

1. Definition.

Let A, B be sets.

- (a) A is said to be of **cardinality less than or equal to** B if there is an injective function from A to B .

We write $A \lesssim B$.

We may also write $B \gtrsim A$ and say B is of cardinality greater than or equal to A .

- (b) A is said to be of **cardinality less than** B if (there is an injective function from A to B and there is no bijective function from A to B).

We write $A < B$.

We may also write $B > A$ and say B is of cardinality greater than A .

Remark on further notations.

- We write $A \not\lesssim B$, or equivalently $B \not\gtrsim A$ exactly when it is not true that $A \lesssim B$,
- We write $A \not< B$, or equivalently $B \not> A$ exactly when it is not true that $A < B$.

2. Theorem (IX). (Basic properties of \lesssim .)

(0) Let A, B be sets. Suppose $A \sim B$. Then $A \lesssim B$.

(1) Let A, B be sets. $A < B$ iff ($A \lesssim B$ and $A \not\sim B$).

(2) Let A, B be sets. Suppose $A \subset B$. Then $A \lesssim B$.

(3) Let A be a set. $\emptyset \lesssim A$. If $A \lesssim \emptyset$ then $A = \emptyset$.

(4) Let A be a set. $A \lesssim A$.

(5) Let A, B, C be sets. Suppose $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim C$. Then $A \lesssim C$.

3. Simple example (1).

$$\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

$$\text{Then } \mathbb{N} \lesssim \mathbb{Z} \lesssim \mathbb{Q} \lesssim \mathbb{R} \lesssim \mathbb{C}.$$

4. Simple example (2).

$$\mathbb{Q} \lesssim \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Remark. Recall that $\mathbb{Z}^2 \sim \mathbb{N}^2 \sim \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathbb{Q} \lesssim \mathbb{N}$.

Justification for $\mathbb{Q} \lesssim \mathbb{Z}^2$:

- We take the statement (#) for granted:

(#) For any $r \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$, there exist some unique $p_r, q_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\gcd(p_r, q_r) = 1$
and $q_r > 0$ and $r = \frac{p_r}{q_r}$.

- Define the function $f : \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$ by

$$f(r) = \begin{cases} (p_r, q_r) & \text{if } r \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\} \\ (0, 1) & \text{if } r = 0. \end{cases}$$

f is injective. (Exercise.)

It follows that $\mathbb{Q} \lesssim \mathbb{Z}^2$.

- Justification for the statement (#)? Exercise.

(Refer to the Handout *Basic results on divisibility* and the Handout *Euclidean Algorithm*.)

5. Theorem (X). (Further basic properties of \lesssim .)

(1) Let $f : A \longrightarrow B$ be a function. The following statements hold:

(1a) If f is injective then $f(A) \sim A$.

(1b) $f(A) \lesssim A$.

(2) Let A, B be non-empty sets.

$A \lesssim B$ iff (there is a surjective function from B to A .)

(3) Let A, B, C, D be sets.

Suppose $A \lesssim C$ and $B \lesssim D$. Then $A \times B \lesssim C \times D$.

(4) Let A, B be sets.

Suppose $A \lesssim B$. Then $\mathfrak{P}(A) \lesssim \mathfrak{P}(B)$.

(5) Let A, B, C, D be non-empty sets.

Suppose $A \lesssim C$ and $B \lesssim D$. Then $\text{Map}(A, B) \lesssim \text{Map}(C, D)$.



Theorem (X). (Further basic properties of \lesssim .)

(1) Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a function. The following statements hold:



(1a) If f is injective then $f(A) \sim A$.

(1b) $f(A) \lesssim A$.

Pictures for (1a):




Pictures for (1b):



Define $g : f(A) \rightarrow A$

by $g(u) = x_u$ for each $u \in f(A)$ according to the choice above.



g is an injective function from $f(A)$ to A .
Hence $f(A) \lesssim A$.

6. Two seemingly obvious but non-trivial results about \lesssim .

Question.

Consider each of the statements below. Is it true? Or is it false?

(1) 'Let A, B be sets. Suppose $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. Then $A = B$.'

(2) 'Let A, B be sets. $A \lesssim B$ or $B \lesssim A$.

(Exactly one of ' $A < B$ ', ' $A = B$ ', ' $A > B$ ' holds.)'

Why are we bothered with such a question?

Recall that these statements are true:

- 'Let A be a set. $A \lesssim A$.'
- 'Let A, B, C be sets. Suppose $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim C$. Then $A \lesssim C$.'

It is natural to ask whether

\lesssim 'behaves like' a partial ordering or total ordering,

as the symbol ' \lesssim ' suggests.

Two seemingly obvious but non-trivial results about \lesssim .

Question.

Consider each of the statements below. Is it true? Or is it false?

(1) 'Let A, B be sets. Suppose $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. Then $A = B$.'

(2) 'Let A, B be sets. $A \lesssim B$ or $B \lesssim A$.

(Exactly one of ' $A < B$ ', ' $A = B$ ', ' $A > B$ ' holds.)'

Answer.

Statement (1) is false; counter-example?

Statement (1) is false in the sense 'because' it takes too much for a 'set equality' to hold. 'Relaxing' the 'conclusion part' in Statement (1), we do obtain an important true statement.

Theorem (XI). (Schröder-Bernstein Theorem.)

Let A, B be sets. Suppose $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. Then $A \sim B$.

Two seemingly obvious but non-trivial results about \lesssim .

Question.

Consider each of the statements below. Is it true? Or is it false?

(1) 'Let A, B be sets. Suppose $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. Then $A = B$.'

(2) 'Let A, B be sets. $A \lesssim B$ or $B \lesssim A$.

(Exactly one of ' $A < B$ ', ' $A = B$ ', ' $A > B$ ' holds.)'

Answer.

Statement (1) is false; counter-example? Statement (2) is true; proof?

Theorem (XI). (Schröder-Bernstein Theorem.)

Let A, B be sets. Suppose $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. Then $A \sim B$.

Statement (2) is true and is highly non-trivial; it is equivalent to the Axiom of Choice, under the other 'standard assumptions' of set theory.

Theorem (XII). (Law of Trichotomy.)

Let A, B be sets. $A \lesssim B$ or $B \lesssim A$. (Exactly one of ' $A < B$ ', ' $A \sim B$ ', ' $A > B$ ' holds.)

7. Axiom of Choice.

What is this so called Axiom of Choice? There are many (logically equivalent) formulations:

- **(AC1)** Let I, M be non-empty sets, and $\Phi : I \longrightarrow \mathfrak{P}(M)$ be a function.

Suppose $\Phi(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ for any $\alpha \in I$.

Then there exists a function $\varphi : I \longrightarrow M$ such that $\varphi(\alpha) \in \Phi(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in I$.

- **(AC2)** For any non-empty set A , there exists some function $\psi : \mathfrak{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \longrightarrow A$ such that $\psi(S) \in S$ for any $S \in \mathfrak{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.
- **(AC3)** The cartesian product of any non-empty family of non-empty sets is non-empty.

Remark.

In the context of the statement (AC1), it is the function φ through which we *choose to assign* each $\alpha \in I$ to the element $\varphi(\alpha)$ of the subset $\Phi(\alpha)$ of M .

In the context of the statement (AC2), the function ψ is called a **choice function**: it is through ψ that we *choose* for each non-empty subset of A an element of the subset concerned.

Why such functions exist in the first place is the problem: intuition suggests they *must* exist, but intuition cannot take the place of reason.

Axiom of Choice.

What is this so called Axiom of Choice? There are many (logically equivalent) formulations:

- **(AC1)** *Let I, M be non-empty sets, and $\Phi : I \longrightarrow \mathfrak{P}(M)$ be a function.
Suppose $\Phi(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ for any $\alpha \in I$.
Then there exists a function $\varphi : I \longrightarrow M$ such that $\varphi(\alpha) \in \Phi(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in I$.*
- **(AC2)** *For any non-empty set A , there exists some function $\psi : \mathfrak{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \longrightarrow A$ such that $\psi(S) \in S$ for any $S \in \mathfrak{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.*
- **(AC3)** *The cartesian product of any non-empty family of non-empty sets is non-empty.*

Further remark. Refer to Theorem (X).

- (a) We need the Axiom of Choice (the statement (AC1)) in the proof of (1b).
- (b) We also need the Axiom of Choice (the statement (AC1)) in the argument for ‘ \longleftarrow -part’ of (2).

Theorem (X). (Further basic properties of \lesssim .)


(1) Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a function. The following statements hold:

(1a) If f is injective then $f(A) \sim A$.


(1b) $f(A) \lesssim A$.

Question. Have we proved anything at all?

'Argument' for (1b):




Ask. Are we presuming the existence of something that we have to show to exist ???



Then there exists some $x_y \in A$ such that $f(x_y) = y$.

Define $g : f(A) \rightarrow A$.

by $g(u) = x_u$ for each $u \in f(A)$ according to the choice above.



g is an injective function from $f(A)$ to A .
Hence $f(A) \lesssim A$.

Theorem (X). (Further basic properties of \lesssim .)

(1) Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a function. The following statements hold:

(1a) If f is injective then $f(A) \sim A$.

(1b) $f(A) \lesssim A$.

Axiom of Choice.

Let I, M be non-empty sets, and $\Phi : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(M)$ be a function.



Suppose $\Phi(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ for any $\alpha \in I$.

Then there exists a function

$\varphi : I \rightarrow M$ such that


$\varphi(\alpha) \in \Phi(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in I$.

'Argument' for (1b): We have used the Axiom of Choice (without being aware of it) here.



Define $g : f(A) \rightarrow A$.

by $g(u) = x_u$ for each $u \in f(A)$ according to the choice above.



g is an injective function from $f(A)$ to A .
Hence $f(A) \lesssim A$.

Theorem (X). (Further basic properties of \lesssim .)

(1) Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a function. The following statements hold:

(1a) If f is injective then $f(A) \sim A$.

(1b) $f(A) \lesssim A$.

Suppose $A \neq \emptyset$.

Then:

$$I = f(A) \neq \emptyset.$$

$$M = A \neq \emptyset.$$

f defines the function

$$\Phi : f(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A) \text{ by}$$



$$\Phi(y) = f^{-1}(\{y\}) \text{ for any } y \in f(A).$$

For this function Φ ,
we have:

$$\Phi(y) \neq \emptyset \text{ for any } y \in f(A).$$


'Argument' for (1b):

For each $y \in f(A)$,
the set $f^{-1}(\{y\})$
is non-empty.



Define $g : f(A) \rightarrow A$.

by $g(u) = x_u$
for each $u \in f(A)$
according to the
choice above.



g is an injective function
from $f(A)$ to A .
Hence $f(A) \lesssim A$.

Then, the Axiom of Choice guarantees:

there exists some function $\varphi : f(A) \rightarrow A$ such that $\varphi(y) \in f^{-1}(\{y\})$ for any $y \in f(A)$.

This is what we label x_y in the picture.