

# Greedy 1: Activity Selection (Picking a Maximum Number of Disjoint Intervals)

Yufei Tao

Department of Computer Science and Engineering  
Chinese University of Hong Kong

In this lecture, we will commence our discussion of the **greedy** technique. In fact, this technique enforces a very simple strategy: simply make the **locally optimal** decision at each step. It is important to note that this technique does **not** always give a **globally optimal** solution. There are, however, problems where it does. The nontrivial part of applying the technique is to prove (or disprove) the global optimality.

## Activity Selection

### Problem definition

**Input:** A set  $S$  of  $n$  intervals of the form  $[s, f]$  where  $s$  and  $f$  are integer values.

**Output:** A subset  $T$  of disjoint intervals in  $S$  with the largest size  $|T|$ .

**Remark:** You can think of  $[s, f]$  as the duration of an activity, and consider the problem as picking the largest number of activities that do not have time conflicts.

## Activity Selection

**Example:** Suppose

$$S = \{[1, 9], [3, 7], [6, 20], [12, 19], [15, 17], [18, 22], [21, 24]\}.$$

An optimal solution is  $T = \{[3, 7], [15, 17], [18, 22]\}$ .

Optimal solutions may not be unique; here is another one:

$$T = \{[1, 9], [12, 19], [21, 24]\}.$$

## Activity Selection

**Complication:** Once an interval is taken, those overlapping with it will have to be discarded. So one mistake may lead to a suboptimal solution.

It turns out that the following **greedy** strategy works: simply take the interval with the **earliest** finish time (i.e., smallest  $f$ -value) at each step.

### Algorithm

Repeat the following steps until  $S$  becomes empty:

- Add to  $T$  the interval  $I \in S$  with the smallest finish time.
- Remove from  $S$  all the intervals intersecting  $I$  (including  $I$  itself)

## Activity Selection

**Example:** Suppose  $S = \{[1, 9], [3, 7], [6, 20], [12, 19], [15, 17], [18, 22], [21, 24]\}$ .

Sort the intervals in  $S$  by finish time:  $S = \{[3, 7], [1, 9], [15, 17], [12, 19], [6, 20], [18, 22], [21, 24]\}$ .

We first add  $[3, 7]$  to  $T$ , after which intervals  $[3, 7]$ ,  $[1, 9]$  and  $[6, 20]$  are removed. Now  $S$  becomes  $S = \{[15, 17], [12, 19], [18, 22], [21, 24]\}$ . The next interval added to  $T$  is  $[15, 17]$ , which shrinks  $S$  further to  $S = \{[18, 22], [21, 24]\}$ . After  $[18, 22]$  is added to  $T$ ,  $S$  becomes empty and the algorithm terminates.

## Activity Selection

Now comes the nontrivial part: prove the algorithm is **correct**, namely, it indeed returns an optimal solution. We will do so by mathematical induction.

**Base Step:**  $n = 1$ .

That is,  $S$  has only one interval, in which case the output of the algorithm is obviously optimal.

**Inductive Step:** Assuming that the algorithm is correct for all  $n \leq k$ . We will prove that it is also correct for  $n = k + 1$ .

## Activity Selection

**Claim:** Let  $\mathcal{I} = [s, f]$  be the interval in  $S$  with the smallest finish time. There must be an optimal solution that contains  $\mathcal{I}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $T^*$  be an arbitrary optimal solution that does not contain  $\mathcal{I}$ . We will turn  $T^*$  into another optimal solution  $T$  that contains  $\mathcal{I}$ , and thereby finish the proof.

Let  $\mathcal{I}' = [s', f']$  be the interval in  $T^*$  with the **smallest** finish time. We construct  $T$  as follows: add all the intervals in  $T^*$  to  $T$  **except**  $\mathcal{I}'$ , and finally add  $\mathcal{I}$  to  $T$ .

We will prove that all the intervals in  $T$  are disjoint. This indicates that  $T$  is also an optimal solution, and hence, will complete the proof.



## Activity Selection

It suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{I}$  cannot intersect with any other interval  $\mathcal{J} \in T$ .

Suppose on the contrary that there is such a  $\mathcal{J} = [a, b]$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{I}'$ , we must have  $f' \leq b$ . Combining this and the fact that  $\mathcal{J}$  is disjoint with  $\mathcal{I}'$ , we assert that  $f' < a$ . On the other hand, by definition of  $\mathcal{I}$ , it must hold that  $f \leq f'$ . It thus follows that  $f < a$ . But this indicates that  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  are disjoint, giving a contradiction.



## Activity Selection

**Think 1:** Now that we know  $\mathcal{I}$  must be in an optimal solution, how do we proceed with the induction proof that the algorithm is correct for  $n = k + 1$ ? This will be left as a regular exercise (solution provided in full).

**Think 2:** How to implement the algorithm in  $O(n \log n)$  time? This will be left as another regular exercise (again, solution provided in full).