

1. **Definitions.** (Arithmetic mean, geometric mean and harmonic mean.)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be n positive real numbers.

(a) The number

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n}$$

is called the **arithmetic mean** of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n .

(b) The number

$$\sqrt[n]{a_1 a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n}$$

is called the **geometric mean** of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n .

(c) The number

$$\left[\frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n} \right) \right]^{-1}$$

is called the **harmonic mean** of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n .

Remark. By definition, the harmonic mean of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n is the reciprocal of the arithmetic mean of the reciprocals of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n .

2. **Theorem (1).** (Arithmetico-geometrical Inequality.)

Let $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m be m positive real numbers.

The inequality

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m}{m} \geq \sqrt[m]{a_1 a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_m}$$

holds. Equality holds iff $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m$.

3. **Corollary (2).**

Let $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m be m positive real numbers.

The inequality

$$\left[\frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_m} \right) \right]^{-1} \leq \sqrt[m]{a_1 a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_m} \leq \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m}{m}$$

holds. Each equality holds iff $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m$.

4. **Lemma (3).** ('Special case' of Theorem (1): 'for two positive numbers'.)

Let u, v be positive real numbers.

The inequality $\frac{u+v}{2} \geq \sqrt{uv}$ holds. Equality holds iff $u = v$.

5. Illustration of the key idea in the proof of Theorem (1).

(a) We prove the statement (#) below, which is the 'inequality part' of the 'special case' of Theorem (1) 'for four positive numbers':

(#) Let a, b, c, d be positive real numbers. $\frac{a+b+c+d}{4} \geq \sqrt[4]{abcd}$.

Proof of the statement (#).

Let a, b, c, d be positive real numbers.

$\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b}, \sqrt{ab}$ are well-defined, and $a = (\sqrt{a})^2$, $b = (\sqrt{b})^2$, $\sqrt{ab} = \sqrt{a} \cdot \sqrt{b}$.

Then $a+b = (\sqrt{a})^2 + (\sqrt{b})^2 \geq 2\sqrt{a} \cdot \sqrt{b} = 2\sqrt{ab}$.

Therefore $\frac{a+b}{2} \geq \sqrt{ab}$.

Similarly, we have $\frac{c+d}{2} \geq \sqrt{cd}$.

Now, once again applying the same argument above, we have

$$\frac{a+b+c+d}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{c+d}{2} \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{ab} + \sqrt{cd}) \geq \sqrt{\sqrt{ab} \cdot \sqrt{cd}} = \sqrt[4]{abcd} \quad \square$$

This is actually the argument for the 'inequality part' of Lemma (3).

Illustration of the key idea in the proof of Theorem (1).

(a) We prove the statement (#) below:

(#) Let a, b, c, d be positive real numbers. $\frac{a+b+c+d}{4} \geq \sqrt[4]{abcd}$.

(b) With the help of the statement (#), we deduce the statement (b) below, which is the 'inequality part' of the 'special case' of Theorem (1) 'for three positive numbers':

(b) Let r, s, t be positive real numbers. $\frac{r+s+t}{3} \geq \sqrt[3]{rst}$.

Proof of the statement (b).

Let r, s, t be positive real numbers.

Define $u = \frac{r+s+t}{3}$. Note that u is also a positive real number.

By (#), we have $\frac{r+s+t+u}{4} \geq \sqrt[4]{rstu}$.

Note that $\frac{r+s+t+u}{4} = \frac{r+s+t+(r+s+t)/3}{4} = \frac{r+s+t}{3} = u$.

Then $u = \frac{r+s+t+u}{4} \geq \sqrt[4]{rstu} = \sqrt[4]{rst} \cdot \sqrt[4]{u}$.

Note that $\sqrt[4]{u} > 0$. Then $(\sqrt[4]{u})^3 = u / \sqrt[4]{u} \geq \sqrt[4]{rst}$.

Therefore $\frac{r+s+t}{3} = u = \left[(\sqrt[4]{u})^3 \right]^{\frac{4}{3}} \geq (\sqrt[4]{rst})^{\frac{4}{3}} = \sqrt[3]{rst}$. \square

6. Lemma (4). (Many 'special cases' of Theorem (1): 'for 2^n positive numbers'.)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2^n} be 2^n positive real numbers.

The inequality $\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{2^n}}{2^n} \geq \sqrt[2^n]{a_1 a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{2^n}}$ holds.

Equality holds iff $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{2^n}$.

Proof? Apply mathematical induction.

7. Lemma (5). ('Backward Induction' Lemma.)

Denote by $Q(m)$ the proposition below:

• Suppose a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m are positive real numbers.

Then $(a_1 a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_m)^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m}{m}$.

Equality holds iff $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m$.

Let $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose $Q(p+1)$ is true. Then $Q(p)$ is true.

Proof? Imitate the argument for deducing $Q(3)$ from $Q(4)$.

8. Lemma (6).

Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. There exists some $h \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $2^h < k \leq 2^{h+1}$.

Proof? Apply the Well-ordering Principle for Integers.

9. Proof of Theorem (1).

Denote by $Q(m)$ the proposition below:

- Suppose a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m are positive real numbers.

$$\text{Then } (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m)^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_m}{m}.$$

Equality holds iff $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_m$.

$Q(1)$ is (trivially) true. (Why?)

By Lemma(4), $Q(2^M)$ is true for any $M \in \mathbb{N}$.

Pick any $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. [Ask: Is $Q(k)$ true?]

By Lemma(6), there exists some $h \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^h < k \leq 2^{h+1}$.

By Lemma(5), since $Q(2^{h+1})$ is true, $Q(2^{h+1} - 1)$ is also true.

Then, repeatedly applying Lemma(5), we deduce in succession that $Q(2^{h+1} - 2)$ is true, $Q(2^{h+1} - 3)$ is true, ..., $Q(k+1)$ is true, and $Q(k)$ is true. [This is a repeated application of Modus Ponens.]

It follows that $Q(m)$ is true for any $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

10. ‘Backward induction’ method.

The argument above for the Arithmetico-geometrical Inequality is an example of ‘backward induction’.

Recall this convention on notation:

- Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\llbracket N, +\infty \rangle$ stands for the set $\{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \geq N\}$.

Theorem (7). (‘Principle of “Backward induction”’.)

Let $Q(n)$ be a predicate with variable n . Let $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of integers.

Suppose that all of (\dagger) , (\ddagger) , (\star) are true:

(\dagger) The statement $Q(A_0)$ is true.

(\ddagger) For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if the statement $Q(A_k)$ is true then the statement $Q(A_{k+1})$ is true.

(\star) For any $m \in \llbracket A_0, +\infty \rangle$, if the statement $Q(m)$ is true then the statement $Q(m-1)$ is true.

Then the statement $Q(n)$ is true for any $n \in \llbracket A_0, +\infty \rangle$.

Theorem (8). (Set-theoretic formulation of ‘Principle of “Backward induction”’.)

Let T be a subset of $\llbracket A_0, +\infty \rrbracket$. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of integers.

Suppose that all of (\dagger) , (\ddagger) , (\star) are true:

(\dagger) $A_0 \in T$.

(\ddagger) For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if $A_k \in T$ then $A_{k+1} \in T$.

(\star) For any $m \in \llbracket A_0, +\infty \rrbracket$, if $m \in T$ then $m - 1 \in T$.

Then $T = \llbracket A_0, +\infty \rrbracket$.

The proofs of Theorem (7), Theorem (8) are left as exercises.

As statements, Theorem (7) and Theorem (8) are logically equivalent.

Theorem (7) suggests a scheme in its application; write down the scheme as an exercise.

(A concrete example on how the scheme works is illustrated by the argument in Lemma (4) and Lemma (5).)