

# MATH 3093 Fourier Analysis

## Tutorial 4 (February 24)

The following will be discussed in the tutorial this week:

1. Let  $D_N$  denote the Dirichlet kernel

$$D_N(\theta) = \sum_{k=-N}^N e^{ik\theta} = \frac{\sin((N + 1/2)\theta)}{\sin(\theta/2)},$$

and define

$$L_N = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_N(\theta)| d\theta.$$

- (a) Show that

$$L_N \geq c \log N$$

for some constant  $c > 0$ .

- (b) Show that, for each  $n \geq 1$ , there is a continuous function  $f_n$  on  $[-\pi, \pi]$  such that  $|f_n| \leq 1$  and  $|S_n(f_n)(0)| \geq c' \log n$ .

**Solution.** (a) Recall that  $|\sin x| \leq |x|$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$|D_N(\theta)| \geq \left| \frac{\sin((N + 1/2)\theta)}{\theta/2} \right|$$

For the integral  $L_N$ , we first substitute  $(N + 1/2)\theta = x$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} L_N &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{\sin((N + 1/2)\theta)}{\theta/2} \right| d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{|\sin((N + 1/2)\theta)|}{\theta/2} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{(N+1/2)\pi} \frac{|\sin x|}{\frac{x}{2(N+1/2)}} \frac{dx}{N + 1/2} \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{(N+1/2)\pi} \frac{|\sin x|}{x} dx \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{(k-1)\pi}^{k\pi} \frac{|\sin x|}{x} dx \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^{\pi} \frac{|\sin(k-1)\pi + y|}{(k-1)\pi + y} dy \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin y}{(k-1)\pi + y} dy \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sin y dy = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k} \end{aligned}$$

By the comparison  $\frac{1}{k} \geq \int_k^{k+1} \frac{1}{x} dx$ , we have  $L_N \geq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \log(N + 1)$ .

(b) For each  $n \geq 1$ , we let

$$g_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } D_n(x) \geq 0; \\ -1, & \text{if } D_n(x) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Notice that

$$S_n g_n(0) = g_n * D_n(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_n(x) D_n(-x) dx.$$

Since  $D_n(x)$  is an even function, we have

$$S_n g_n(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_n(x)| dx = L_n.$$

The only problem is that  $g_n$  is not a continuous function.

For the fixed number  $n$ , by Lemma 3.2 in Chapter 2, there is a sequence of continuous functions  $\{f_k\}$  on the circle  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , approximating  $g_n$  in the sense below.

- (i)  $\|f_k\|_{\infty} \leq \|g_n\|_{\infty} = 1$
- (ii)  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f_k(x) - g_n(x)| dx \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now,

$$|S_n f_k(0) - S_n g_n(0)| = |(f_k - g_n) * D_n(0)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f_k(x) - g_n(x)| M_n dx,$$

where  $M_n$  is the bound for the continuous function  $D_n$  on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .

By (ii),  $S_n f_k(0) - S_n g_n(0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . So for some large  $k$ ,  $f_k$  will be the desired function. (We may put  $c' = \frac{c}{2}$ ).

◀

2. Suppose  $f$  is a Riemann integrable function on  $[-\pi, \pi]$  such that  $|\hat{f}(n)| \leq K/|n|$ . Show that  $\|S_N(f)\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\infty} + 4K$  on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .

**Solution.** Recall that, for any  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$ ,

$$A_r(f)(x) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|n|} \hat{f}(n) e^{inx} = f * P_r(x),$$

where  $P_r(x)$  is the Poisson kernel given by

$$P_r(x) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r \cos x + r^2} \geq 0.$$

Note that for any  $r \in (0, 1)$ ,  $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$ ,

$$|A_r(f)(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x - y)| P_r(y) dy \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(y) dy = \|f\|_{\infty}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_N(f)(x) - A_r(f)(x)| &\leq K \sum_{0 < |n| \leq N} \frac{1 - r^{|n|}}{n} + \frac{K}{N} \sum_{|n| \geq N+1} r^{|n|} \\ &\leq 2KN(1 - r) + \frac{2K}{N(1 - r)} \\ &= 4K, \end{aligned}$$

if we take  $r = 1 - 1/N$ . The result then follows. ◀

3. Suppose a series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k$  is Cesàro summable to  $s$ .  
If  $c_k = O(1/k)$  (i.e.  $|kc_k| \leq M$  for all  $k$ ), show that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k$  converges to  $s$ .

**Solution.** Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (n - k + 1) c_k \\ s_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \end{aligned}$$

For  $m > n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} m\sigma_m - n\sigma_n &= \sum_{k=1}^m (m - k + 1) c_k - \sum_{k=1}^n (n - k + 1) c_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (m - n) c_k + \sum_{k=n+1}^m (m - k + 1) c_k \\ &= (m - n) s_n + \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} (m - k) c_{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

From which we deduce that for  $m > n$ , we have

$$s_n - \sigma_n = \frac{m}{m - n} (\sigma_m - \sigma_n) - \frac{m}{m - n} \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{m}\right) c_{k+1}$$

Now we claim that  $s_n - \sigma_n$  goes to 0 as  $n$  goes to  $\infty$ . For each  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we consider particularly  $m = n + [\epsilon n]$ , where  $[\epsilon n]$  is the largest integer less than  $\epsilon n$ .

It can be seen that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m}{m - n} = \frac{1 + \epsilon}{\epsilon}$ , hence  $\frac{m}{m - n} < \frac{1 + \epsilon}{\epsilon} + 1$  for some large  $n$ , say  $n \geq N_1$ . On the other hand, by the convergence of  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n$ ,  $|\sigma_m - \sigma_n| < \epsilon^2$  for  $n \geq N_2$ .

Therefore, the first term can be controlled in the sense that

(we assumed  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$  for simplicity.)

$$\left| \frac{m}{m - n} (\sigma_m - \sigma_n) \right| \leq \epsilon(2\epsilon + 1) \leq 2\epsilon \quad \text{for } n \geq \max\{N_1, N_2\}.$$

For the second term

$$\frac{m}{m-n} \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{m}\right) c_{k+1} = \frac{m}{m-n} \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{m}\right) k c_{k+1},$$

$|k c_{k+1}| = |(k+1)c_{k+1} - c_{k+1}| \leq M + \frac{M}{k+1} \leq 2M$ . By comparison  $\frac{1}{k} \leq \int_{k-1}^k \frac{1}{x} dx$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k} \leq \ln \left( \frac{m-1}{n-1} \right) = \ln \left( 1 + \frac{[en]}{n-1} \right) \leq \frac{\epsilon n}{n-1}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{m}{m-n} \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{m}\right) c_{k+1} \leq \frac{m}{m-n} \left( \frac{\epsilon n}{n-1} - \frac{m-n}{m} \right) 2M$$

Note  $\frac{n}{n-1} \leq 1 + \epsilon$  for large  $n$ , say  $n \geq N_3$ , and recall that  $\frac{m}{m-n} < \frac{1+\epsilon}{\epsilon} + 1$  for  $n \geq N_1$ .

The second term is now bounded by  $2M((1+2\epsilon)(1+\epsilon) - 1) \leq 10M\epsilon$ , when  $\epsilon$  is small enough and  $n \geq \max\{N_1, N_3\}$ . This completes the proof. ◀