## MMAT5380 Graph Theory and Networks

Suggested Solution for Assignment 1



- 1-2: (a) (6, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1).
  - (b) There are 10 edges. The degree sum is 6 + 3 + 3 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 1 + 1 = 20. So it satisfies the handshaking lemma.

(c) $A(G) =$	$\left( 0 \right)$	1	0	0	0	0	1	1)		$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	. $M(G) =$	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	0		0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1		0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
	$\backslash 1$	0	0	0	0	0	1	0)		$\left( 0 \right)$	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0/

1-3: (a) Each carbon atom vertex has degree 4 and each hydrogen atom vertex has degree 1.

(b) The graphs are as follows:



1-4: (a)  $(5,5,5,5,3,3) \longrightarrow (4,4,4,2,2) \longrightarrow (3,3,1,1) \longrightarrow (2,0,0)$ . The last sequence is not graphical. So there is no simple graph with degree sequence (5,5,5,5,3,3). A graph of order 6 with degree sequence (5,5,5,5,3,3) is



(b) (5,5,4,3,3,2) → (4,3,2,2,1) → (2,1,1,0). The last sequence is graphical which corresponds to P<sub>3</sub>. So there is a simple graph with degree sequence (5,5,4,3,3,2). A graph of order 6 with degree sequence (5,5,4,3,3,2) is



1-5: (a) Graphs H and K are subgraphs of G. The graph J is not, because J contains edge bd which is not an edge of G.

- (b) From (a), only H and K are needed to consider. For those two graphs, H is an induced subgraph. An induced subgraph is the maximal subgraph containing the given vertex set. For the graph H, all necessary edges appear. Thus, H is induced. For the graph K, edge ce would also need to appear since that edge is in G. Thus, K is not an induced subgraph of G.
- 1-6: Define F(1) = a, F(2) = c, F(3) = e, F(4) = b, F(5) = d and F(6) = f. The mapping F induces a correspondence from the edges of G to the edges of H as follows: 14 → ab; 15 → ad;
  16 → af; 24 → cb; 25 → cd; 26 → cf; 34 → eb; 35 → ed and 36 → ef. Thus the mapping F preserves the adjacency. So we have shown that G is isomorphic to H.
- 1-7: (a) The right graph of the following figure shows the  $C_3 \times P_4$ .



(b) Consider a vertex  $(u_i, v_j)$  in  $G \times H$ .

For this fixed vertex  $v_j$  in H, vertices  $(u_i, v_j)$  and  $(u_l, v_j)$  are adjacent if and only if vertices  $u_i$  and  $u_l$  are adjacent in G. So  $(u_i, v_j)$  has  $\deg_G(u_i)$  neighbors of the form  $(u_l, v_j)$ .

For this fixed vertex  $u_i$  in G, vertices  $(u_i, v_j)$  and  $(u_i, v_k)$  are adjacent if and only if vertices  $v_j$  and  $v_k$  are adjacent in H. So  $(u_i, v_j)$  has  $\deg_H(v_j)$  neighbors of the form  $(u_i, v_k)$ . Therefore, totally,  $\deg_{G \times H}(u_i, v_j) = \deg_G(u_i) + \deg_H(v_j)$ .

- 1-8: (a) For  $v \in N(A \cup B)$ , there is a vertex  $u \in A \cup B$  such that v is adjacent with u, i.e.,  $v \in N(u)$ . Since  $u \in A$  or  $u \in B$ ,  $v \in N(u) \subseteq N(A)$  or  $v \in N(u) \subseteq N(B)$ . Hence  $u \in N(A) \cup N(B)$ . Thus,  $N(A \cup B) \subseteq N(A) \cup N(B)$ . Conversely, for  $v \in N(A) \cup N(B)$ , we have  $v \in N(A)$  or  $v \in N(B)$ . By definition  $N(A) \subseteq N(A \cup B)$  and  $N(B) \subseteq N(A \cup B)$ . So,  $N(A) \cup N(B) \subseteq N(A \cup B)$ . We have  $N(A \cup B) = N(A) \cup N(B)$ .
  - (b) For  $v \in N(A \cap B)$ , there is a  $u \in A \cap B$  such that v is adjacent with u. Since  $u \in A$ and  $u \in B$ ,  $v \in N(A)$  and  $u \in N(B)$ . Thus  $v \in N(A) \cap N(B)$ . We obtain  $N(A \cap B) \subseteq$  $N(A) \cap N(B)$ .