

## General Information

- Textbook: *Introduction to Real Analysis* by Robert G. Bartle, Donald R. Sherbert. (Try to google the title of the textbook for MORE information!)
- The course MATH2060 Mathematical Analysis II will also use this textbook.
- I am the tutor of this section. You may call me **Ernest**. My office is located at **LSB G06** and my office hour for this course is **Thursday 10:30-12:30**. You may come to me during this session if you need any help. My email address is **ylfan@math.cuhk.edu.hk**. You are welcomed to send me an email if you cannot find me.
- Please visit the course web-page at <https://www.math.cuhk.edu.hk/course/1920/math2050a> frequently to get the most updated information. It shall contain the information for the Homework and Quizzes, as well as lecture notes and tutorial notes.

## Review on Week 1

As an introduction to the real number system  $\mathbb{R}$ , we need some definitions.

### Upper/Lower Bounds, Supremum/Infimum

**Definition** (c.f. Definition 2.3.1). Let  $X$  be a **non-empty** subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- A number  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  is said to be an *upper bound* of  $X$  if  $x \leq u$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- A number  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a *lower bound* of  $X$  if  $x \geq l$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- $X$  is said to be *bounded above* if it has an upper bound.
- $X$  is said to be *bounded below* if it has a lower bound.
- $X$  is said to be *bounded* if it is both bounded above and bounded below.
- $X$  is said to be *unbounded* if it is not bounded.

**Definition** (c.f. Definition 2.3.2). Let  $X$  be a **non-empty** subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- The *supremum* of  $X$ , denoted by  $\sup X$ , is defined as the least upper bound of  $X$ . i.e.  $\sup X \geq x$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\sup X \leq u$  whenever  $u$  is an upper bound of  $X$ .
- The *infimum* of  $X$ , denoted by  $\inf X$ , is defined as the greatest lower bound of  $X$ . i.e.  $\inf X \leq x$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\inf X \geq l$  whenever  $l$  is a lower bound of  $X$ .

The following lemma is also useful to determine whether an upper bound  $u$  of a non-empty subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  is a supremum. (Can you formulate a lemma corresponding to the case of infimum?)

**Lemma** (c.f. Lemma 2.3.3 and Lemma 2.3.4). *Let  $u$  be an upper bound of a non-empty subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $u$  is the supremum of  $X$ , i.e.  $u = \sup X$ .
- (ii) If  $v < u$ , then there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $v < x$ .
- (iii) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $u - \varepsilon < x$ .

## The Completeness Property

The most important property of the real number system  $\mathbb{R}$  is the following, which we called the **the completeness property** or **the axiom of completeness**.

**The Completeness Property of  $\mathbb{R}$**  (c.f. 2.3.6). *Every bounded above non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  has a supremum in  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

One of its application is to show the **Archimedean Property**, which states that the set of natural numbers is unbounded.

**Archimedean Property** (c.f. 2.4.3). *If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \leq n$ .*

This yields the following useful corollary (Especially when we do exercises!):

**Corollary** (c.f. Corollary 2.4.5). *If  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $0 < 1/n < \varepsilon$ .*

## Exercises

**Question 1.** Determine the supremum and infimum of the following sets (if such exist):

- (a)  $X_1 = (0, 1]$
- (b)  $X_2 = \mathbb{N}$
- (c)  $X_3 = [0, 1) \cup \{2\}$
- (d)  $X_4 = (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$
- (e)  $X_5 = \mathbb{R} \setminus [-1, 1]$
- (f)  $X_6 = \{n + 1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

**Solution.** As a warm up exercise, no proofs are needed. Try to visualize the given sets.

- (a)  $\inf X_1 = 0$ ;  $\sup X_1 = 1$ .
- (b)  $\inf X_2 = 1$ ;  $\sup X_2$  does not exist.
- (c)  $\inf X_3 = 0$ ;  $\sup X_3 = 2$ .
- (d)  $\inf X_4 = 0$ ;  $\sup X_4 = 1$ .
- (e)  $\inf X_5$  does not exist;  $\sup X_5$  does not exist.
- (f)  $\inf X_6 = 2$ ;  $\sup X_6$  does not exist.

**Question 2** (c.f. Section 2.4, Ex.1). Show that  $\sup\{1 - 1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = 1$ .

**Solution.** We need to show that (i) 1 is an upper bound of the set and (ii) if  $u$  is an upper bound, then  $1 \leq u$ .

To show that  $1 - 1/n \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that  $1/n \geq 0$ . hence  $1 - 1/n \leq 1 - 0 = 1$ .

To show that 1 is the least upper bound, suppose on a contrary that there is an upper bound  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  of the set  $\{1 - 1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $u < 1$ . Since  $1 - u > 0$ , by **Archimedean Property** (Corollary 2.4.5), there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $0 < 1/n < 1 - u$ . It follows that

$$u < 1 - \frac{1}{n},$$

contradict the fact that  $u$  is an upper bound. Therefore 1 must be the least upper bound.

**Remark.** Homework 1: Section 2.4, Q2 is similar.

**Question 3** (c.f. Section 2.4, Ex.7). Let  $A, B$  be bounded non-empty subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $A + B := \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ . Prove that  $\sup(A + B) = \sup A + \sup B$  and  $\inf(A + B) = \inf A + \inf B$ .

**Solution.** I shall prove the case of infimum and leave case of supremum as an exercise, the arguments are similar. Also, note that the equality can be shown by showing the “ $\geq$ ” case and the “ $\leq$ ” case.

To show  $\inf(A + B) \geq \inf A + \inf B$ , let  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . Since  $\inf A$  and  $\inf B$  are lower bounds of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. Hence  $a \geq \inf A$  and  $b \geq \inf B$ . Therefore

$$a + b \geq \inf A + \inf B.$$

Since  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  are arbitrary,  $\inf A + \inf B$  is a lower bound of  $A + B$ . It follows that  $\inf(A + B) \geq \inf A + \inf B$ .

To show  $\inf(A + B) \leq \inf A + \inf B$ , let  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . Since  $\inf(A + B)$  is a lower bound of  $A + B$ , we have  $\inf(A + B) \leq a + b$ . Then

$$\inf(A + B) - a \leq b.$$

Since  $b \in B$  is arbitrary,  $\inf(A + B) - a$  is a lower bound of  $B$ . Therefore

$$\inf(A + B) - a \leq \inf B.$$

We now have

$$\inf(A + B) - \inf B \leq a.$$

Since  $a \in A$  is arbitrary,  $\inf(A + B) - \inf B$  is a lower bound of  $A$ . Therefore

$$\inf(A + B) - \inf B \leq \inf A.$$

Finally we arrived at the desired conclusion

$$\inf(A + B) \leq \inf A + \inf B.$$

**Remark.** Homework 1: Section 2.4, Q4 is similar.