## MATH4060 Assignment 1

## Ki Fung, Chan

February 3, 2021

- 1. Show that for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t > 0$  the following functions belong to class  $\mathcal{F}$ .
	- (a)  $f(x) = e^{-\pi t (x+a)^2}$ . (b)  $f(x) = \frac{e^{-2\pi i a x}}{\cosh(\frac{\pi x}{t})}$ .

Proof.

(a) We prove  $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$ , so let  $z = x + iy$  with  $|y| < 1$ ,

$$
|f(z)| = e^{\pi t y^2} e^{-\pi t (x+a)^2}
$$

$$
\leq e^{\pi t} e^{-\pi t (x+a)^2}
$$

We make a general remark that if a continuous function  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ has a limit at infinity, then it must be bounded. We want to show that  $(1+x^2)e^{-\pi t(x+a)^2}$  is bounded, so we calculate its limit, using L'Hôpital's rule,

$$
\lim_{x \to \infty} (1 + x^2)e^{-\pi t(x+a)^2} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{(1+x^2)}{e^{\pi t(x+a)^2}}
$$

$$
= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{2x}{2\pi t(x+a)e^{\pi t(x+a)^2}}
$$

$$
= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{\pi t(1+\frac{a}{x})e^{\pi t(x+a)^2}}
$$

$$
= 0.
$$

So we are done in showing  $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$ .

(b) The idea is similar to a).

We prove  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\frac{\pi t}{4}}$ , so let  $z = x + iy$  with  $|y| < \frac{\pi t}{4}$ . The norm of the numerator of  $f$ :

$$
e^{2\pi a y} \leq e^{\frac{\pi^2 a t}{2}}
$$

is bounded. On the other hand, the norm square of the denominator

 $is<sup>1</sup>$ :

$$
|\cosh(\frac{\pi z}{t})|^2 = \cosh^2(\frac{\pi x}{t})\cos^2(\frac{\pi y}{t}) + \sinh^2(\frac{\pi x}{t})\sin^2(\frac{\pi y}{t})
$$

$$
\geq \cosh^2(\frac{\pi x}{t})\cos^2(\frac{\pi}{4})
$$

$$
= \frac{\cosh^2}{2}.
$$

It remains to calculate the limit:

$$
\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1 + x^2}{\cosh(\frac{\pi x}{t})} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{2tx}{\pi \sinh(\frac{\pi x}{t})}
$$

$$
= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{2t^2}{\pi^2 \cosh(\frac{\pi x}{t})}
$$

$$
= 0.
$$

- $\Box$
- 2. If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a, a > 0$ . Then for any positive integer  $n, f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{F}_b$  whenever  $0 < b < a$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\delta = a - b > 0$ , then for any  $z = x + iy \in S_b$ , then disc  $D_{\delta}(z)$ centered at z with radius  $\delta$  lies inside  $S_a$ . Cauchy estimate says that

$$
|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq \frac{n!||f||_{D_{\delta}(z)}}{\delta^n}.
$$

Let A be the constant associated with the definition of  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$ . Then for any  $z' = x' + iy' \in D_{\delta}(z)$ , we have (for x large)

$$
|f(z')| \le \frac{A}{1 + x'^2} \le \frac{A}{1 + (|x| - \delta)^2}.
$$

Finally, note that since

$$
\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1 + x^2}{1 + (|x| - \delta)^2} = 1,
$$

there exists a constant C such that  $1 + (|x| - \delta)^2 \ge C(1+x^2)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Combining the above, we have

$$
|f^{(n)}(z)| \le \frac{C'}{1+x^2}
$$

with

$$
C' = \frac{n!A}{\delta^n C}.
$$

 $\Box$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Since  $cosh(ix) = cos(x), sinh(ix) = i sin(x),$  we have  $cosh(x + iy) = cos(y - ix)$  $\cos y \cosh x + i \sin(y) \sinh(x)$ 

3. Suppose Q is a polynomial of deg  $\geq 2$  with distinct roots, none lying on the real axis. Calculate

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi ix\xi}}{Q(x)} dx
$$

in terms of the roots of Q. What happens when several roots coincide?

*Proof.* Suppose  $Q(z) = a_0 z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$ ,  $(a_0 \neq 0)$  we use the following lower bound of  $|Q(z)|$  for  $R = |z|$  large:

$$
|Q(z)| \ge |a_0||z|^n - |a_1||z|^{n-1} - \dots + |a_n|
$$
  
=  $R^n(|a_0| - \frac{|a_1|}{R} - \dots + \frac{|a_n|}{R^n})$   
 $\ge CR^n$   
 $\ge CR^2$ 

for some constant C.

Now, we assume  $\xi \leq 0$ . Choose an arbitrarily large  $R \in \mathbb{R}$  so that all roots of  $Q$  has modulus less than  $R$ . Let  $C_R$  be the upper half circle of radius R centered at the origin (running in anti-clockwise direction), by residue theorem, we have

$$
\int_{C_R} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x z}}{Q(z)} dz + \int_{-R}^{R} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x \xi}}{Q(x)} dx = 2\pi i \sum_{\alpha_i} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \alpha_i \xi}}{Q'(\alpha_i)} \tag{1}
$$

Where the sum on the right hand side is over all the roots of  $Q(z)$  lying in the upper half-plane.

For the first term on the left hand side, we have

$$
\left| \int_{C_R} \frac{e^{-2\pi iz\xi}}{Q(z)} dz \right| \le \int_0^{\pi} \frac{e^{2\pi R\xi \sin \theta}}{CR^2} R d\theta
$$

$$
\le \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1}{CR} d\theta
$$

$$
= \frac{\pi}{CR}.
$$

Therefore, if we take  $R \to \infty$ , we see that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x\xi}}{Q(x)} dx = 2\pi i \sum_{\alpha_i} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \alpha_i \xi}}{Q'(\alpha_i)}
$$

the sum over all the roots of  $Q(z)$  lying in the upper half-plane. Consider the polynomial  $\tilde{Q}(x) = Q(-x)$  and using the substitution  $x \mapsto$  $-x$ , we have for  $\xi \geq 0$  that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi ix\xi}}{Q(x)} dx = -2\pi i \sum_{\beta_j} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \beta_j \xi}}{Q'(\beta_j)}
$$

the sum over all the roots of  $Q(z)$  lying in the lower half-plane. For multiple roots, the idea is the same, but the formula for the residues would be more complicated.  $\Box$  4. Prove that

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a}{a^2 + n^2} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi a |n|}
$$

whenever  $a > 0$ . Hence show that the sum equals  $\coth(\pi a)$ .

*Proof.* This would be the Poisson Summation formula. In fact let  $f(x) = \frac{a}{\pi(a^2+x^2)}$ ,  $g(x) = e^{-2\pi a|x|}$  (both of them are of class  $\mathcal{F}$ ), then the formula reads

$$
\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(n).
$$

It remains to relate  $f$  and  $g$  using Fourier transform. It will be easier to calculate  $\hat{g}$ . (You need Contour Integral to calculate  $\hat{f}$ )

$$
\hat{g}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi a|x|} e^{-2\pi ix\xi} dx \n= \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{2\pi (a-i\xi)x} dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi (a+i\xi)x} dx \n= \frac{e^{2\pi (a-i\xi)x}}{2\pi (a-i\xi)} \Big|_{x=-\infty}^{x=0} + \frac{e^{-2\pi (a+i\xi)x}}{-2\pi (a+i\xi)} \Big|_{x=0}^{x=\infty} \n= \frac{1}{2\pi (a-i\xi)} + \frac{1}{2\pi (a+i\xi)} \n= \frac{a}{\pi (a^2 + \xi^2)} \n= f(\xi).
$$

For the last part, we do the calculations:

$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi a|n|} = -1 + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi a|n|}
$$

$$
= -1 + \frac{2}{1 - e^{-2\pi a}}
$$

$$
= \frac{1 + e^{-2\pi a}}{1 - e^{-2\pi a}}
$$

$$
= \frac{e^{\pi a} + e^{-\pi a}}{e^{\pi a} - e^{-\pi a}}
$$

$$
= \coth(\pi a).
$$

 $\Box$ 

5. (a) Let  $F$  be a holomorphic function in the right half-plane that extends continuously to the imaginary axis. Suppose  $|F| \leq 1$  on the imaginary axis, and  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$
|F(z)| \le Ce^{c|z|^\gamma}
$$

for some  $C, c > 0$  and  $\gamma < 1$ . Prove that  $|F| \leq 1$  on the right half-plane.

(b) More generally, let S be a sector whose vertex is the origin, and forming an angle of  $\pi/\beta$ . Let F be a holomorphic function in S that is continuous on the boundary. Suppose  $|F| \leq 1$  on the boundary, and

$$
|F(z)| \le Ce^{c|z|^\alpha}
$$

for some  $C, c > 0$  and  $0 < \gamma < \beta$ . Prove that  $|F| \leq 1$  on S.

Proof.

- (a) The case  $\gamma \leq 0$  would be easy, and the case  $\gamma > 0$  would follows from part b). So we prove part b) only. (In fact  $\gamma \leq 0$  also works for part b).
- (b) Fix  $\alpha$  with  $\gamma < \alpha < \beta$ . By using a rotation, we may assume S is the set

$$
\{z \in \mathbb{C} : -\pi/2\beta < \arg(z) < \pi/2\beta\}.
$$

For any small positive  $\epsilon$ , Let  $G_{\epsilon}(z) = F(z)e^{-\epsilon z^{\alpha}} = F(z)e^{-\epsilon \exp(\alpha \log(z))}$ , note that we can choose a well-defined and holomorphic branch of log on S. For any  $z = Re^{i\theta} \in S$ ,

$$
\operatorname{Re}(z^{\alpha}) = R^{\alpha} \cos(\alpha \theta) \ge \delta R^{\alpha},
$$

where

$$
\delta = \cos(\frac{\pi \alpha}{\beta}) > 0.
$$

Therefore,

$$
|G_{\epsilon}(z)| \le |F(z)|e^{-\epsilon \delta R^{\alpha}}
$$
  
= 
$$
(|F(z)|e^{-cR^{\gamma}})e^{R^{\gamma}(c-\epsilon \delta R^{\alpha-\gamma})}
$$

The term in the parenthesis is bounded by assumption, and the remaining term vanishes at the infinity since  $\alpha > \gamma$ . This shows that  $G_{\epsilon}$  vanished at the infinity, and so maximal  $M = \max_{\overline{S}} G_{\epsilon}$  must achieved at some point a. If  $a \in S$ , the maximal modulus principle implies that  $F \cong 0$ . So if  $F \not\cong 0$ , a must be on the boundary, while  $|G_{\epsilon}|$  ≤ 1 on the boundary, we thus have

$$
|G_{\epsilon}| \leq 1.
$$

Taking  $\epsilon \to 0^+$ , we get the desired result.

 $\Box$