

MATH 3030 ALGEBRA I

Lecture 6

Note Title

11/8/2011

Ideals

Def An additive subgroup I of a ring R satisfying
 $aI \subseteq I$ and $Ib \subseteq I \quad \forall a, b \in R$
is called an ideal of R .

Rmk An ideal $I \subseteq R$ is in particular a subring of R .

Examples

- For any ring R , $\{0\} \subset R$ and $R \subset R$ are ideals.
An ideal $I \subsetneq R$ is called proper and
an ideal $\{0\} \subsetneq I \subset R$ is called nontrivial.
- $n\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is an ideal for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (and any ideal of \mathbb{Z} is of this form).

- For $R = \{ \text{functions } f: R \rightarrow R \}$,

$I_a := \{ f \in R \mid f(a) = 0 \} \subset R$ is an ideal;

$S := \{ \text{const. fcns } f: R \rightarrow R \} \subset R$ is a subring but NOT an ideal.

- Let R be a commutative ring, and $a \in R$. Then

$$\langle a \rangle := \{ ra \mid r \in R \}$$

is an ideal, called the principal ideal generated by a .

More generally, let $A \subset R$ be a nonempty subset. Then

$$\langle A \rangle := \{ r_1 a_1 + \dots + r_n a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, r_i \in R, a_i \in A \}$$

is the ideal generated by A .

Ideals are important because of the following:

Thm Let $I \subseteq R$ be a subring. Then the multiplication on cosets
 $(a+I)(b+I) = ab + I \quad (*)$
is well-defined iff I is an ideal.

Cor Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Then the additive cosets of I form a ring R/I , called the quotient ring (or factor ring) of R by I , with the operations

$$(a+I) + (b+I) = (a+b) + I$$

$$(a+I) \cdot (b+I) = ab + I$$

Prop Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Then $\pi: R \rightarrow R/I$ defined by

$$\pi(a) = a + I$$

is a homomorphism with $\text{Ker}(\pi) = I$.

Thm (1st Isom Thm) Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow R'$ be a homomorphism with kernel I .

Then

$$\bar{\varphi}: R/I \rightarrow \varphi(R)$$

$$a + I \mapsto \varphi(a)$$

is an isomorphism s.t. $\varphi = \bar{\varphi} \circ \pi$.

Examples • $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ as rings

• $R = \{\text{functions } f: R \rightarrow R\}$, $I_a = \{f \in R \mid f(a) = 0\}$ where $a \in R$.

Then $R/I_a \cong \mathbb{R}$

Cor Let F be a field.

(i) If $\text{char}(F) = 0$, then $\exists \iota: \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow F$.

(ii) If $\text{char}(F) = p$, then $\exists \iota: \mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow F$

Pf: Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned}\iota: \mathbb{Z} &\longrightarrow F \\ n &\longmapsto n \cdot 1\end{aligned}$$

This is a homomorphism, and

$$\text{Ker}(\iota) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{char}(F) = 0 \\ p\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \text{char}(F) = p \end{cases}$$

(i) In this case, ι induces $\iota: \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow F$ since \mathbb{Q} is field of quotients of \mathbb{Z} .

(ii) In this case, ι induces $\iota: \mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow F$. #

Rmk \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p are called prime fields.

Prime and Maximal ideals

|| Prop Let R be a ring with unity. If $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal containing a unit, then $I = R$.

Pf : Suppose that $u \in I$ is a unit. So $\exists u' \in R$ s.t. $u \cdot u' = 1 = u \cdot u'$.

Since I is an ideal, $1 = u \cdot u' \in I$. But then $r = r \cdot 1 \in I \quad \forall r \in R$. #

|| Cor A commutative ring with unity is a field iff it has no proper nontrivial ideals.

From now on, we restrict our attention to commutative rings with $1 \neq 0$.

Let R be such a ring.

|| Def A maximal ideal of R is a proper ideal $M \subseteq R$ s.t. \nexists a proper ideal $N \subseteq R$ s.t. $M \subsetneq N \subsetneq R$.

Lemma Let $\phi: R \rightarrow R'$ be a homomorphism. Then

(i) I is an ideal of $R \Rightarrow \phi(I)$ is an ideal of $\phi(R)$.

(ii) I' is an ideal of $R' \Rightarrow \phi^{-1}(I')$ is an ideal of R .

Pf: Q.22(a), (c) of §26. #

Thm Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Then

$M \subseteq R$ is a maximal ideal iff R/M is a field

Pf: (\Rightarrow): Suppose that $M \subseteq R$ is a maximal ideal.

Then R/M is a nontrivial commutative ring with unity.

Let $\bar{a} = a + M \in R/M$ s.t. $a \notin M$.

Consider the principal ideal

$$\langle \bar{a} \rangle = \{(r+M)(a+M) \mid r \in R\} \subseteq R/M.$$

By the Lemma (ii) above, $\bar{\pi}(\bar{a})$ is an ideal in R/M where
 $\pi: R \rightarrow R/M$ is the canonical map.

Note that $\bar{\pi}(\bar{a}) \supseteq M$. Hence $\bar{\pi}(\bar{a}) = R/M$.

In particular, $\pi(1) = 1 + M \in \bar{\pi}(\bar{a})$. So \bar{a} is a unit in R/M .

(\Leftarrow): Suppose that R/M is a field. If \exists a proper ideal

$$M \subsetneq N \subsetneq R$$

then $\pi(N)$ is an ideal of R/M by Lemma (i) above.

It is nontrivial since $M \neq N$ and

proper since $\pi(N) = R/M \Rightarrow 1 \in N \Rightarrow N = R$.

This contradicts the fact that a field doesn't contain any nontrivial proper ideals. #

Examples • $n\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is a maximal ideal iff $n=p$ is a prime.

• $I_a = \{f \in R \mid f(a) = 0\} \subseteq R = \{\text{functions } f: R \rightarrow R\}$

is a maximal ideal.

• For any field F , $\langle x \rangle \subseteq F[x]$ is a maximal ideal since the evaluation map $F[x] \rightarrow F$ induces an isomorphism $F[x]/\langle x \rangle \cong F$
 $f(x) \mapsto f(0)$

Similarly, $\langle x-a \rangle \subseteq F[x]$ is a maximal ideal for any $a \in F$.

More generally, given $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in F$,

$$\langle x_1 - a_1, x_2 - a_2, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle \subseteq F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

is a maximal ideal.

- Consider $\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x]$, and the map $\mathbb{R}[x] \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{C}$, $f(x) \mapsto f(i)$.
 φ is a ring homomorphism with $\ker \varphi = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[x] \mid f(i) = f(-i) = 0\}$
 $= \langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$

So φ induces an isom $\mathbb{R}[x]/\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}$. Hence $\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$ is a maximal ideal in $\mathbb{R}[x]$.

Def A proper ideal $I \subsetneq R$ of a commutative ring R is called a prime ideal if $ab \in I \Rightarrow a \in I$ or $b \in I$.

It follows from this definition that

Prop Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Then a proper ideal $I \subsetneq R$ is prime iff R/I is an integral domain.

Cor Every maximal ideal in a commutative ring with unity is a prime ideal.

- Examples
- In an integral domain D , $\{0\} \subseteq D$ is a prime ideal
 - In $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, $\langle x \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is prime but not maximal.
 - In \mathbb{Z} , we have $\langle n \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is prime
 - $\iff \langle n \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is maximal
 - $\iff n$ is a prime number

Ideals in $F[x]$

Def An integral domain D is called a principal ideal domain (PID) if every ideal in D is principal.

- Example
- \mathbb{Z} is a PID since any ideal in \mathbb{Z} is of the form $\langle n \rangle$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
 - Any field F is a PID since it has no proper nontrivial ideals.

|| Prop $F[x]$ is a PID.

Pf : Let $I \subset F[x]$ be a nontrivial ideal.

Let $g(x) \in I \setminus \{0\}$ be an elt with minimum +ve degree.

We claim that $I = \langle g(x) \rangle$.

To see this, let $f(x) \in I \setminus \{0\}$. By the division algorithm,

$$\exists q(x), r(x) \in F[x] \text{ s.t. } f(x) = q(x) \cdot g(x) + r(x)$$

where either $r(x) = 0 \in F[x]$ or $\deg r(x) < \deg g(x)$.

But $r(x) = f(x) - q(x) \cdot g(x) \in I$ as I is an ideal.

So we must have $r(x) = 0$, meaning that $f(x) \in \langle g(x) \rangle$.

This shows that $I \subseteq \langle g(x) \rangle$ and hence $I = \langle g(x) \rangle$. #

Prop Let $f(x) \in F[x]$ be a nonconstant polynomial. Then TFAE:

- ① $f(x)$ is irreducible over F . (Recall that this means $f(x)$ cannot be written as the product of two lower degree polynomials.)
- ② $\langle f(x) \rangle$ is maximal.
- ③ $\langle f(x) \rangle$ is prime

Pf : ① \Rightarrow ②: Suppose that $f(x)$ is irreducible over F .

Let I be an ideal of $F[x]$

$$\text{s.t. } \langle f(x) \rangle \subsetneq I \subset F[x]$$

By the above proposition, $I = \langle g(x) \rangle$ for some $g(x) \in F[x]$.

This implies that $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ for some $h(x) \in F[x]$.

But $f(x)$ is irreducible over F and $\langle f(x) \rangle \neq I$.

So $g(x)$ must be a nonzero constant and thus $I = F[x]$.

Hence $\langle f(x) \rangle$ is maximal.

② \Rightarrow ③ : By previous results.

③ \Rightarrow ① : Suppose that $\langle f(x) \rangle$ is prime.

Let $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ with $\deg g, \deg h < \deg f$.

Now $g \cdot h \in \langle f \rangle \Rightarrow$ either $g \in \langle f \rangle$ or $h \in \langle f \rangle$.

WLOG, assume $g \in \langle f \rangle$.

This implies that $g = f \cdot u$ for some $u \in F[x]$.

But then we have $\deg g \geq \deg f$, which is a contradiction. #

- e.g. • $x^2 - 2$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q}
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^2 - 2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] := \{a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$
is a field.
- $x^2 + x + 1$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z}_2
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2[x]/\langle x^2 + x + 1 \rangle$ is a field (of order $2^2 = 4$).