

MATH5011 Exercise 9

- (1) Optional. Let \mathfrak{M} be the collection of all sets E in the unit interval $[0, 1]$ such that either E or its complement is at most countable. Let μ be the counting measure on this σ -algebra \mathfrak{M} . If $g(x) = x$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, show that g is not \mathfrak{M} -measurable, although the mapping

$$f \mapsto \sum xf(x) = \int fg \, d\mu$$

makes sense for every $f \in L^1(\mu)$ and defines a bounded linear functional on $L^1(\mu)$. Thus $(L^1)^* \neq L^\infty$ in this situation.

Solution: g is not \mathfrak{M} -measurable because $g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right) \notin \mathfrak{M}$. The functional $\Lambda f = \sum xf(x)$ is clearly linear. To see that it is bounded, if $f \in L^1(\mu)$, then f is non-zero on an at most countable set $\{x_i\}$ and by integrability,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f(x_i)| < \infty.$$

Thus Λf is well defined as g is a bounded function. Hence the operator is bounded.

- (2) Optional. Let $L^\infty = L^\infty(m)$, where m is Lebesgue measure on $I = [0, 1]$. Show that there is a bounded linear functional $\Lambda \neq 0$ on L^∞ that is 0 on $C(I)$, and therefore there is no $g \in L^1(m)$ that satisfies $\Lambda f = \int_I fg \, dm$ for every $f \in L^\infty$. Thus $(L^\infty)^* \neq L^1$.

Solution: Method 1. For any $x \in I$ take $\Lambda_x f = g(x_+) - g(x_-)$ for all f such that $f = g$ a.e. for some function g such that the two one-sided limits $g(x_+)$ and $g(x_-)$ both exist. Then $\|\Lambda_x - \Lambda_y\| \geq 1$ for $x \neq y$. With reference to the question, we can just take $x = 1/2$.

Method 2. Consider $\chi_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]} \in L^\infty \setminus C(I)$, as $C(I)$ is closed subspace in L^∞ ,

by consequence of Hahn-Banach Theorem (thm 3.11 in p.38 of lecture notes on functional analysis.), there is non-zero bounded linear functional Λ on L^∞ which is zero on $C(I)$.

If there is $g \in L^1(m)$ that satisfies $\Lambda f = \int_I f g dm$ for every $f \in L^\infty$,

$$\Lambda f = \int_I f g dm = 0, \forall f \in C(I) \Rightarrow g = 0.$$

we have $\Lambda = 0$ which is impossible.

(3) Prove Brezis-Lieb lemma for $0 < p \leq 1$.

Hint: Use $|a + b|^p \leq |a|^p + |b|^p$ in this range.

Solution: Taking $g_n = f_n - f$ as a and f as b ,

$$| |f + g_n|^p - |g_n|^p | \leq |f|^p,$$

or,

$$- |f|^p \leq |f + g_n|^p - |g_n|^p \leq |f|^p.$$

we have

$$-2 |f|^p \leq |f + g_n|^p - |g_n|^p - |f|^p \leq 0$$

which implies

$$| |f + g_n|^p - |g_n|^p - |f|^p | \leq 2 |f|^p,$$

and result follows from Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.

(4) Let $f_n, f \in L^p(\mu)$, $0 < p < \infty$, $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e., $\|f_n\|_p \rightarrow \|f\|_p$. Show that $\|f_n - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$.

Solution: Using the Brezis-Lieb lemma for $0 < p < \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - f\|_p^p &= \int_X |f_n - f|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \int_X (|f_n - f|^p - (|f_n|^p - |f|^p)) d\mu + \int_X (|f_n|^p - |f|^p) d\mu \\ &\leq \int_X \left| |f_n - f|^p - (|f_n|^p - |f|^p) \right| d\mu + \left(\|f_n\|_p^p - \|f\|_p^p \right) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

- (5) Suppose μ is a positive measure on X , $\mu(X) < \infty$, $f_n \in L^1(\mu)$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ a.e., and there exists $p > 1$ and $C < \infty$ such that $\int_X |f_n|^p d\mu < C$ for all n . Prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f - f_n| d\mu = 0.$$

Hint: $\{f_n\}$ is uniformly integrable.

Solution: By Vitali's convergence Theorem, it suffices to prove that $\{f_n\}$ is uniformly integrable. Let q be conjugate to p . By Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |f_n| d\mu &\leq \|f_n\|_p \{\mu(E)\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq C^{\frac{1}{p}} \{\mu(E)\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

for any measurable E . Now the result follows easily.

- (6) We have the following version of Vitali's convergence theorem. Let $\{f_n\} \subset L^p(\mu)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L^p -norm if and only if

- (i) $\{f_n\}$ converges to f in measure,
- (ii) $\{|f_n|^p\}$ is uniformly integrable, and

(iii) $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists$ measurable $E, \mu(E) < \infty$, such that $\int_{X \setminus E} |f_n|^p d\mu < \varepsilon, \forall n$.

I found this statement from PlanetMath. Prove or disprove it.

Solution: Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By (iii), there exists a set E of finite measure (WLOG assume positive measure) such that

$$\int_{\tilde{E}} |f_n|^p < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\{f_n\}$ converges to f in measure, there is a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ which converges to f pointwisely a.e.. By Fatou's Lemma,

$$\int_{\tilde{E}} |f|^p < \varepsilon.$$

By (ii), there exists $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $\mu(A) < \delta$,

$$\int_A |f_n|^p < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}};$$

WLOG, by choosing a smaller δ , we may assume further whenever $\mu(A) < \delta$

$$\int_A |f|^p < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

because there is a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ which converges to f pointwisely a.e. and we can apply Fatou's Lemma, By (i), there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$

$$\mu\{x \in E : |(f_n - f)(x)|^p \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu(E)}\} < \delta.$$

Now, for $n \geq N$, define $A_n = \{x \in E : |(f_n - f)(x)|^p \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu(E)}\}$ and $B_n = E \setminus A_n$,

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |f_n - f|^p &= \int_{\tilde{E}} |f_n - f|^p + \int_E |f_n - f|^p \\
&< 2^p \varepsilon + \int_{A_n} |f_n - f|^p + \int_{B_n} |f_n - f|^p \\
&< 2^p \varepsilon + \left(\int_{A_n} |f_n|^p + \int_{A_n} |f|^p \right)^p + \varepsilon \\
&< 2^p \varepsilon + 2^p \varepsilon + \varepsilon = (2^{p+1} + 1)\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

- (7) Let $\{x_n\}$ be bounded in some normed space X . Suppose for Y dense in X' , $\Lambda x_n \rightarrow \Lambda x, \forall \Lambda \in Y$ for some x . Deduce that $x_n \rightarrow x$.

Solution: Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|x_n\| \leq M$. Write $M_1 = \max\{M, \|x\|\}$.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\Lambda \in X'$, choose $\Lambda_1 \in Y$ such that $\|\Lambda - \Lambda_1\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3M_1}$ and choose N large such that $|\Lambda x_n - \Lambda x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Lambda x_n - \Lambda x| &= |\Lambda x_n - \Lambda_1 x_n| + |\Lambda_1 x_n - \Lambda_1 x| + |\Lambda_1 x - \Lambda x| \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3M_1} M + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3M_1} \|x\| \\
&< \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

- (8) Consider $f_n(x) = n^{1/p} \chi(nx)$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$. Then $f_n \rightarrow 0$ for $p > 1$ but not for $p = 1$. Here $\chi = \chi_{[0,1]}$.

Solution: For $1 < p < \infty$, let q be the conjugate exponent and let $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$.

By Hölder's inequality and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n g \, dx &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} n^{1/p} g(x) \, dx \\
 &\leq \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} (n^{1/p})^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} |g(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{[0, \frac{1}{n}]} |g(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &\rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $f_n \rightarrow 0$.

For $p = 1$, take $g \equiv 1$ in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n g \, dx = n \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} dx = 1.$$

Hence, $f_n \not\rightarrow 0$.

- (9) Let $\{f_n\}$ be bounded in $L^p(\mu)$, $1 < p < \infty$. Prove that if $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e., then $f_n \rightarrow f$. Is this result still true when $p = 1$?

Solution: It suffices to show that for any $g \in L^q(\mu)$,

$$\int (f_n - f)g \, d\mu \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Prop 4.14 the density theorem, we may consider the case where g is a simple function with finite support. Let E be a finite measure set such that $g = 0$ outside E and $M > 0$ be bound of g . By the solution to Problem 5, $\{f_n, f\}$ is uniformly integrable, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$, s.t. for any A measurable s.t $\mu(A) < \delta$,

$$\int_A |h| \, d\mu < \varepsilon, h = f_n \text{ or } f.$$

By Egorov's Theorem, there is a measurable B s.t $\mu(E \setminus B) < \delta$ and f_n

converges uniformly to f on B . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int (f_n - f)gd\mu \right| &= \left| \int_E (f_n - f)gd\mu \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{E \setminus B} (f_n - f)gd\mu \right| + \left| \int_B (f_n - f)gd\mu \right| \\
&< 2M\varepsilon + \left| \int_B (f_n - f)gd\mu \right| \\
&< (2M + 1)\varepsilon, \text{ for large } n.
\end{aligned}$$

For $p=1$, the result is false by Problem 8.

(10) Provide a proof of Proposition 5.3.

Solution:

(a) Let $E = \overset{\circ}{\bigcup} E_j \in \mathfrak{M}$. If λ is concentrated on A , then $\lambda(E_j) = \lambda(E_j \cap A)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}
|\lambda|(E) &= \sup\left\{ \sum |\lambda(E_j)| : E = \overset{\circ}{\bigcup} E_j, E_j \in \mathfrak{M} \right\} \\
&= \sup\left\{ \sum |\lambda(E_j \cap A)| : E \cap A = \overset{\circ}{\bigcup} (E_j \cap A), E_j \in \mathfrak{M} \right\} \\
&= |\lambda|(E \cap A).
\end{aligned}$$

(b) If $\lambda_1 \perp \lambda_2$, then λ_j is concentrated on some A_j ($j = 1, 2$) with $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$. By part (a), $|\lambda_j|$ is concentrated on A_j . Therefore, $|\lambda_1| \perp |\lambda_2|$.

(c) Suppose μ is concentrated on A . If $\lambda_1 \perp \mu$ and $\lambda_2 \perp \mu$, then $\lambda_1(A) = \lambda_2(A) = 0$, which implies $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(A) = 0$. Hence, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \perp \mu$.

(d) Suppose $\mu(E) = 0$. If $\lambda_1 \ll \mu$ and $\lambda_2 \ll \mu$, then $\lambda_1(E) = \lambda_2(E) = 0$, which implies $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(E) = 0$. Hence, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \ll \mu$.

(e) Let $E = \overset{\circ}{\bigcup} E_j$ and suppose $\mu(E) = 0$. Then $E_j \subset E$ implies $\mu(E_j) = 0$. If $\lambda \ll \mu$, then $\lambda(E_j) = 0$. Therefore, $\sum |\lambda(E_j)| = 0$ and it follows that $|\lambda|(E) = 0$.

(f) Suppose λ_2 is concentrated on A . If $\lambda_2 \perp \mu$, then $\mu(A) = 0$, which implies $\lambda_1(A) = 0$ by $\lambda_1 \ll \mu$. Hence, $\lambda_1 \perp \lambda_2$.

(g) By part (f), $\lambda \perp \lambda$. This is impossible unless $\lambda = 0$.

(11) Show that $M(X)$, the space of all signed measures defined on (X, \mathfrak{M}) , forms a Banach space under the norm $\|\mu\| = |\mu|(X)$.

Solution: It is clear that the $M(X)$ is a normed vector space if the norm is defined as in the question.

Recall the fact that a normed vector space is a Banach space if and only if every absolutely summable sequence is summable. Let $\{\mu_k\}$ be an absolutely summable sequence. Let E be a measurable set. We immediately have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu_k(E)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu_k|(E) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu_k|(X) < \infty,$$

hence $\sum \mu_k(E)$ converges absolutely. $\forall E \in \mathfrak{M}$, put

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k(E)$$

which exists as a real number by the above argument. We will prove the countable additivity. Let E_n be a sequence of pairwise disjoint measurable sets. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigcup E_n\right) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k\left(\bigcup E_n\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_k(E_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k(E_n) \text{ (by absolute convergence)} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n). \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that μ is a signed measure. To show that μ_n converges to μ in $\|\cdot\|$, let X_n be a partition of X .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \left(\mu - \sum_{k=1}^m \mu_k \right) (X_n) \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \mu_k (X_n) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu_k (X_n)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |\mu_k|(X) = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \|\mu_k\| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that $\left\| \sum \mu_k - \mu \right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

- (12) Let \mathcal{L}^1 be the Lebesgue measure on $(0, 1)$ and μ the counting measure on $(0, 1)$. Show that $\mathcal{L}^1 \ll \mu$ but there is no $h \in L^1(\mu)$ such that $d\mathcal{L}^1 = h d\mu$. Why?

Solution: If $\mu(E) = 0$, then $E = \phi$, which implies $\mathcal{L}^1(E) = 0$. Hence, $\mathcal{L}^1 \ll \mu$.

Suppose on the contrary, that $\exists h \in L^1(\mu)$ such that $d\mathcal{L}^1 = \int h d\mu$. Since $h \in L^1(\mu)$, $h = 0$ except on a countable set. It follows that $\mathcal{L}^1(\{h = 0\}) = 1$. However,

$$\mathcal{L}^1(\{h = 0\}) = \int_{\{h=0\}} h d\mu = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Radon-Nikodym theorem does not apply here because μ is not σ -finite.

- (13) Let μ be a measure and λ a signed measure on (X, \mathfrak{M}) . Show that $\lambda \ll \mu$ if and only if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $|\lambda(E)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $|\mu(E)| < \delta$, $\forall E \in \mathfrak{M}$.

Solution: (\Leftarrow) Suppose $\mu(E) = 0$. By the hypothesis, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $|\lambda(E)| < \varepsilon$. This implies $\lambda(E) = 0$, hence $\lambda \ll \mu$.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose on the contrary that $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists E \in \mathfrak{M}$ with

$\mu(E) < 2^{-n}$ such that $\lambda(E) < \varepsilon$. Put $E = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{k \geq n} E_k$. Then $\mu(E) = 0$ but

$$\lambda(E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \left(\bigcup_{k \geq n} E_k \right) \geq \varepsilon_0 > 0.$$

This contradicts the fact that $\lambda \ll \mu$.

- (14) Let μ be a σ -finite measure and λ a signed measure on (X, \mathfrak{M}) satisfying $\lambda \ll \mu$. Show that

$$\int f d\lambda = \int fh d\mu, \quad \forall f \in L^1(\lambda), fh \in L^1(\mu)$$

where $h = \frac{d\lambda}{d\mu} \in L^1(\mu)$.

Solution

Step 1. $f = \chi_E$ for some $E \in \mathfrak{M}$.

We have

$$\int_X \chi_E d\lambda = \lambda(E) = \int_E h d\mu = \int_X \chi_E h d\mu.$$

Step 2. f is a simple function.

This follows directly from Step 1.

Step 3. $f \geq 0$ is measurable.

Pick $0 \leq s_n \nearrow f$. Then $0 \leq s_n h \nearrow fh$ on $\{h \geq 0\}$ and $0 \leq -s_n h \nearrow$

$-fh$ on $\{h < 0\}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X f d\lambda &= \int_{h \geq 0} f d\lambda - \int_{h < 0} -f d\lambda \\
&= \sup_{0 \leq s \leq f} \int_{h \geq 0} s d\lambda - \sup_{0 \leq s \leq f} \int_{h < 0} -s d\lambda \\
&= \sup_{0 \leq s \leq f} \int_{h \geq 0} sh d\mu - \sup_{0 \leq s \leq f} \int_{h < 0} -sh d\mu \text{ (by Step 2)} \\
&= \int_{h \geq 0} fh_+ d\mu - \int_{h < 0} fh_- d\mu \\
&= \int_X f(h_+ - h_-) d\mu \\
&= \int_X fh d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 4. $f \in L^1(\lambda)$.

Writing $f = f_+ - f_-$, the result follows from Step 3.

- (15) Let μ, λ and ν be finite measures, $\mu \gg \lambda \gg \nu$. Show that $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} = \frac{d\nu d\lambda}{d\lambda d\mu}$, μ a.e.

Solution: By (14), we have for all measurable sets E ,

$$\nu(E) = \int_E \frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} d\lambda = \int_E \frac{d\nu d\lambda}{d\lambda d\mu} d\mu.$$

The result follows from the uniqueness of the Radon-Nikodym derivative.