MATH4210: Financial Mathematics Tutorial 4

WONG, Wing Hong

The Chinese University of Hong Kong

whwong@math.cuhk.edu.hk

7 October, 2020

Recall from the previous tutorial that we have shown

Proposition

Show that the European put options with strike price K and maturity at time T satisfies $P_E(t, K) > Ke^{-r(T-t)} - S(t)$ for all t < T, where S(t) is the stock price, r is the continuous compounding interest rate.

Also, one can always deduce that

Proposition $P_E(t, K) > 0$ for all t < T

Two vanilla put options are identical except for the maturity dates $T_1 < T_2$. If the interest rate is zero between T_1 and T_2 , then $P_E(t, T_1) < P_E(t, T_2)$ at any time $t \le T_1$.

Suppose $P_E(t_0, T_1) \ge P_E(t_0, T_2)$ for some $t_0 \le T$. Make a portfolio: long one put with maturity T_2 and short one put with maturity T_1 at time t_0 . Then, the values of the portfolio are

$$\Pi(t_0) = P_E(t_0, T_2) - P_E(t_0, T_1) \le 0$$

$$\Pi(T_1) = P_E(T_1, T_2) - (K - S(T_1))^+$$

$$> \begin{cases} K - S(T_1) - (K - S(T_1)) & \text{if } S(T_1) < K \\ 0 - 0 & \text{if } S(T_1) \ge K \end{cases}$$

$$= 0$$

This is an arbitrage opportunity. Thus, we must have $P_E(t, T_1) < P_E(t, T_2)$ at any time $t \le T_1$.

What happens if the continuous compounding interest rate is r > 0?

Answer

The original portfolio becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_0(t_0) &= P_E(t_0, T_2) - P_E(t_0, T_1) \\ \Pi_0(T_1) &= P_E(T_1, T_2) - (K - S(T_1))^+ \\ &> \begin{cases} K e^{-r(T_2 - T_1)} - S(T_1) - (K - S(T_1)) & \text{if } S(T_1) < K \\ 0 - 0 & \text{if } S(T_1) \ge K \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

To obtain useful inequality, we make a new portfolio as follows: long one put option with maturity T_2 , short $e^{-r(T_2-T_1)}$ put option with maturity T_1 , and long $(1 - e^{-r(T_2-T_1)})$ stock at time t_0 . Then, the values of the portfolio are

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{1}(t_{0}) &= P_{E}(t_{0}, T_{2}) - e^{-r(T_{2} - T_{1})} P_{E}(t_{0}, T_{1}) + (1 - e^{-r(T_{2} - T_{1})}) S(t_{0}) \\ \Pi_{1}(T_{1}) &= P_{E}(T_{1}, T_{2}) - e^{-r(T_{2} - T_{1})} (K - S(T_{1}))^{+} + (1 - e^{-r(T_{2} - T_{1})}) S(T_{1}) \\ &> \begin{cases} K e^{-r(T_{2} - T_{1})} - S(T_{1}) \\ -e^{-r(T_{2} - T_{1})} (K - S(T_{1})) + (1 - e^{-r(T_{2} - T_{1})}) S(T_{1}) & \text{if } S(T_{1}) < K \\ 0 - 0 + (1 - e^{-r(T_{2} - T_{1})}) K & \text{if } S(T_{1}) \ge K \end{cases} \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

To satisfy no arbitrage opportunity assumption, we must have

$$e^{-r(T_2-T_1)}P_E(t,T_1) < P_E(t,T_2) + (1 - e^{-r(T_2-T_1)})S(t)$$

Another approach: we make a new portfolio as follows: long one put option with maturity T_2 , short one put option with maturity T_1 , and long $(e^{-r(T_1-t_0)} - e^{-r(T_2-t_0)})K$ cash at time t_0 . Then, the values of the portfolio are

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{1}(t_{0}) &= P_{E}(t_{0}, T_{2}) - P_{E}(t_{0}, T_{1}) + \left(e^{-r(T_{1}-t_{0})} - e^{-r(T_{2}-t_{0})}\right) \mathcal{K} \\ \Pi_{1}(T_{1}) &= P_{E}(T_{1}, T_{2}) - \left(\mathcal{K} - S(T_{1})\right)^{+} + \left(1 - e^{-r(T_{2}-T_{1})}\right) \mathcal{K} \\ &> \begin{cases} \mathcal{K}e^{-r(T_{2}-T_{1})} - S(T_{1}) \\ -(\mathcal{K} - S(T_{1})) + \left(1 - e^{-r(T_{2}-T_{1})}\right) \mathcal{K} & \text{if } S(T_{1}) < \mathcal{K} \\ 0 - 0 + \left(1 - e^{-r(T_{2}-T_{1})}\right) \mathcal{K} & \text{if } S(T_{1}) \geq \mathcal{K} \\ \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

To satisfy no arbitrage opportunity assumption, we must have

$$P_E(t, T_1) < P_E(t, T_2) + (e^{-r(T_1 - t)} - e^{-r(T_2 - t)})K$$

We have two inequalities now:

$$e^{-r(T_2-T_1)}P_E(t,T_1) < P_E(t,T_2) + (1 - e^{-r(T_2-T_1)})S(t)$$
(1)
$$P_E(t,T_1) < P_E(t,T_2) + (e^{-r(T_1-t)} - e^{-r(T_2-t)})K.$$
(2)

Which one is tighter?

Answer

(2) is tighter because (2) + (3) implies (1):

$$P_E(t, T_2) > Ke^{-r(T_2 - t)} - S(t)$$
 (3)

(Simple algebra! Exercise !)

What happens if the underlying asset pays deterministic dividend D?

Proposition

(Put-Call Parity Relation with Dividend) Assume that the value of the dividends of the stock paid during [t, T] is a deterministic constant D at time $t_D \in (t, T]$. Let S(t) be the stock price, r be the continuous compounding interest rate, $C_E(t, K)$ and $P_E(t, K)$ be the prices of European call and put option at time t with strike K and maturity T respectively. We have

$$C_E(t,K) - P_E(t,K) = S(t) - Ke^{-r(T-t)} - De^{-r(t_D-t)}$$

Thus, we have

$$P_{E}(t, K) = C_{E}(t, K) - S(t) + Ke^{-r(T-t)} + De^{-r(t_{D}-t)}$$

> $Ke^{-r(T-t)} + De^{-r(t_{D}-t)} - S(t)$

If the dividend is paid at time $t_D \in (t, T_1]$, it does not affect our above analysis. If the dividend is paid at time $t_D \in (T_1, T_2]$, the our portfolio becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{1}(t_{0}) &= P_{E}(t_{0}, T_{2}) - P_{E}(t_{0}, T_{1}) + \left(e^{-r(T_{1}-t_{0})} - e^{-r(T_{2}-t_{0})}\right) \mathcal{K} \\ \Pi_{1}(T_{1}) &= P_{E}(T_{1}, T_{2}) - \left(\mathcal{K} - S(T_{1})\right)^{+} + \left(1 - e^{-r(T_{2}-T_{1})}\right) \mathcal{K} \\ &> \begin{cases} \mathcal{K}e^{-r(T_{2}-T_{1})} + \frac{De^{-r(t_{D}-T_{1})}}{-(\mathcal{K} - S(T_{1}))} - S(T_{1}) \\ -(\mathcal{K} - S(T_{1})) + \left(1 - e^{-r(T_{2}-T_{1})}\right) \mathcal{K} & \text{if } S(T_{1}) < \mathcal{K} \\ 0 - 0 + \left(1 - e^{-r(T_{2}-T_{1})}\right) \mathcal{K} & \text{if } S(T_{1}) \geq \mathcal{K} \\ \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

To satisfy no arbitrage opportunity assumption, we still have

$$P_E(t, T_1) < P_E(t, T_2) + (e^{-r(T_1-t)} - e^{-r(T_2-t)})K$$

Options

Question

Can it be tighter?

Answer

Yes, we make a new portfolio as follows: long one put option with maturity T_2 , short one put option with maturity T_1 , and long $((e^{-r(T_1-t_0)} - e^{-r(T_2-t_0)})K - De^{-r(t_D-t_0)})^+$ cash at time t_0 . Then, the values of the portfolio are

$$\Pi_{1}(t_{0}) = P_{E}(t_{0}, T_{2}) - P_{E}(t_{0}, T_{1}) + ((e^{-r(T_{1}-t_{0})} - e^{-r(T_{2}-t_{0})})K - De^{-r(t_{D}-t_{0})})^{+}$$

$$\Pi_{1}(T_{1}) = P_{E}(T_{1}, T_{2}) - (K - S(T_{1}))^{+} + ((1 - e^{-r(T_{2}-T_{1})})K - De^{-r(t_{D}-T_{1})})^{+}$$

$$\geq \begin{cases} Ke^{-r(T_{2}-T_{1})} + De^{-r(t_{D}-T_{1})} - S(T_{1}) \\ -(K - S(T_{1})) + (1 - e^{-r(T_{2}-T_{1})})K - De^{-r(t_{D}-T_{1})} & \text{if } S(T_{1}) < K \\ 0 - 0 + 0 & \text{if } S(T_{1}) \ge K \end{cases}$$

 \geq 0

To satisfy no arbitrage opportunity assumption, we must have

$$P_E(t, T_1) < P_E(t, T_2) + ((e^{-r(T_1-t)} - e^{-r(T_2-t)})K - De^{-r(t_D-t)})^+$$

Show that $S(t) - Ke^{-r(T-t)} < C_A(t) < S(t)$ for any t before maturity T

Note that $C_A(t) \ge C_E(t) > S(t) - Ke^{-r(T-t)}$. To show $C_A(t) < S(t)$, suppose not, assume $C_A(t_0) \ge S(t_0)$ for some $t_0 < T$. We make a portfolio: long one stock and short an American call options. Then, if the option is never exercised, the values of the portfolio become:

$$\Pi(t_0) = S(t_0) - C_A(t_0) \le 0$$

 $\Pi(T) = S(T) \ge 0$

If the option is exercised at time t_1 , then

$$\Pi(T) = Ke^{r(T-t_1)} > 0$$

Note that for the first case, we also have $\mathbb{P}(\Pi(T) > 0) = \mathbb{P}(S(T) > 0) > 0$. Thus, this is an arbitrage opportunity. Thus, we must have $C_A(t) < S(t)$ under no arbitrage opportunity assumption.

WONG, Wing Hong (CUHK)

Show that $P_A(t) < K$ for all t < T if the underlying asset pay deterministic dividends D at the some time $t_D \in (t, T]$.

Answer

Suppose $P_A(t_0) \ge K$ for some t_0 . We construct a portfolio: short one American put option and long cash K. The values of the portfolio are:

$$\Pi(t_0) = K - P_A(t_0) \le 0$$

$$\Pi(T) = K e^{r(T - t_0)} > 0$$

if the option is never exercised.

If the option is exercised at some $t_1 < t_D$,

$$\Pi(t_1) = Ke^{r(t_1-t_0)} + S(t) - K = K(e^{r(t_1-t_0)} - 1) + S(t)$$

$$\Pi(T) = K(e^{r(T-t_0)} - e^{r(T-t_1)}) + S(T) + De^{r(T-t_D)} > 0,$$

If the option is exercised at some $t_1 \ge t_D$.

$$\Pi(t_1) = \mathcal{K}e^{r(t_1-t_0)} + S(t) - \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(e^{r(t_1-t_0)} - 1) + S(t)$$

$$\Pi(T) = \mathcal{K}(e^{r(T-t_0)} - e^{r(T-t_1)}) + S(T) > 0,$$

These are arbitrage opportunity. Thus, we must have $P_A(t) < K$.

Show that $C_E(t) \le C_A(t) < C_E(t) + De^{-r(t_D-t)}$ if the underlying pay a deterministic dividend D at time $t_D \in (t, T]$.

Answer

 $C_E(t) \le C_A(t)$ is trivial. We construct a portfolio: long one American call at t and exercise it at $t_1 < t_D$. Then, the values of the portfolio are

$$\Pi_{1}(t) = C_{A}(t)$$

$$\Pi_{1}(t_{1}) = S(t_{1}) - K$$

$$\Pi_{1}(t_{D}) = S(t_{D}) + D - Ke^{r(t_{D} - t_{1})} < S(t_{D}) - Ke^{-r(T - t_{D})} + D$$

If we do not exercise the option, then we have

 $\Pi_1(t) = C_A(t)$ $\Pi_1(t_D) = C_A(t_D)$

We construct another portfolio as follows: long one European call option and long $De^{-r(t_D-t)}$ cash. Then, the values of the portfolio are

$$egin{aligned} \Pi_2(t) &= C_E(t) + D e^{-r(t_D-t)} \ \Pi_2(t_D) &= C_E(t_D) + D = C_A(t_D) + D > \Pi_1(t_D) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $\Pi_2(t) > \Pi_1(t)$, i.e. $C_A(t) < C_E(t) + De^{-r(t_D-t)}$ for all $t < t_D$.

Options

If we have the assumption that

$$D > K - e^{-r(T-t_D)}\min(K, S(T)),$$

one can deduce that $C_A(t) > C_E(t)$ for $t < t_D$ (exercise!). If $S(T) \rightarrow 0$, this assumption becomes unrealistic. In some cases, we have very strong confidence that S(T) will have a lower bound $B \leq K$, e.g. the company owns a lot of government bond. Then, the assumption becomes more realistic:

$$D > K - e^{-r(T-t_D)}B$$

Example

Suppose r = 0, S(t) = S(0) for $t \in [0, \tau)$, then at time τ , one has a dividend D, so that S(t) = S(0) - D for $t \in [\tau, T]$. In this case, an American call will be different to an European call, since it is optimal to exercise the call option before the dividend time τ .

WONG, Wing Hong (CUHK)