## MATH2050A HW1 Solution

1. Show that  $\sup\{1 - 1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = 1$ .

**Solution:** Since 1 > 1 - 1/n for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , 1 is an upper bound of the set. Moreover, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , by Archimedean Property, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $N \ge 1/\epsilon$ . Then,  $1 - 1/N > 1 - \epsilon$  and therefore  $1 - \epsilon$  is not an upper bound of the set for each  $\epsilon > 0$ . We conclude that 1 is the supremum of the set  $\{1 - 1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

8. Let X be a nonempty set, and let f and g be defined on X and have bounded ranges in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that

$$\sup\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\} \le \sup\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) : x \in X\}$$

and that

$$\inf\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \inf\{g(x) : x \in X\} \le \inf\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\}.$$

Give examples to show that each of these inequalities can be either equalities or strict inequalities.

**Solution:** Since both f and g are bounded, their infimum and supremum exists in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $s_f$ ,  $s_g$ ,  $i_f$ ,  $i_g \in \mathbb{R}$  be  $\sup\{f(x) : x \in X\}$ ,  $\sup\{g(x) : x \in X\}$ ,  $\inf\{f(x) : x \in X\}$ and  $\inf\{g(x) : x \in X\}$  respectively. By definition of infimum and supremum, we have  $i_f \leq f(x) \leq s_f$  and  $i_g \leq g(x) \leq s_g$  for all  $x \in X$ . Therefore,  $i_f + i_g \leq f(x) +$  $g(x) \leq s_f + i_f$  all  $x \in X$ . So  $i_f + i_g \in \mathbb{R}$  is a lower bound of  $\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\}$ and  $s_f + s_g \in \mathbb{R}$  is an upper bound of  $\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\}$ . So we have the two desired inequalities.

Let f(x) = 0 for every  $x \in X$  be the zero function and g be any bounded function defined on X. Since f(x) + g(x) = g(x) and  $\sup\{f(x) : x \in X\} = \inf\{f(x) : x \in X\} = 0$ , both inequalities are equalities.

Let g be any bounded function defined on X s.t.  $g(x_0) = 0$  for some  $x_0 \in X$ and  $g(x_1) = 1$  for some  $x_1 \in X$ . Let f(x) = -g(x). Then f(x) + g(x) = 0 and  $\sup\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\} = \inf\{f(x) + g(x) : x \in X\} = 0$ . However,  $f(x_0) = 0$  and  $g(x_1) = 1$ ,  $\sup\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \sup\{g(x) : x \in X\} \ge 0 + 1 > 0$ . Also,  $f(x_1) = -1$ and g(x) = 0,  $\inf\{f(x) : x \in X\} + \inf\{g(x) : x \in X\} \le 0 + (-1) < 0$ .

- 9. Let  $X = Y := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x < 1\}$ . Define  $h : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$  by h(x, y) := 2x + y.
  - (a) For each  $x \in X$ , find  $f(x) := \sup\{h(x, y) : y \in Y\}$ ; then find  $\inf\{f(x) : x \in X\}$ .
  - (b) For each  $y \in Y$ , find  $g(y) := \inf\{h(x, y) : x \in X\}$ ; then find  $\sup\{g(y) : y \in Y\}$ . Compare with the result found in part (a).

## Solution:

- (a) By Example 2.4.1(a) and exercise 4,  $f(x) = \sup\{2x + y : y \in Y\} = 2x + \sup\{y : y \in Y\}$ . So f(x) = 2x+1. Then  $\inf\{2x+1 : x \in X\} = 1+2\inf\{x : x \in X\} = 1$ .
- (b) Similarly,  $g(y) = \inf\{2x + y : x \in X\} = 2\inf\{x : x \in X\} + y = y$ . Then  $\sup\{y : y \in Y\} = 1$ . The result is the same as part (a).

10. Perform the computations in (a) and (b) of the preceding exercise for the function  $h: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$h(x,y) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < y, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \ge y. \end{cases}$$

## Solution:

- (a) For any x, h(x, x) = 1. So f(x) = 1 and thus  $\inf\{f(x) : x \in X\} = 1$ .
- (b) For any  $y \in Y$ , h(y/2, y) = 0. So g(y) = 0 and thus  $\sup\{g(y) : y \in Y\} = 0$ . The result is smaller than that in part (a).
- 11. Let X and Y be nonempty sets and let  $h: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$  have bounded range in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$f(x) := \sup\{h(x, y), y \in Y\}, \quad g(y) := \inf\{h(x, y) : x \in X\}.$$

Prove that

$$\sup\{g(y): y \in Y\} \le \inf\{f(x): x \in X\}$$

We sometimes express this by writing

$$\sup_{y} \inf_{x} h(x, y) \le \inf_{x} \sup_{y} h(x, y)$$

Note that Exercises 9 and 10 show that the inequality may be either an equality or a strict inequality.

**Solution:** From example 2.4.1(b), it suffices to prove that g(y) < f(x) for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ . Let  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $y_0 \in Y$  be given, we have  $\inf_x h(x, y_0) \le h(x, y_0)$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $\sup_y h(x_0, y) \ge h(x_0, y)$  for every  $y \in Y$ . In particular,  $g(y_0) = \inf_x h(x, y_0) \le h(x_0, y_0) \le \sup_y h(x_0, y) = f(x_0)$ .