

# Real Analysis 20-10-16.

## • Regularity of Riesz measures

Def. Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on a topological space  $X$ . A set  $E \subset X$  is said to be outer regular if

$$\mu(E) = \inf \{ \mu(G) : G \text{ is open, } G \supset E \}$$

We say that  $E$  is inner regular if

$$\mu(E) = \sup \{ \mu(K) : K \text{ is compact, } K \subset E \}.$$

- Moreover, we say  $\mu$  is regular if

all measurable sets in  $X$  is both outer and inner regular with respect to  $\mu$ .

Prop 2.9. Let  $\Lambda$  be a positive linear functional on a LCHS  $X$ . Let  $\mu = \mu_\Lambda$  be the Riesz measure associated with  $\Lambda$ . Then the following hold:

- (1) Every set in  $X$  is outer regular.
- (2) Every open set in  $X$  is inner regular.
- (3) Every measurable set with finite measure is inner regular.

pf. (1) follows from the definition of  $\mu$ .

Next we prove (2). Let  $G \subset X$  be open.

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(G) &= \sup \{ \Lambda(f) : f \ll G \} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \int_X f d\mu : f \ll G \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \{ \mu(K) : K \text{ compact, } K \subset G \}\end{aligned}$$

( Reason : for given  $f \in G$ , take  $K = \text{supp}(f)$ .

then

$$\int_X f \, d\mu \leq \mu(K) \quad \text{since } f \leq \chi_K$$

Now we prove (3), i.e every measurable set of finite measure is inner regular.

Let  $A \subset X$  be measurable and  $\mu(A) < \infty$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Pick an open  $G$  such that

$G \supset A$  and

$$\mu(G) \leq \mu(A) + \varepsilon.$$

By the additivity of  $\mu$ , we obtain

$$\mu(G \setminus A) = \mu(G) - \mu(A) < \varepsilon$$

( we used the assumption  
 $\mu(A) < \infty$  )

Pick another open  $G_1 \supset G \setminus A$  such that

$$\mu(G_1) \leq \mu(G \setminus A) + \varepsilon < 2\varepsilon.$$

Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} A &= G \setminus (G \setminus A) \\ &\supseteq G \setminus G_1. \end{aligned}$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (G \setminus G_1) \cup (A \setminus (G \setminus G_1)) \\ &= (G \setminus G_1) \cup (A \cap (G \setminus G_1)^c) \\ &= (G \setminus G_1) \cup (A \cap (G^c \cup G_1)) \\ &= (G \setminus G_1) \cup (A \cap G_1) \quad (\text{since } A \subset G) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } \mu(A) &\leq \mu(G \setminus G_1) + \mu(G_1 \cap A) \\ &\leq \mu(G \setminus G_1) + \mu(G_1) \\ &< \mu(G \setminus G_1) + 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\mu(G \setminus G_1) > \mu(A) - 2\varepsilon$ .

Next we pick a compact  $K \subset G$

such that  $\mu(G \setminus K) < \varepsilon$ .

Notice that

$$(K \setminus G_1) \cup (G \setminus K) \supseteq G \setminus G_1$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(K \setminus G_1) + \mu(G \setminus K) &\geq \mu(G \setminus G_1) \\ &> \mu(A) - 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(K \setminus G_1) &> \mu(A) - 2\varepsilon - \mu(G \setminus K) \\ &> \mu(A) - 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $A \supset G \setminus G_1 \supset K \setminus G_1$ ,

Hence  $\widehat{K} = K \setminus G_1$  is a compact subset

of  $A$  such that  $\mu(\tilde{K}) \geq \mu(A) - 3\varepsilon$ .

This proves (3).  $\square$

Prop 2.10. Let  $\mu$  be a Riesz measure on a LCS  $X$  which is  $\sigma$ -finite with respect to  $\mu$ .

(i.e.  $X = \bigcup_j X_j$  with  $\mu(X_j) < \infty$ )

Then the following hold:

(1) For any measurable  $E \subset X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist an open set  $G$  and a closed set  $F$  so that

$$F \subset E \subset G, \text{ and } \mu(G \setminus F) < \varepsilon.$$

(2) For any measurable set  $E \subset X$ , there exists a  $G_\delta$  set  $A$  and a  $F_\sigma$  set  $B$  such that  $B \subset E \subset A$  and  $\mu(A \setminus B) = 0$ .

Consequently,  $M_c$  is the completion of  $\beta_X$ .

(3) Every measurable set is inner regular.

Recall that a  $G_\delta$  set is a countable intersection of open sets; a  $F_\sigma$  set is a union of countably many closed sets).

pf. Let  $E \subset X$  be measurable.

Let  $X = \bigcup_j X_j$  with  $\mu(X_j) < \infty$ .

Write  $E_j = X_j \cap E$ . Then

$\mu(E_j) < \infty$ . Now let  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Pick open set  $G_j \supset E_j$  so that

$$\mu(G_j \setminus E_j) < \varepsilon 2^{-j}.$$

$$\text{Let } G = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } G \setminus E &= \left( \bigcup_j G_j \right) \setminus E \\ &= \bigcup_j (G_j \setminus E_j) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mu(G \setminus E) \leq \sum_j \mu(G_j \setminus E_j) < \sum_j \cdot \varepsilon 2^{-j} = \varepsilon.$$

Using a similar argument for  $E^c$ ,

we can find an open  $G_1 \supset E^c$

such that

$$\mu(G_1 \setminus (E^c)) < \varepsilon.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Notice that } G_1 \setminus (E^c) &= G_1 \cap E \\ &= E \setminus G_1^c \end{aligned}$$



set  $F = G_1^c$ . Then  $F$  is closed

and

$$E \setminus F = G_1 \setminus E^c$$

Hence  $\mu(E \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ .

Since  $F \subset E \subset G$ ,

we have

$$\mu(G \setminus F) = \underbrace{\mu(G \setminus E)}_{< 2\varepsilon} + \mu(E \setminus F)$$

(because  $G \setminus F = (G \setminus E) \cup (E \setminus F)$ )

This proves (1).

Next we prove (2). By (1), we can find open sets  $(G_n)$ , closed sets  $(F_n)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} F_n \subset E \subset G_n, & n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \mu(G_n \setminus F_n) < 2^{-n} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Let } A = \bigcap_n G_n,$$

$$B = \bigcup_n F_n,$$

Then  $A$  is a  $G_\delta$  set and  $B$  is a  $F_\sigma$  set.

Clearly  $B \subset E \subset A$ , and

$$\mu(A \setminus B) \leq \mu(G_n \setminus F_n) < 2^{-n},$$

which implies  $\mu(A \setminus B) = 0$ .

This proves (2).

Finally we prove (3). It suffices to prove

$$\sup \{ \mu(K) : K \text{ compact, } K \subset E \} = \infty$$

if  $\mu(E) = +\infty$ .

For this, let  $X = \bigcup_j X_j$  with  $\mu(X_j) < \infty$

Letting  $E_j = E \cap X_j$ , we have

$$E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$$

Hence  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j\right) = \infty$ , which implies

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^N E_j\right) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now for each  $N$ ,  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^N E_j\right) < \infty$ , we can find a compact

$$K_N \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N E_j \text{ with}$$

$$\mu(K_N) \geq \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^N E_j\right) - \frac{1}{N}.$$

$$\rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

## § 2.5. Lusin's Thm.

Thm 2.12. Let  $\mu$  be a Riesz measure on a LCHS  $X$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be measurable such that  $f$  vanishes on  $A^c$  for some measurable set  $A$  with finite measure. Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists g \in C_c(X)$ , such that

$$\mu \{x: f(x) \neq g(x)\} < \varepsilon.$$

Pf. Writing  $f = f^+ - f^-$ , we may simply assume  $f$  is non-negative.

Also we may assume  $f$  is bounded and  $A$  is compact by an approximation argument.

Dividing  $f$  by a large number, we may assume

$$0 \leq f < 1.$$

Next we construct simple functions  $S_n \uparrow f$ .

such that for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$S_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{j}{2^n}, & \text{if } \frac{j}{2^n} \leq f(x) < \frac{j+1}{2^n} \\ & \text{for } j=0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1, \\ n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Notice that  $S_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}$  or 0 and

$$S_n(x) - S_{n-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \text{ or } 0 \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

Letting  $S_0(x) = 0$ , then

$$S_n(x) - S_{n-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \chi_{T_n}(x), \quad n \geq 1,$$

where  $T_n$  is the set of points  $x$  at which

$$S_n(x) - S_{n-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Notice that  $T_n \subset A$ .

(because on  $A^c$ ,  $f(x) = 0$  so  $S_n(x) = 0$ )

Next notice that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (S_n(x) - S_{n-1}(x)) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \chi_{T_n}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A$  is compact, we can choose an open set  $V$  such that

$$A \subset V, \quad \bar{V} \text{ is compact.}$$

Now Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $n$ , pick an open set  $G_n$  and compact  $K_n$  such that

$$K_n \subset T_n \subset G_n \subset V$$

so that  $\mu(G_n \setminus K_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}$ .

By the Urysohn lemma,  $\exists h_n \in C_c(X)$

$$K_n < h_n < G_n$$

Now we define

$$g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} h_n.$$

Hence  $g \in C_c(X)$ . (Because  $g = 0$  on  $V^c$ )

Notice that

$$h_n = \chi_{T_n} \text{ except on the set } G_n \setminus K_n.$$

(since on  $K_n$ ,  $h_n = \chi_{T_n} = 1$   
on  $G_n^c$ ,  $h_n = 0 = \chi_{T_n}$ )

Hence  $f = g$  except on  $\bigcup_n (G_n \setminus K_n)$

It follows that

$$\{x: f(x) \neq g(x)\} \subset \bigcup_n G_n \setminus K_n$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigcup_n G_n \setminus K_n\right) &\leq \sum_n \mu(G_n \setminus K_n) \\ &< \sum_n \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-n} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.13.

Under the assumption of Thm 2.12,

let  $f$  be a measurable function satisfying

$$|f| \leq 1.$$

then  $\exists$  a sequence  $(g_n) \subset C_c(X)$

such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = f(x) \quad \text{a.e.}$$



Pf. By Lusin's Thm, we can find for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$g_n \in C_c(X)$  and  $E_n \subset X$  measurable

such that

$$\mu(E_n) < \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{and} \quad f = g_n \text{ on } E_n^c.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) < \infty.$$

By Borel-Cantelli lemma,

$$\mu\{x: x \in E_n \text{ for infinitely many } n\} = 0$$

Hence for almost all point  $x$ ,

$x$  belongs to finitely many  $E_n$ 's

and let  $n_0(x)$  be the largest such  $n$ .

Then

$$g_n(x) = f(x) \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0(x).$$

Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = f(x)$ .  $\square$