

# Tutorial 9 31/10/2014

① An Ex. in last tutorial :

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_n(\theta) d\theta, \quad K_n(\theta) = \cos^2\theta k_1 + \sin^2\theta k_2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} k_1 \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^2\theta d\theta + \frac{1}{2\pi} k_2 \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2\theta d\theta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} k_1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\cos 2\theta + 1}{2} d\theta + \frac{1}{2\pi} k_2 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{-\cos 2\theta + 1}{2} d\theta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} k_1 \cdot \pi + \frac{1}{2\pi} k_2 (\pi) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} (k_1 + k_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

#

② Thm: A surface  $M$  consisting <sup>entirely</sup> of umbilic pts is contained in either a plane or a sphere.

( Recall umbilic pt :  $k_1 = k_2$  )

Pf: Umbilic at all pts  $\Rightarrow k_1(p) = k_2(p) \quad \forall p \in M$   
 (  $= k(p) =$  a  $C^\infty$  function on  $M$  )

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \nabla_{X_1} \mathcal{U} = -S(X_1) = -k X_1 \\ \nabla_{X_2} \mathcal{U} = -S(X_2) = -k X_2 \end{cases} \quad \forall p \in M$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \nabla_{X_2} \nabla_{X_1} \mathcal{U} = -(\nabla_{X_2} k) \cdot X_1 - k \nabla_{X_2} X_1 \\ \nabla_{X_1} \nabla_{X_2} \mathcal{U} = -(\nabla_{X_1} k) \cdot X_2 - k \nabla_{X_1} X_2 \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_{X_2} X_1 = X_{12} = \nabla_{X_1} X_2 \\ \nabla_{X_1} \nabla_{X_2} \psi = \nabla_{X_2} \nabla_{X_1} \psi \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\nabla_{X_2} k) \cdot X_1 = (\nabla_{X_1} k) \cdot X_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla_{X_2} k = \nabla_{X_1} k = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$   $k$  is a constant on  $M$ .

Case (a): If  $k = 0$ , then  $S_p \equiv 0 \quad \forall p \in M$ .

$\Rightarrow$   $M$  is contained in a plane.

Case (b): If  $k \neq 0$ , then consider

$$X + \frac{1}{k} \psi.$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} (X + \frac{1}{k} \psi) = X_i + \frac{1}{k} \nabla_{X_i} \psi$$

$$= X_i - \frac{1}{k} S(X_i)$$

$$= X_i - \frac{1}{k} k X_i$$

$$= 0. \quad \forall i=1,2$$

$$\Rightarrow X + \frac{1}{k} \psi = p_0$$

$\Rightarrow |X - p_0|^2 = \frac{1}{k^2} \Rightarrow M$  is contained in a sphere.

#

(3) Thm:  $M =$  cpt surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with constant Gauss curvature  $K$

$\Rightarrow M$  is a sphere with radius  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}$ .

Lemma:  $M =$  cpt surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$p \in M \text{ s.t. } k_1(p) = \max_M k_1 > k_2(p) = \min_M k_2$$

$\Rightarrow K(p) \leq 0$ .

We first use the above lemma to show the Thm.

By a thm in last tutorial,  $M$  cpt  $\Rightarrow \exists p_0 \in M$  s.t.  $K(p_0) >$

$\Rightarrow K$  is a positive constant.

$M$  is cpt  $\oplus K_1(p) \cdot K_2(p) \equiv K \quad \forall p \in M$ .

$$\Rightarrow \exists p \in M \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} k_1(p) = \max_M k_1 \\ k_2(p) = \min_M k_2 \end{cases}$$

If  $k_1(p) > k_2(p)$ , by the above lemma  $\Rightarrow K(p) \leq 0 \rightarrow \Leftarrow$ .

So  $k_1(p) = k_2(p) \Rightarrow M$  is ~~convex~~ umbilic at all pts.

$\Rightarrow M$  is contained in a sphere  $\oplus$  Cpt  $\Rightarrow$  Sphere

with radius  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}$  ( $= \frac{1}{k_1} = \frac{1}{k_2}$ )

#

Pf of the Lemma:

By continuity,  $k_1 > k_2$  in a neighborhood of  $p, \mathcal{N}'$ . Then

$\exists$  a coordinate patch at a smaller neighborhood of  $p, \mathcal{N}' \subsetneq \mathcal{N}_S$

$$\begin{cases} S(x_1) = k_1 x_1 \\ S(x_2) = k_2 x_2 \end{cases} \quad (\text{Ex: Why can we find such a neighborhood?})$$

Since  $k_1 > k_2 \Rightarrow \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = 0$  i.e.  $g_{12} = 0$  on  $\mathcal{N}'$ .

Then

$$\begin{cases} h_{11} = \langle S(x_1), x_1 \rangle = k_1 g_{11} \\ h_{12} = \langle S(x_1), x_2 \rangle = 0 \\ h_{22} = \langle S(x_2), x_2 \rangle = k_2 g_{22} \end{cases}$$

i.e.

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 g_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 g_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{N}'.$$

Recall the Codazzi equations:

$$\frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial u^k} + \sum_{s=1}^2 h_{ks} \Gamma_{ij}^s = \frac{\partial h_{sk}}{\partial u^i} + \sum_{s=1}^2 h_{js} \Gamma_{ik}^s \quad \forall i, j, k = 1, 2$$

$$\left( \Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} (g_{jL,i} + g_{iL,j} - g_{ij,L}) \right)$$

Take  $i=j=1, k=2$ , we have

$$h_{11,2} + h_{22} \Gamma_{11}^2 = h_{11} \Gamma_{12}^1$$

i.e.  $\frac{\partial(k_1 g_{11})}{\partial u^2} + k_2 g_{22} \Gamma_{11}^2 = k_1 g_{11} \Gamma_{12}^1$

$$g_{12} = 0 \Rightarrow P_{11}^2 = \frac{1}{2} g^{22} (-g_{11,2}) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{g_{22}} \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial u_2}$$

$$P_{12}^1 = \frac{1}{2} g^{11} \cdot \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial u_2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{g_{11}} \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial u_2}$$

$$\Rightarrow k_1 g_{11,2} + \frac{\partial k_1}{\partial u^2} \cdot g_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial u_2} k_2 + \frac{1}{2} k_1 \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial u_2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial k_1}{\partial u^2} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(k_2 - k_1)}{g_{11}} g_{11,2} \quad (1)$$

If we take  $i=j=2, k=1$  in the Codazzi equations, we have

$$\frac{\partial k_2}{\partial u^1} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(k_1 - k_2)}{g_{22}} g_{22,1} \quad (2)$$

Diff. (1) and (2) again:

$$\frac{\partial^2 k_1}{\partial (u^2)^2} = \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2g_{11}} \frac{\partial^2 g_{11}}{\partial (u^2)^2} + (\dots) \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial u^2} \quad (3)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 k_2}{\partial (u^1)^2} &= \frac{k_1 - k_2}{2g_{22}} \frac{\partial^2 g_{11}}{\partial (u^1)^2} + (\dots) \frac{\partial g_{22}}{\partial u^1} \end{aligned} \right. \quad (4)$$

Since  $k_1(p) = \max k_1$  and  $k_2(p) = \min k_2$  ( $p$  is an interior of  $\mathcal{R}'$ ),

We have  $\frac{\partial k_1}{\partial u^2}(p) = \frac{\partial k_2}{\partial u^1}(p) = 0,$

(\*)  $\frac{\partial^2 k_1}{\partial (u^2)^2}(p) \leq 0, \frac{\partial^2 k_2}{\partial (u^1)^2}(p) \geq 0.$

Put (\*) into (1) (2) (3) (4), we have at  $p$

$$\frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial u^2} = \frac{\partial g_{22}}{\partial u^1} = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

16

$$\frac{\partial^2 g_{11}}{\partial (u^2)^2}, \frac{\partial^2 g_{22}}{\partial (u^1)^2} \geq 0.$$

By a special formula ( $g_{12} \equiv 0$ ) of Gauss curvature,

$$\begin{aligned} K &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{g_{11}g_{22}}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u^2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{11}g_{22}}} \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial u^2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{11}g_{22}}} \frac{\partial g_{22}}{\partial u^1} \right) \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{g_{11}g_{22}}} \left( \dots \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial u^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{11}g_{22}}} \frac{\partial^2 g_{11}}{\partial (u^2)^2} + \dots \frac{\partial g_{22}}{\partial u^1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{11}g_{22}}} \frac{\partial^2 g_{22}}{\partial (u^1)^2} \right) \\ &\leq 0 \quad \text{at } p. \quad \# \end{aligned}$$

Ex: Let  $X(u, v) = (u, v, g(u) + h(v))$  be a minimal surface ( $H \equiv 0$ ). Show that

$$(1+h'^2)g'' + [1+g'^2]h'' = 0.$$

Try to find  $f(u, v)$  explicitly s.t.  $f(u, v) = g(u) + h(v)$ .