

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
MATH2230A (First term, 2015–2016)  
Complex Variables and Applications  
Notes 8 Legacy of Logarithm

## 8.1 Complex Powers

It is helpful to recall our previous knowledge of powers,  $x^p$  where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is easy to define  $x^n$  for a positive integer  $n$ , i.e., repeated multiplying  $x$  for  $n$  times. Then,  $x^{1/n}$  is defined to be “the” number that  $(x^{1/n})^n = x$ . This involves the existence of such number and so it is not defined for even  $n$  and  $x < 0$ . Nevertheless, for suitable  $x$ , we are able to define rational powers,  $x^{m/n}$ . When it comes to any powers, i.e.,  $x^p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , more issues arisen. The most logical way is to define  $x^p$  using logarithm, i.e.,  $x^p = e^{p \log x}$ . However,  $\log x$  has no meaning for  $x < 0$  while in many cases,  $x^p$  still makes sense for  $x < 0$ .

Recall that in the context of complex, logarithm is no longer a number, but a set,

$$\log z = \ln |z| + \mathbf{i} \arg(z).$$

Then the definition of powers will be further complicated. For a positive integer  $n$ , we wish that the new definition of  $\zeta^n$  is consistent with our intuition of repeated multiplication. When it comes to  $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\zeta^{p/q}$  becomes more complicated because there will be  $q$  values. The set  $\log \zeta$  may be indeed good for doing this. Let us first look at a fallacy.

**THEOREM 8.1 (False).** *There is no complex number.*

*Proof.* It only needs to prove that  $\mathbf{i}$  is indeed a real number.

$$\mathbf{i} = \sqrt{-1} = (-1)^{1/2} = (-1)^{2/4} = \sqrt[4]{(-1)^2} = \sqrt[4]{1} = \pm 1 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $a + \mathbf{i}b \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{R}$ . □

With this example, one may expect there will be more problems when  $\zeta^c$  with  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  or  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  is involved. The crucial point to make things correct is by always working on sets.

Let  $\zeta, c \in \mathbb{C}$ , we define  $\zeta^c \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{c \log \zeta}$ , which is a set of complex numbers. More precisely,

$$\zeta^c = \left\{ e^{c \ln |\zeta| + \mathbf{i}(c\theta)} : \theta \in \arg \zeta \right\}.$$

Note that if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\zeta = |\zeta| e^{\mathbf{i}\theta}$  for some particular  $\theta \in \arg \zeta$ , then the set  $n \mathbf{i} \arg(\zeta)$  contains  $n(\theta + 2k\pi \mathbf{i})$  and  $e^{\mathbf{i}n(\theta + 2k\pi)} = 1$ . Therefore, only a single value  $|\zeta|^n$  occurs in the set  $\zeta^n$ . Similarly, in finding  $\zeta^{1/n}$ , though there are infinitely many values in  $\mathbf{i} \arg(\zeta)/n$ , the set  $\zeta^{1/n}$  only contains  $n$  values, namely,

$$\zeta^{1/n} = \left\{ |\zeta|^{1/n} e^{\mathbf{i}(\theta/n + 2k\pi/n)} : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \right\}.$$

In general, the set  $\zeta^c$  is an infinite set. The choice of values in  $\log \zeta$  indeed comes down to a choice of values in  $\arg(\zeta)$ . One has to be consistent in making the choice. For example, in the calculation below

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^{a+ib} &= e^{(a+ib)\log \zeta} = e^{(a+ib)(\ln|\zeta|+i\arg(\zeta))} \\ &= e^{a\ln|\zeta|-b\arg(\zeta)} \cdot e^{i(b\ln|\zeta|+a\arg(\zeta))},\end{aligned}$$

one must pick the same value in the two places of  $\arg(\zeta)$  to have a value for the power.

### 8.1.1 Choices and Branches

Let us use the fallacy above to illustrate how complex powers must be handled carefully.

EXERCISE 8.2. Carefully work according to the definition to show that

$$(-1)^{1/2} = \{\mathbf{i}, -\mathbf{i}\} = (-1)^{2/4}, \quad (1)^{1/4} = \{1, \mathbf{i}, -1, -\mathbf{i}\}.$$

From the above exercise, you may see that  $\zeta^{p/q} \subset (\zeta^p)^{1/q}$  and equality holds only if  $p, q$  are relatively prime. When such trouble already exists for a rational power, one should see the need of working carefully when irrational or complex powers are involved; because in those cases, we are dealing with infinite sets.

The function notation  $z \in \Omega \mapsto z^c$  is indeed a set value function. First, it is defined only if  $0 \notin \Omega$ . Second, a continuous choice of the function comes from that of  $\log z$ , thus  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . For example, the *principal branch* of  $z^c$  is  $\exp(c \operatorname{Log} z)$  defined on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus H_{-\pi}$ , i.e., the nonpositive real axis  $(-\infty, 0]$  is removed.

Many well-known facts about powers and indices must be interpreted as sets. For example,

$$z^{c_1+c_2} = z^{c_1} \cdot z^{c_2} \quad \text{and} \quad (z_1 z_2)^c = z_1^c \cdot z_2^c$$

should somewhat be seen as consequences of  $\log(z_1 z_2) = \log(z_1) + \log(z_2)$ .

Finally, similar to the situation of  $\log z$ , if  $f(z)$  is a continuous branch of  $z^c$  on a domain  $\Omega$ , then  $f$  is automatically analytic on  $\Omega$ . For simplicity of notation, we often write

$$\frac{d}{dz}(z^c) = c z^{c-1},$$

which again should be interpreted as both sides of the equation are taking the same branch of  $\log z$ .

..... to be continued