

CSCI3160: Regular Exercise Set 2

□ Problem 1

- Faster Algorithm for Finding the Number of Crossing Inversions.

□ Problem 2

- Give an $O(n \log n)$ -time algorithm to solve the dominance counting problem discussed in the class.

Counting inversions

- Problem: Given an array A of n distinct integers, count the number of inversions.
- An inversion is a pair of (i, j) such that
 - $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.
 - $A[i] > A[j]$.

Example: Consider $A = (10, 3, 9, 8, 2, 5, 4, 1, 7, 6)$.

Then $(1, 2)$ is an inversion because $A[1] = 10 > A[2] = 3$. So are $(1, 3)$, $(3, 4)$, $(4, 5)$, and so on.

There are in total 31 inversions.

Counting inversions

- Let: $A = (10, 3, 9, 8, 2, 5, 4, 1, 7, 6)$
 - $A_1 = (2, 3, 8, 9, 10), A_2 = (1, 4, 5, 6, 7)$.
 - The counts of inversions in A_1 and A_2 are known by solving the “counting inversion” problem recursively on A_1 and A_2 .
- We need to count the number of crossing inversion (i, j) where i is in A_1 and j in A_2 .
- Binary search
 - Conducting $n/2$ binary searches ($O(n \log n)$).
 - Let $f(n)$ be the worst-case running time of the algorithm on n numbers.
 - ✓ $f(n) \leq 2f(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + O(n \log n)$
 - ✓ which solves to $f(n) = O(n \log^2 n)$.

Counting inversions

□ Problem 1: Faster Algorithm for Finding the Number of Crossing Inversions.

- Let S_1 and S_2 be two disjoint sets of n integers. Assume that S_1 is stored in an array A_1 , and S_2 in an array A_2 . Both A_1 and A_2 are sorted in ascending order. Design an algorithm to find the number of such pairs (a, b) satisfying all of the following conditions:
 - ✓ $a \in S_1$,
 - ✓ $b \in S_2$,
 - ✓ $a > b$.
 - ✓ Your algorithm must finish in $O(n)$ time.

Counting inversions

□ Method

- Merge A_1 and A_2 into one sorted list A .

□ Let: $A = (10, 3, 9, 8, 2, 5, 4, 1, 7, 6)$

- $A_1 = (2, 3, 8, 9, 10)$, $A_2 = (1, 4, 5, 6, 7)$

A_1


2	3	8	9	10
---	---	---	---	----

A_2

1	4	5	6	7
---	---	---	---	---


- ## □ We will merge them together and in the meantime maintain the count of crossing inversions.

Counting inversions




- Ordered list produced: Nothing yet
- The count of crossing inversions : 0

Counting inversions



- Ordered list produced: 1
- The count of crossing inversions : 0


Counting inversions



- Ordering produced: 1, 2
- The count of crossing inversions : $0 + 1 = 1$.

↖ ↖
Last count Newly added count (#
elements from A_2
already in the ordered
list produced)


Counting inversions



- Ordering produced: 1, 2, 3
- The count of crossing inversions : $1 + 1 = 2$.


↖ ↗
Last count Newly added count (#
elements from A_2
already in the ordered
list produced)

Counting inversions




- Ordering produced: 1, 2, 3, 4
 - The count of crossing inversions : 2
- ↗
Last count

Counting inversions



- Ordering produced: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
 - The count of crossing inversions : 2
- ↗
Last count


Counting inversions



- Ordering produced: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
- The count of crossing inversions : 2.


↗
Last count

Counting inversions



- Ordering produced: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
 - The count of crossing inversions : 2
- ↖
Last count

Counting inversions




- Ordering produced: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8

- The count of crossing inversions : $2 + 5 = 7$.

↖ ↖
Last count Newly added count (#
elements from A_2
already in the ordered
list produced)


Counting inversions



- Ordering produced: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9
- The count of crossing inversions : $7 + 5 = 12$.

↗ ↖
Last count Newly added count (#
elements from A_2
already in the ordered
list produced)

Counting inversions



- Ordering produced: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10
- The count of crossing inversions : $12 + 5 = 17$.

↗ ↖
Last count Newly added count (#
elements from A_2
already in the ordered
list produced)

Counting inversions

□ Analysis

- Let $f(n)$ be the worst-case running time of the algorithm on n numbers.

Then

- $f(n) \leq 2f(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + O(n)$,
- which solves to $f(n) = O(n \log n)$.


Dominance counting

□ Problem 2

- Give an $O(n \log n)$ -time algorithm to solve the dominance counting problem discussed in the class.

□ Point dominance definition


- Denote by \mathbb{N} the set of integers. Given a point p in two-dimensional space \mathbb{N}^2 , denote by $p[1]$ and $p[2]$ its x- and y-coordinates, respectively.
- Given two distinct points p and q , we say that q dominates p if $p[1] \leq q[1]$ and $p[2] \leq q[2]$.



Dominance counting

- Let P be a set of n points in \mathbb{N}^2 . Find, for each point $p \in P$, the number of points in P that are dominated by p .


Example:



We should output: $(p_1, 0), (p_2, 1), (p_3, 0), (p_4, 2), (p_5, 2), (p_6, 5), (p_7, 2), (p_8, 0)$.

Dominance counting

- Divide: Find a vertical line l such that P has $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ points on each side of the line. (k-selection, $O(n)$ time).




Dominance counting

□ Divide:

- P_1 = the set of points of P on the left of l .
- P_2 = the set of points of P on the right of l .

Example:



$$P_1 = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$$


$$P_2 = \{p_5, p_6, p_7, p_8\}.$$

Dominance counting

□ Divide:

- Solve the dominance counting problem on P_1 and P_2 separately.

Example:



On P_1 , we have obtained:
 $(p_1, 0), (p_2, 1), (p_3, 0), (p_4, 2)$.


On P_2 , we have obtained:
 $(p_5, 0), (p_6, 1), (p_7, 0), (p_8, 0)$.

Dominance counting

□ Divide:

- Remains to obtain, for each point $p \in P_2$, how many points in P_1 it dominates.

Example:



On P_1 , we have obtained:
 $(p_1, 0), (p_2, 1), (p_3, 0), (p_4, 2)$.


On P_2 , we have obtained:
 $(p_5, 0), (p_6, 1), (p_7, 0), (p_8, 0)$.

Dominance counting

□ Sort P_1 by y-coordinate

- Then, for each point $p \in P_2$, we can obtain the number of points in P_1 dominated by p using binary search.

Example:




P_1 in ascending of y-coordinate:
 p_3, p_1, p_4, p_2 .

How to perform binary search to obtain the fact that p_5 dominates 2 points in P_1 ?

- Search using the y-coordinate of p_5 .

Dominance counting: a faster algorithm

- Scan the point from P_1 by y-coordinate in ascending order, and conduct the same operation from P_2 synchronously.
- Then, for each point $p \in P_2$, we can obtain the number of points in P_1 dominated by p using merging the following two sorted arrays, based on y-coordinates.
- $P_1 = (p_3, p_1, p_4, p_2)$
- $P_2 = (p_8, p_7, p_5, p_6)$




Dominance counting

□ Scan the points from P_1 by y-coordinate in ascending order. Do the same on P_2 .

● $P_1 = (p_3, p_1, p_4, p_2)$

● $P_2 = (p_8, p_7, p_5, p_6)$



Dominance counting

□ $P_1 = (p_3, p_1, p_4, p_2)$

□ $P_2 = (p_8, p_7, p_5, p_6)$

□ $\bar{P} = ()$

- All the points will be stored in this array in ascending order of y-coordinate.
- To be produced by merging P_1 and P_2 .


Dominance counting

□ $P_1 = (p_3, p_1, p_4, p_2)$

□ $P_2 = (p_8, p_7, p_5, p_6)$

□ State

● $\bar{P} = ()$



Dominance counting


□ $P_1 = (p_3, p_1, p_4, p_2)$

□ $P_2 = (p_8, p_7, p_5, p_6)$

□ State

● $\bar{P} = (p_8)$

● p_8 dominates 0 point in P_1 .




Dominance counting

□ $P_1 = (p_3, p_1, p_4, p_2)$

□ $P_2 = (p_8, p_7, p_5, p_6)$

□ State

● $\bar{P} = (p_8, p_3)$




Dominance counting

□ $P_1 = (p_3, p_1, p_4, p_2)$

□ $P_2 = (p_8, p_7, p_5, p_6)$

□ State

● $\bar{P} = (p_8, p_3, p_1)$



Dominance counting


□ $P_1 = (p_3, p_1, p_4, p_2)$

□ $P_2 = (p_8, p_7, p_5, p_6)$

□ State

● $\bar{P} = (p_8, p_3, p_1, p_7)$

● p_7 dominates 2 point in P_2



Dominance counting


□ $P_1 = (p_3, p_1, p_4, p_2)$

□ $P_2 = (p_8, p_7, p_5, p_6)$

□ State

● $\bar{P} = (p_8, p_3, p_1, p_7, p_5)$

● p_5 dominates 2 point in P_1




Dominance counting

□ $P_1 = (p_3, p_1, p_4, p_2)$

□ $P_2 = (p_8, p_7, p_5, p_6)$

□ State

● $\bar{P} = (p_8, p_3, p_1, p_7, p_5, p_4)$




Dominance counting

□ $P_1 = (p_3, p_1, p_4, p_2)$

□ $P_2 = (p_8, p_7, p_5, p_6)$

□ State

● $\bar{P} = (p_8, p_3, p_1, p_7, p_5, p_4, p_2)$



Dominance counting


□ $P_1 = (p_3, p_1, p_4, p_2)$

□ $P_2 = (p_8, p_7, p_5, p_6)$

□ State

● $\bar{P} = (p_8, p_3, p_1, p_7, p_5, p_4, p_2, p_6)$

● p_6 dominates 4 points in P_1 .



Dominance counting

□ $P_1 = (p_3, p_1, p_4, p_2)$.

□ $P_2 = (p_8, p_7, p_5, p_6)$.

□ $\bar{P} = (p_8, p_3, p_1, p_7, p_5, p_4, p_2, p_6)$.

□ Current time complexity: $O(n)$.

Dominance counting

□ Analysis

- Let $f(n)$ be the worst-case running time of the algorithm on n points.
- $f(n) \leq 2f(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + O(n)$,
- which solves to $f(n) = O(n \log n)$.