- Then, $C \geq C' \geq C''$.
- But since the above system is equivalent to the original system, $C'' = C$.
- Therefore, $C' = C$, or the equivalent system of parallel Gaussian channels is the same as the original system of correlated Gaussian channels.
- Hence, the capacity of the original system is given by

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log \left(1 + \frac{a_i^*}{\lambda_i} \right)
$$

where a_i^* is the optimal power allocated to the *i*th channel in the equivalent system, and its value can be obtained by water-filling.

- Both input and output are in continuous time.
- $Z(t)$ is a zero-mean white Gaussian noise process with $S_Z(f) = \frac{N_0}{2}$, called an additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN).

Signal Analysis Preliminaries

Definition 11.24 The Fourier transform of a signal $g(t)$ is defined as

$$
G(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)e^{-j2\pi ft}dt.
$$

The signal $g(t)$ can be recovered from $G(f)$ as

$$
g(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(f)e^{j2\pi ft}df,
$$

and $g(t)$ is called the inverse Fourier transform of $G(f)$. The functions $g(t)$ and $G(f)$ are said to form a transform pair, denoted by

$$
g(t) \rightleftharpoons G(f).
$$

The variables *t* and *f* are referred to as time and frequency, respectively.

Energy Signal:

•

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(t)|^2 dt < \infty
$$

• the Fourier transform of an energy signal exists.

Definition 11.25 Let $g_1(t)$ and $g_2(t)$ be a pair of energy signals. The crosscorrelation function for $g_1(t)$ and $g_2(t)$ is defined as

$$
R_{12}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(t)g_2(t-\tau)dt
$$

Proposition 11.26 For a pair of energy signals $g_1(t)$ and $g_2(t)$

$$
R_{12}(\tau) \rightleftharpoons G_1(f)G_2^*(f),
$$

where $G_2^*(f)$ denotes the complex conjugate of $G_2(f)$.

Definition 11.27 For a wide-sense stationary process $\{X(t), -\infty < t < \infty\},\$ the autocorrelation function is defined as

$$
R_X(\tau) = E[X(t+\tau)X(t)]
$$

which does not depend on *t*, and the power spectral density is defined as

$$
S_X(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_X(\tau) e^{-j2\pi f \tau} d\tau
$$

i.e.,

$$
R_X(\tau) \rightleftharpoons S_X(f)
$$

Remark A process $X(t)$ is wide-sense stationary if $EX(t)$ does not depend on *t* and $E[X(t + \tau)X(t)]$ depends only on τ .

Let $\{(X(t), Y(t)), -\infty < t < \infty\}$ be a bivariate wide-sense stationary process. Their cross-correlation functions are defined as

$$
R_{XY}(\tau) = E[X(t+\tau)Y(t)]
$$

and

$$
R_{YX}(\tau) = E[Y(t+\tau)X(t)]
$$

which do not depend on *t*. The cross-spectral densities are defined as

$$
S_{XY}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{XY}(\tau) e^{-j2\pi f \tau} d\tau
$$

and

$$
S_{YX}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{YX}(\tau) e^{-j2\pi f \tau} d\tau
$$

i.e.,

$$
R_{XY}(\tau) \rightleftharpoons S_{XY}(f)
$$

and

$$
R_{YX}(\tau) \rightleftharpoons S_{YX}(f)
$$

An Equivalent Model

- $Y'(t) = X'(t) + Z'(t)$
- $X'(t)$ and $Z'(t)$ are filtered versions of $X(t)$ and $Z(t)$, respectively.
- Both $X'(t)$ and $Z'(t)$ are bandlimited to $[0, W]$.
- Regard $X'(t)$ as the channel input and $Z'(t)$ as the additive noise process.
- Impose a power constraint on $X'(t)$.

Theorem 11.29 (Sampling Theorem) Let $g(t)$ be a signal with Fourier transform $G(f)$ that vanishes for $f \notin [-W, W]$. Then

$$
g(t) = \sum_{i = -\infty}^{\infty} g\left(\frac{i}{2W}\right) \operatorname{sinc}(2Wt - i)
$$

for $-\infty < t < \infty$, where

$$
\mathrm{sinc}(t) = \frac{sin(\pi t)}{\pi t}
$$

called the sinc function, is defined to be 1 at $t = 0$ by continuity.

Remarks

- $\sin(c(t)) = 0$ for every integer $i \neq 0$.
- $\sin c(2Wt i) = \sin c(2W(t \frac{i}{2W})) = 1$ for $t = \frac{i}{2W}$ and vanishes for $t = \frac{j}{2W}$ for every integer $j \neq i$.

• Let
\n
$$
g_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2W}} g\left(\frac{i}{2W}\right)
$$
\nand
\n
$$
\psi_i(t) = \sqrt{2W} \text{sinc}(2Wt - i)
$$

• Then

$$
g(t) = \sum_{i = -\infty}^{\infty} g_i \psi_i(t)
$$

Proposition 11.30 $\psi_i(t)$, $-\infty < i < \infty$ form an orthonormal basis for signals which are bandlimited to [0*, W*].

Heuristic Treatment of the Bandlimited Channel

• Assume the input process $X'(t)$ has a Fourier transform, so that

$$
X'(t) = \sum_{i = -\infty}^{\infty} X'_i \psi_i(t)
$$

- There is a one-to-one correspondence between $\{X'(t)\}$ and $\{X_i\}$.
- Likewise, assume $Y'(t)$ can be written as

$$
Y(t) = \sum_{i = -\infty}^{\infty} Y_i' \psi_i(t)
$$

• With these assumptions, the waveform channel can be regarded as a discrete-time channel defined at $t = \frac{i}{2W}$, with the *i*th input and output of the channel being X'_i and Y_i , respectively.

To complete the model of the discrete-time channel, we need to

- 1. understand the effect of the noise process $Z'(t)$ on $Y(t)$ at the sampling points
- 2. relate the power constraint on X_i' to the power constraint on $X'(t)$.

 $\textbf{Proposition 11.31} \ \ \textit{Z}' \left(\frac{i}{2W} \right)$), $-\infty < i < \infty$ are i.i.d. Gaussian random variables with zero mean and variance N_0W .

Proof

•

•

- $Z'(t)$ is a filtered version of $Z(t)$, so $Z'(t)$ is also a zero-mean Gaussian process.
- $Z'(\frac{i}{2W})$), $-\infty < i < \infty$ are zero-mean Gaussian random variables.

$$
S_{Z'}(f) = \begin{cases} \frac{N_0}{2} & -W \le f \le W\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

$$
S_{Z'}(f) \rightleftharpoons R_{Z'}(\tau) = N_0 W \operatorname{sinc}(2W\tau)
$$

$$
\bullet
$$

$$
R_{Z'}\left(\frac{i}{2W}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq 0\\ N_0W & i = 0 \end{cases}
$$

- $Z'(\frac{i}{2W})$), $-\infty < i < \infty$ are uncorrelated and hence independent because they are jointly Gaussian.
- Since $Z'(\frac{i}{2W})$) has zero mean, its variance is given by $R_{Z'}(0) = N_0 W$.
- Recall that $Y(t) = X'(t) + Z'(t)$.
- *•* Letting

$$
Z'_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2W}} Z'\left(\frac{i}{2W}\right)
$$

we have

$$
Y_i = X_i' + Z_i'
$$

- Since $Z'(\frac{i}{2W})$ $\big)$ are i.i.d. ∼ $\mathcal{N}(0, N_0W), Z'_i$ are i.i.d. ∼ $\mathcal{N}(0, \frac{N_0}{2})$.
- So the bandlimited white Gaussian channel is equivalent to a memoryless Gaussian channel with noise power equal to $\frac{N_0}{2}$.

Relating the Power Constraints

- Let P' be the average energy (i.e., the second moment) of the X_i 's.
- Since $\psi_i(t)$, $-\infty < i < \infty$ are orthonormal, each has unit energy and their energy adds up.
- Therefore, $X'(t)$ accumulates energy from the samples at a rate equal to 2*W P*! .
- *•* Consider

$2WP' < P$

where P is the average power constraint on the input process $X'(t)$, we obtain

$$
P'\leq \frac{P}{2W}
$$

Capacity of the Bandlimited White Gaussian Channel

$$
\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P/2W}{N_0/2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P}{N_0W}\right)
$$
 bits per sample

• Since there are 2*W* samples per unit time, the capacity is

$$
W \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N_0 W} \right) \text{ bits per unit time}
$$

• For the white Gaussian channel bandlimited to $[f_l, f_h]$, where f_l is a multiple of $W = f_h - f_l$, apply the bandpass version of the sampling theorem to obtain the same capacity formula.

•

11.8 The Bandlimited Colored Gaussian Channel

- *•* Bandlimited to [0*, W*] with input power constraint *P*.
- *• Z*(*t*) is a zero-mean additive colored Gaussian noise.
- Divide [0, *W*] into *k* subintervals, each with width $\Delta_k = \frac{W}{k}$.
- *•* Assume that the noise power over the *i*th subinterval is a constant *SZ,i*.
- *•* The capacity of the *i*th sub-channel is

$$
\Delta_k \log \left(1 + \frac{P_i}{2S_{Z,i} \Delta_k} \right)
$$

- The noise process $Z_i'(t)$ of the *i*th sub-channel is obtained by passing $Z(t)$ through the corresponding ideal bandpass filter.
- It can be shown (see Problem 9) that the noise processes $Z_i(t)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ are independent.
- *•* By sampling the channels in time, the *k* sub-channels can be regarded as a system of parallel Gaussian channels.
- *•* Thus the channel capacity is equal to the sum of the capacities of the individual sub-channels when the power allocation among the *k* sub-channels is optimal.
- Let P_i^* be the optimal power allocation for the *i*th sub-channel.
- The channel capacity is equal to

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \Delta_k \log \left(1 + \frac{P_i^*}{2S_{Z,i} \Delta_k} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Delta_k \log \left(1 + \frac{\frac{P_i^*}{2\Delta_k}}{S_{Z,i}} \right)
$$

where By Proposition 11.23,

$$
\frac{P_i^*}{2\Delta_k} = (\nu - S_{Z,i})^+
$$

with

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} P_i^* = P
$$

• As
$$
k \to \infty
$$
,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \Delta_k \log \left(1 + \frac{\frac{P_i^*}{2\Delta_k}}{S_{Z,i}} \right)
$$

\n
$$
\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_{-W}^{W} \log \left(1 + \frac{(\nu - S_Z(f))^+}{S_Z(f)} \right) df
$$
 bits per unit time

and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} P_i^* = P \rightarrow \int_{-W}^{W} (\nu - S_Z(f))^+ df = P
$$

11.9 Zero-Mean Noise is the Worst Additive Noise

- We will show that in terms of the capacity of the system, the zero-mean Gaussian noise is the worst additive noise given that the noise vector has a fixed correlation matrix.
- *•* The diagonal elements of the correlation matrix specify the power of the individual noise variables.
- The other elements in the matrix give a characterization of the correlation between the noise variables.

Two Lemmas

Lemma 11.33 Let X be a zero-mean random vector and

$$
\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Z}
$$

where $\mathbf Z$ is independent of $\mathbf X$. Then

$$
\tilde{K}_{\mathbf{Y}} = \tilde{K}_{\mathbf{X}} + \tilde{K}_{\mathbf{Z}}
$$

Remark The scalar case has been proved in the proof of Theorem 11.21.

Lemma 11.34 Let $\mathbf{Y}^* \sim \mathcal{N}(0, K)$ and \mathbf{Y} be any random vector with correlation matrix *K*. Then

$$
\int f_{\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y}) \log f_{\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} = \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{Y}}} f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) \log f_{\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.
$$

Remark A similar technique has been used in proving Theorems 2.50 and 10.41 (maximum entropy distributions).

Theorem 11.32 For a fixed zero-mean Gaussian random vector X^* , let

$$
\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}^* + \mathbf{Z},
$$

where the joint pdf of **Z** exists and **Z** is independent of X^* . Under the constraint that the correlation matrix of $\mathbb Z$ is equal to K , where K is any symmetric positive definite matrix, $I(\mathbf{X}^*, \mathbf{Y})$ is minimized if and only if $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, K)$.

Proof

$$
I(\mathbf{X}^*; \mathbf{Y}^*) - I(\mathbf{X}^*; \mathbf{Y})
$$

\n
$$
\stackrel{a)}{=} h(\mathbf{Y}^*) - h(\mathbf{Z}^*) - h(\mathbf{Y}) + h(\mathbf{Z})
$$

\n
$$
= -\int f_{\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y}) \log f_{\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} + \int f_{\mathbf{Z}^*}(\mathbf{z}) \log f_{\mathbf{Z}^*}(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}
$$

\n
$$
+ \int f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) \log f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} - \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{Z}}} f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z}) \log f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}
$$

\n
$$
\stackrel{b)}{=} -\int f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) \log f_{\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} + \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{Z}}} f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z}) \log f_{\mathbf{Z}^*}(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}
$$

\n
$$
+ \int f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) \log f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} - \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{Z}}} f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z}) \log f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}
$$

Proof (cont.)

$$
= \int \log \left(\frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y})}{f_{\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y})} \right) f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} + \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{Z}}} \log \left(\frac{f_{\mathbf{Z}^*}(\mathbf{z})}{f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z})} \right) f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}
$$
\n
$$
\stackrel{c)}{=} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{Z}}} \int \log \left(\frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) f_{\mathbf{Z}^*}(\mathbf{z})}{f_{\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y}) f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z})} \right) f_{\mathbf{Y} \mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{z}
$$
\n
$$
\stackrel{d)}{\leq} \log \left(\int_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{Z}}} \int \frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) f_{\mathbf{Z}^*}(\mathbf{z})}{f_{\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y}) f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z})} f_{\mathbf{Y} \mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{z} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\stackrel{e)}{=} \log \left(\int \left[\frac{1}{f_{\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y})} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{Z}}} f_{\mathbf{X}^*}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}) f_{\mathbf{Z}^*}(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} \right] f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\stackrel{f)}{\leq} \log \left(\int \frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y})}{f_{\mathbf{Y}^*}(\mathbf{y})} f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= 0
$$

Gaussian is the Worst

- Consider a system of correlated Gaussian channels with noise vector \mathbf{Z}^* ∼ $\mathcal{N}(0, K)$, and so $K_{\mathbf{Z}} = K$. Call this the zero-mean Gaussian system and let *C*[∗] be its capacity.
- Consider another system with exactly the same specification except that the noise vector Z may neither be zero-mean nor Gaussian. We require that the joint pdf of Z exists. Call this system as the alternative system and let *C* be its capacity.
- *•* Let X[∗] be the zero-mean Gaussian input vector that achieves the capacity of the zero-mean Gaussian system.
- Let Y^{*} be the output of the zero-mean Gaussian system with X^{*} as input.
- Let Y be the output of the alternative system with X^* as input.
- *•* Then

$$
C \geq I(\mathbf{X}^*; \mathbf{Y}) \geq I(\mathbf{X}^*; \mathbf{Y}^*) = C^*
$$

