## MATH4060 Assignment 1

## Ki Fung, Chan

February 3, 2021

- 1. Show that for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and t > 0 the following functions belong to class  $\mathcal{F}$ .
  - (a)  $f(x) = e^{-\pi t (x+a)^2}$ . (b)  $f(x) = \frac{e^{-2\pi i a x}}{\cosh(\frac{\pi x}{t})}$ .

Proof.

(a) We prove  $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$ , so let z = x + iy with |y| < 1,

$$|f(z)| = e^{\pi t y^2} e^{-\pi t (x+a)^2}$$
  
<  $e^{\pi t} e^{-\pi t (x+a)^2}$ 

We make a general remark that if a continuous function  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  has a limit at infinity, then it must be bounded. We want to show that  $(1 + x^2)e^{-\pi t(x+a)^2}$  is bounded, so we calculate its limit, using L'Hôpital's rule,

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} (1+x^2) e^{-\pi t (x+a)^2} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{(1+x^2)}{e^{\pi t (x+a)^2}}$$
$$= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{2x}{2\pi t (x+a) e^{\pi t (x+a)^2}}$$
$$= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{\pi t (1+\frac{a}{x}) e^{\pi t (x+a)^2}}$$
$$= 0.$$

So we are done in showing  $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$ .

(b) The idea is similar to a).

We prove  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\frac{\pi t}{4}}$ , so let z = x + iy with  $|y| < \frac{\pi t}{4}$ . The norm of the numerator of f:

$$e^{2\pi a y} \leq e^{\frac{\pi^2 a t}{2}}$$

is bounded. On the other hand, the norm square of the denominator

is  $^1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |\cosh(\frac{\pi z}{t})|^2 &= \cosh^2(\frac{\pi x}{t})\cos^2(\frac{\pi y}{t}) + \sinh^2(\frac{\pi x}{t})\sin^2(\frac{\pi y}{t}) \\ &\geq \cosh^2(\frac{\pi x}{t})\cos^2(\frac{\pi}{4}) \\ &= \frac{\cosh^2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to calculate the limit:

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1+x^2}{\cosh(\frac{\pi x}{t})} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{2tx}{\pi \sinh(\frac{\pi x}{t})}$$
$$= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{2t^2}{\pi^2 \cosh(\frac{\pi x}{t})}$$
$$= 0.$$

2. If  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a, a > 0$ . Then for any positive integer  $n, f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{F}_b$  whenever 0 < b < a.

*Proof.* Let  $\delta = a - b > 0$ , then for any  $z = x + iy \in S_b$ , then disc  $D_{\delta}(z)$  centered at z with radius  $\delta$  lies inside  $S_a$ . Cauchy estimate says that

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \le \frac{n! ||f||_{D_{\delta}(z)}}{\delta^n}.$$

Let A be the constant associated with the definition of  $f \in \mathcal{F}_a$ . Then for any  $z' = x' + iy' \in D_{\delta}(z)$ , we have (for x large)

$$|f(z')| \le \frac{A}{1+x'^2} \le \frac{A}{1+(|x|-\delta)^2}$$

Finally, note that since

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1 + x^2}{1 + (|x| - \delta)^2} = 1,$$

there exists a constant C such that  $1 + (|x| - \delta)^2 \ge C(1 + x^2)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Combining the above, we have

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \le \frac{C'}{1+x^2}$$

with

$$C' = \frac{n!A}{\delta^n C}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Since  $\cosh(ix) = \cos(x), \sinh(ix) = i\sin(x)$ , we have  $\cosh(x + iy) = \cos(y - ix) = \cos y \cosh x + i\sin(y)\sinh(x)$ 

3. Suppose Q is a polynomial of deg  $\geq 2$  with distinct roots, none lying on the real axis. Calculate

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x\xi}}{Q(x)} dx$$

in terms of the roots of Q. What happens when several roots coincide?

*Proof.* Suppose  $Q(z) = a_0 z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$ ,  $(a_0 \neq 0)$  we use the following lower bound of |Q(z)| for R = |z| large:

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(z)| &\ge |a_0| |z|^n - |a_1| |z|^{n-1} - \dots |a_n| \\ &= R^n (|a_0| - \frac{|a_1|}{R} - \dots \frac{|a_n|}{R^n}) \\ &\ge CR^n \\ &> CR^2 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C.

Now, we assume  $\xi \leq 0$ . Choose an arbitrarily large  $R \in \mathbb{R}$  so that all roots of Q has modulus less than R. Let  $C_R$  be the upper half circle of radius R centered at the origin (running in anti-clockwise direction), by residue theorem, we have

$$\int_{C_R} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x z}}{Q(z)} dz + \int_{-R}^R \frac{e^{-2\pi i x \xi}}{Q(x)} dx = 2\pi i \sum_{\alpha_i} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \alpha_i \xi}}{Q'(\alpha_i)}$$
(1)

Where the sum on the right hand side is over all the roots of Q(z) lying in the upper half-plane.

For the first term on the left hand side, we have

$$\left| \int_{C_R} \frac{e^{-2\pi i z\xi}}{Q(z)} dz \right| \leq \int_0^{\pi} \frac{e^{2\pi R\xi \sin \theta}}{CR^2} R d\theta$$
$$\leq \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1}{CR} d\theta$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{CR}.$$

Therefore, if we take  $R \to \infty$ , we see that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x\xi}}{Q(x)} dx = 2\pi i \sum_{\alpha_i} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \alpha_i \xi}}{Q'(\alpha_i)}$$

the sum over all the roots of Q(z) lying in the upper half-plane. Consider the polynomial  $\tilde{Q}(x) = Q(-x)$  and using the substitution  $x \mapsto -x$ , we have for  $\xi \ge 0$  that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x\xi}}{Q(x)} dx = -2\pi i \sum_{\beta_j} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \beta_j \xi}}{Q'(\beta_j)}$$

the sum over all the roots of Q(z) lying in the lower half-plane. For multiple roots, the idea is the same, but the formula for the residues would be more complicated. 4. Prove that

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{a}{a^2+n^2}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-2\pi a|n|}$$

whenever a > 0. Hence show that the sum equals  $\coth(\pi a)$ .

*Proof.* This would be the Poisson Summation formula. In fact let  $f(x) = \frac{a}{\pi(a^2+x^2)}$ ,  $g(x) = e^{-2\pi a|x|}$  (both of them are of class  $\mathcal{F}$ ), then the formula reads

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(n).$$

It remains to relate f and g using Fourier transform. It will be easier to calculate  $\hat{g}$ . (You need Contour Integral to calculate  $\hat{f}$ )

$$\begin{split} \hat{g}(\xi) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi a |x|} e^{-2\pi i x\xi} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{2\pi (a-i\xi)x} dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi (a+i\xi)x} dx \\ &= \left. \frac{e^{2\pi (a-i\xi)x}}{2\pi (a-i\xi)} \right|_{x=-\infty}^{x=0} + \left. \frac{e^{-2\pi (a+i\xi)x}}{-2\pi (a+i\xi)} \right|_{x=0}^{x=\infty} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi (a-i\xi)} + \frac{1}{2\pi (a+i\xi)} \\ &= \frac{a}{\pi (a^{2}+\xi^{2})} \\ &= f(\xi). \end{split}$$

For the last part, we do the calculations:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi a|n|} = -1 + 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi a|n|}$$
$$= -1 + \frac{2}{1 - e^{-2\pi a}}$$
$$= \frac{1 + e^{-2\pi a}}{1 - e^{-2\pi a}}$$
$$= \frac{e^{\pi a} + e^{-\pi a}}{e^{\pi a} - e^{-\pi a}}$$
$$= \coth(\pi a).$$

5. (a) Let F be a holomorphic function in the right half-plane that extends continuously to the imaginary axis. Suppose  $|F| \le 1$  on the imaginary axis, and

$$|F(z)| \le Ce^{c|z|^{\gamma}}$$

for some C, c > 0 and  $\gamma < 1$ . Prove that  $|F| \leq 1$  on the right half-plane.

(b) More generally, let S be a sector whose vertex is the origin, and forming an angle of  $\pi/\beta$ . Let F be a holomorphic function in S that is continuous on the boundary. Suppose  $|F| \leq 1$  on the boundary, and

$$|F(z)| \le C e^{c|z|^{\alpha}}$$

for some C, c > 0 and  $0 < \gamma < \beta$ . Prove that  $|F| \le 1$  on S.

Proof.

- (a) The case  $\gamma \leq 0$  would be easy, and the case  $\gamma > 0$  would follows from part b). So we prove part b) only. (In fact  $\gamma \leq 0$  also works for part b).
- (b) Fix  $\alpha$  with  $\gamma < \alpha < \beta$ . By using a rotation, we may assume S is the set

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : -\pi/2\beta < \arg(z) < \pi/2\beta\}$$

For any small positive  $\epsilon$ , Let  $G_{\epsilon}(z) = F(z)e^{-\epsilon z^{\alpha}} = F(z)e^{-\epsilon \exp(\alpha \log(z))}$ , note that we can choose a well-defined and holomorphic branch of log on S. For any  $z = Re^{i\theta} \in S$ ,

$$\operatorname{Re}(z^{\alpha}) = R^{\alpha} \cos(\alpha \theta) \ge \delta R^{\alpha},$$

where

$$\delta = \cos(\frac{\pi\alpha}{\beta}) > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$|G_{\epsilon}(z)| \leq |F(z)|e^{-\epsilon\delta R^{\alpha}}$$
$$= \left(|F(z)|e^{-cR^{\gamma}}\right)e^{R^{\gamma}(c-\epsilon\delta R^{\alpha-\gamma})}$$

The term in the parenthesis is bounded by assumption, and the remaining term vanishes at the infinity since  $\alpha > \gamma$ . This shows that  $G_{\epsilon}$  vanished at the infinity, and so maximal  $M = \max_{\overline{S}} G_{\epsilon}$  must achieved at some point a. If  $a \in S$ , the maximal modulus principle implies that  $F \cong 0$ . So if  $F \not\cong 0$ , a must be on the boundary, while  $|G_{\epsilon}| \leq 1$  on the boundary, we thus have

$$|G_{\epsilon}| \leq 1.$$

Taking  $\epsilon \to 0^+$ , we get the desired result.