

## Solution to Homework 3

### 5.1

3. (c) i. We want to solve  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$  for some  $\lambda$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} i - \lambda & 1 \\ 2 & -i - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Easily, one can get  $\lambda^2 - 1 = 0$ . So eigenvalues are  $-1$  and  $1$ .

- ii. For  $\lambda = -1$ , we want to solve  $Av = -v$  for some nonzero  $v \in \mathbb{C}^2$ .

$$Av = -v \Rightarrow (A + I)v = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 + i & 1 \\ 2 & 1 - i \end{pmatrix} v = 0$$

One possible choice of  $v$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 - i \end{pmatrix}$ . So  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 - i \end{pmatrix}$  is an eigenvector with respect to eigenvalue  $\lambda = -1$ .

Similarly, for  $\lambda = 1$ , we want to find a nonzero eigenvector.

$$Av = v \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 + i & 1 \\ 2 & -1 - i \end{pmatrix} v = 0$$

One can choose  $v$  to be  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 - i \end{pmatrix}$ . So  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 - i \end{pmatrix}$  is an eigenvector with respect to eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$ .

Obviously,  $\beta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 - i \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 - i \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{C}^2$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $A$ .

- iii. Let  $\gamma$  be the standard basis for  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . If we take  $Q = [I]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$ , which is invertible, then  $Q^{-1}AQ = [I]_{\gamma}^{\beta}[L_A]_{\gamma}[I]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = [L_A]_{\beta}$  will be a diagonal matrix as  $\beta$  are the eigenvectors of  $A$ .

Hence, we have

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 - i & 1 - i \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(d) i. Again we solve for  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ .

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \lambda & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & 1 - \lambda & -4 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$(1 - \lambda) \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \lambda & -1 \\ 2 & -1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

So we have  $(1 - \lambda)((2 - \lambda)(-1 - \lambda) + 2) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda(\lambda - 1)^2 = 0$ .  
So 0 and 1 are the eigenvalues of  $A$ .

ii. For  $\lambda = 0$ , we solve for some nonzero  $v$  as eigenvector.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & 1 & -4 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} v = 0$$

We choose  $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

For  $\lambda = 1$ , we solve for some nonzero  $v$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & 0 & -4 \\ 2 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} v = 0$$

Then  $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  are two nonzero solutions and linearly independent to each other.

Hence,  $\beta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $A$ .

iii. Let  $\gamma$  be the standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Similarly, we set

$$Q = [I]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

will be a diagonal matrix consisting of eigenvalues of  $A$  in corresponding order.

4. (e) Let  $\gamma = \{1, x, x^2\}$  be the standard basis for  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$[T]_\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 9 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, we solve  $\det([T]_\gamma - \lambda I) = 0$  for some  $\lambda$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 3 & 9 \\ 1 & 3 - \lambda & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

Easily, one can get

$$0 = (2 - \lambda)((1 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda) - 3) = \lambda(2 - \lambda)(\lambda - 4).$$

Then for each  $\lambda$ , we look for nonzero vectors  $v$  such that  $[T]_\gamma v = \lambda v$ .

For  $\lambda = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 9 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} v = 0.$$

One possible solution is  $v = \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . So we see that  $-3 + x$  is an

eigenvector of  $T$ .

For  $\lambda = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 & 9 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} v = 0.$$

One possible solution is  $v = \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ -13 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$ . So we see that  $-3 - 13x + 4x^2$

is another eigenvector of  $T$ .

For  $\lambda = 4$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} -3 & 3 & 9 \\ 1 & -1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} v = 0.$$

One possible solution is  $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . So we see that  $1 + x$  is another

eigenvector of  $T$ .

Hence  $\beta = \{-3 + x, -3 - 13x + 4x^2, 1 + x\}$  is a basis for  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ . So  $[T]_\beta$  is a diagonal matrix.

$$[T]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

(h) Note that we are solving  $Tv = \lambda v$  for some  $\lambda$  and  $\mathbf{0} \neq v \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & b \\ c & a \end{pmatrix} = T \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $\gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  be the standard basis for  $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then one can easily write down

$$[T]_{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, by solving  $\det([T]_{\gamma} - \lambda I) = 0$ ,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 - \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - \lambda & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

one could get  $\lambda$  to be  $-1$  or  $1$ .

For  $\lambda = -1$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & b \\ c & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & -b \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}.$$

So one possible solution is  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

For  $\lambda = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & b \\ c & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

So  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  are possible linearly independent solutions.

Together, we have a basis of eigenvectors of  $T$  for  $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\beta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Moreover, we have

$$[T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

8. (a) Note that zero is an eigenvalue of  $T$  if and only if there exists some nonzero vector  $v$  such that  $Tv = 0v = 0$ . This is equivalent to say that there exists some nonzero vector

$$v \in N(T - \lambda I) = N(T),$$

that is  $N(T) \neq \{0\}$ . So  $T$  is not invertible. In other words,  $T$  is invertible if and only if zero is not an eigenvalue of  $T$ .

- (b) Again  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue if and only if  $T(v) = \lambda v$  for some nonzero vector  $v$ . As  $T$  is invertible, from the above, we see  $\lambda \neq 0$ . So this means

$$\lambda v = \lambda^{-1} T^{-1}(T(v)) = T^{-1}v,$$

which means that  $\lambda^{-1}$  is an eigenvalue of  $T^{-1}$ .

- (c) i. First, we show that  $M$  is invertible if and only if  $\lambda = 0$  is not an eigenvalue. This is true because  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue if and only if there exists some nonzero vector  $v$  such that

$$Mv = \lambda v.$$

But  $\lambda$  is just zero, this means there is some nontrivial solution to the system

$$Mv = 0,$$

that is  $M$  is not invertible. In other words,  $M$  is invertible if and only if zero is not an eigenvalue of  $M$ .

- ii. Second, we prove that  $\lambda^{-1}$  is an eigenvalue of  $M^{-1}$ . As  $M$  is invertible, we have  $\lambda \neq 0$  by the above argument. Since there is some nonzero vector  $v$  such that  $Mv = \lambda v$ , hence we can multiply both sides by  $M^{-1}$  and  $\lambda^{-1}$ .

$$\lambda^{-1}v = \lambda^{-1}M^{-1}Mv = M^{-1}v$$

This is equivalent to say that  $\lambda^{-1}$  is an eigenvalue of  $M^{-1}$ .

12. (a) Suppose  $A$  is similar to  $B$ , there exists some invertible matrix  $P$  such that

$$A = P^{-1}BP$$

with  $\det(P) \neq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - \lambda I) &= \det(P^{-1}BP - \lambda I) \\ &= \det(P^{-1}BP - P^{-1}(\lambda I)P) \\ &= \det(P^{-1}(B - \lambda I)P) \\ &= \det(P)^{-1} \det(B - \lambda I) \det(P) \\ &= \det(B - \lambda I) \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Note the representations of a linear operator  $T$  are similar matrices. In other words  $[T]_\alpha$  is similar to  $[T]_\beta$  for any choices of bases  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . (This is true as for bases  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,  $[I]_\alpha^\beta$  is invertible and  $[I]_\beta^\alpha = ([I]_\alpha^\beta)^{-1}$ .)

Then, by the above part, we see that the characteristic polynomial is well-defined.

Hence, the characteristic polynomial is independent of the choice of basis for  $V$ .

18. (a) Note that if  $B$  is invertible, we can “factor”  $B$  out from  $A + cB$ . Then, by considering  $\det(A + cB)$ , we have

$$\det(A + cB) = \det(B) \det(B^{-1}A + cI),$$

which is a polynomial of  $x$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

By the fundamental theorem of algebra, there must be a root of the polynomial, say  $c$ , such that  $\det(B^{-1}A + cI) = 0$ .

Hence, there exists some scalar  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\det(A + cB) = 0$ , in other words  $A + cB$  is not invertible.

- (b) From the above, we see that if  $B$  is invertible, then  $A + cB$  will not be invertible for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . So we choose  $B$  to be some nonzero matrix which is not invertible.

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} i & j \\ k & l \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} i & j \\ k & l \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } A + cB = \begin{pmatrix} i+c & j+c \\ k & l \end{pmatrix}$$

are invertible for all  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . In other words, we need  $il \neq jk$  and  $(i+c)l \neq (j+c)k$ . One possible choice is to choose  $k = l \neq 0$ , then any  $i \neq j$  would give a feasible solution. So we may choose

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $A$  and  $A + cB$  would be invertible for all  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ .

20. By definition, we have  $\det(A - tI) = f(t)$ . So when  $t = 0$ , we get  $\det(A) = f(0) = a_0$ . In other words,  $A$  is invertible if and only if  $a_0 \neq 0$ .

21. (a) Let's prove main statement by induction.

For  $n = 2$ , we have  $f(t) = \det(A - tI) = (A_{11} - t)(A_{22} - t) - A_{12}A_{21}$ . As  $A_{12}A_{21}$ , a constant, is a polynomial of 0 degree, the statement is true for  $n = 2$ .

Assume the statement is true for  $n = k - 1$ . We prove the statement for  $n = k$ . First, expand the determinant along the first row.

$$\det(A - tI) = (A_{11} - t) \det(\tilde{A}_{11} - t\tilde{I}) + \sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^{1+j} A_{1j} \det(B_{1j})$$

(Here  $\tilde{I}$  is just  $I_{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$ .)

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} - t & A_{12} & A_{13} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} - t & A_{23} & \cdots & A_{2n} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} - t & \cdots & A_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{n1} & A_{n2} & A_{n3} & \cdots & A_{nn} - t \end{pmatrix}$$

We observe that the first columns of each  $B_{1j}$  is independent of  $t$ . So we can expand the determinant along the first row.

$$\det(B_{1j}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (B_{1j})_{ik} \det(\widetilde{(B_{1j})_{ik}})$$

Note that  $\widetilde{(B_{1j})_{ik}}$  is an  $(n-2) \times (n-2)$  matrix with at most one entry involving  $t$ ,  $\det(\widetilde{(B_{1j})_{ik}})$  is a polynomial in  $t$  of degree not greater than  $n-2$ <sup>†</sup>, so is  $\det(B_{1j})$ . So the second part of  $\det(A - tI)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $n-2$ .

The first part follows easily from the induction hypothesis. We have

$$\det(\tilde{A}_{11} - t\tilde{I}) = (A_{22} - t) \cdots (A_{nn} - t) + q(t),$$

where  $q(t)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $n-2$ .

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - tI) &= (A_{11} - t)(A_{22} - t) \cdots (A_{nn} - t) \\ &\quad + q(t) + \underbrace{\sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^{1+j} A_{1j} \det(B_{1j})}_{p(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p(t)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $n-2$ . The statement then follows by induction.

<sup>†</sup> We claim that if  $B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  is a matrix such that in each row, at most one entry involves variable  $t$ , then  $\det(B)$  is a polynomial in  $t$  of degree not greater than  $n$ .

When  $n = 1$ , this is obviously true.

Suppose the claim holds for  $n = k - 1$ . When  $n = k$ , we note that, by expanding the determinant along some row,

$$\det(B) = \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ij} \det(\tilde{B}_{ij})$$

for some  $i$ . It is easy to see that  $\tilde{B}_{ij}$  is a matrix with at most one entry involving  $t$  in each row. So, by induction hypothesis,  $\det(\tilde{B}_{ij})$  is a polynomial in  $t$  with degree not greater than  $n - 1$ .

As a result  $\det(B)$  is a polynomial in  $t$  with degree not greater than  $n$ . Hence, by induction, the claim is true.

(b) From the Exercise 20, we have

$$f(t) = (-1)^n t^n + a_{n-1} t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 t + a_0.$$

From the above part, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= (A_{11} - t)(A_{22} - t) \cdots (A_{nn} - t) + q(t) \\ &= (-1)^n t^n + (A_{11} + A_{22} + \cdots + A_{nn})(-1)^{n-1} t^{n-1} + r(t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $r(t)$  are terms of degree at most  $n - 2$ .

Hence, we see that

$$\operatorname{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ii} = (-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1}.$$

## 5.2

2. (e) First, we look at the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ .

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -\lambda & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

We then get

$$-\lambda^3 + \lambda^2 - \lambda + 1 = (1 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 + 1) = 0,$$

which does not split in  $\mathbb{R}$ . So we conclude that  $A$  is not diagonalizable.

3. (c) Let  $\gamma$  be the standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then one can easily write down

$$[T]_{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we see that the characteristic polynomial of  $T$ , which is the same as that of  $[T]_\gamma$ , does not split over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = (2 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 + 1) = 0$$

Hence,  $T$  is not diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

12. (a) Let  $E_\lambda$  denote the eigenspace of  $T$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  and  $F_{\lambda^{-1}}$  denote the eigenspace of  $T^{-1}$  corresponding to  $\lambda^{-1}$ .

Recall that for any eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $T$ ,  $\lambda^{-1}$  is an eigenvalue of  $T^{-1}$ . So for any  $v \in E_\lambda$ , it is an eigenvector of  $T$ . Then it is an eigenvector of  $T^{-1}$ , which means  $v \in F_{\lambda^{-1}}$ .

Similarly, one can show  $v \in F_{\lambda^{-1}}$  implies  $v \in E_\lambda$ .

Hence,  $E_\lambda = F_{\lambda^{-1}}$ .

- (b) If  $T$  is diagonalizable, then there exists a basis  $\beta$  for  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ . As  $T$  is invertible,  $\beta$  is also a basis for  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $T^{-1}$ .

Hence,  $T^{-1}$  is also diagonalizable.