

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG  
Department of Mathematics  
**MATH 2050B Mathematical Analysis I**  
**Tutorial 5 (October 10)**

The following were discussed in the tutorial this week:

(I am sorry that I made some mistakes in 2 and 3 in the tutorials. Please check the remarks below.)

1. Let  $(a_n)$  be a bounded sequence of real numbers. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$b_n = \sup_{k \geq n} a_k = \sup\{a_k : k \geq n\}, \quad c_n = \inf_{k \geq n} a_k = \inf\{a_k : k \geq n\}.$$

- (a) Show that  $(b_n)$  and  $(c_n)$  are monotone, and hence convergent. Moreover,

$$\lim_n b_n = \inf_n b_n, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_n c_n = \sup_n c_n.$$

- (b) The limits  $\lim_n b_n$  and  $\lim_n c_n$  are called the **limit superior** and **limit inferior** of  $(a_n)$ , respectively. That is,

$$\overline{\lim}_n a_n := \lim_n b_n = \inf_{n \geq 1} \left( \sup_{k \geq n} a_k \right), \quad \underline{\lim}_n a_n := \lim_n c_n = \sup_{n \geq 1} \left( \inf_{k \geq n} a_k \right).$$

Show that  $\underline{\lim}_n a_n \leq \overline{\lim}_n a_n$ .

- (c) Show that  $(a_n)$  converges to  $\ell$  if and only if  $\overline{\lim}_n a_n = \underline{\lim}_n a_n = \ell$ .

**Remark:** If  $(a_n)$  is unbounded above (below), define  $\overline{\lim}_n a_n = +\infty$  ( $\underline{\lim}_n a_n = -\infty$ ).

2. Let  $(a_n)$  be a bounded sequence of real numbers. Show that

- (a) (i) if  $\overline{\lim}_n a_n < \alpha$ , then there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_n < \alpha$  for all  $n \geq N$ .  
(ii) if  $\overline{\lim}_n a_n > \alpha$ , then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $k \geq n$  such that  $a_k > \alpha$ .
- (b) (i) if  $\underline{\lim}_n a_n > \beta$ , then there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_n > \beta$  for all  $n \geq N$ .  
(ii) if  $\underline{\lim}_n a_n < \beta$ , then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $k \geq n$  such that  $a_k < \beta$ .

**Remark:** I am sorry that I made a mistake in tutorials claiming that the converse of the above statements were also true. This is not correct.

3. Let  $(a_n)$  be a bounded sequence of real numbers. Define

$$E := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \text{there is a subsequence } (a_{n_k}) \text{ of } (a_n) \text{ such that } a_{n_k} \rightarrow x\}.$$

Let  $\alpha = \overline{\lim}_n a_n$ . Show that  $\alpha \in E$  and  $\alpha = \sup E$ . An analogous result holds for limit inferior.

**Remark:** I am sorry that I made a mistake in the proof of  $\alpha \in E$  in the first tutorial. A correct proof is given below:

By 2(a)(ii), there is  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha - \frac{1}{2^1} < a_{n_1}$ .

By 2(a)(ii), there is  $n_2 \geq n_1 + 1$  such that  $\alpha - \frac{1}{2^2} < a_{n_2}$ .

By 2(a)(ii), there is  $n_3 \geq n_2 + 1$  such that  $\alpha - \frac{1}{2^3} < a_{n_3}$ .

Continue in this way, we find  $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$  such that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\alpha - \frac{1}{2^k} < a_{n_k} \leq b_{n_k} := \sup\{a_m : m \geq n_k\}.$$

Since  $\lim_k \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2^k}\right) = \lim_k b_{n_k} = \alpha$ , it follows from the squeeze theorem that  $\lim_k a_{n_k} = \alpha$ . Since  $(a_{n_k})$  is a subsequence of  $(a_n)$ , we have  $\alpha \in E$   $\square$ .

4. Let  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  be bounded sequences of real numbers. Show that

(a)  $\overline{\lim}_n (-x_n) = -\underline{\lim}_n x_n$ ;

(b) if  $x_n \leq y_n$  for all  $n$ , then  $\overline{\lim}_n x_n \leq \overline{\lim}_n y_n$  and  $\underline{\lim}_n x_n \leq \underline{\lim}_n y_n$ ;

(c)  $\underline{\lim}_n x_n + \underline{\lim}_n y_n \leq \underline{\lim}_n (x_n + y_n)$  and  $\overline{\lim}_n (x_n + y_n) \leq \overline{\lim}_n x_n + \overline{\lim}_n y_n$ .

5. Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of positive real numbers. Show that

$$\underline{\lim}_n \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \leq \underline{\lim}_n \sqrt[n]{x_n} \leq \overline{\lim}_n \sqrt[n]{x_n} \leq \overline{\lim}_n \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n}.$$

6. Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers. Show that

$$\underline{\lim}_n x_n \leq \underline{\lim}_n \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n} \leq \overline{\lim}_n \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n} \leq \overline{\lim}_n x_n.$$