Let *M* be an orientable regular surface with unit normal vector field **N**. Let  $\alpha$  be regular curve on *M* parametrized by arc length. Let  $\alpha' = \mathbf{T}$  and let **n** be the unit vector perpendicular to **T** so that **T**, **n**, **N** are positively oriented. Then

$$\alpha'' = k_n \mathbf{N} + k_g \mathbf{n}.$$

 $k_g$  is called the geodesic curvature of  $\alpha$  in M (with respect to the orientation **N**).

• If we consider the orientation  $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} = -\mathbf{N}$ , then  $\{T, \widetilde{\mathbf{n}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}\}$  is positively oriented. Hence the geodesic curvature  $\widetilde{k}_g$  with respect to  $\mathbf{N}$  is  $\widetilde{k}_g = -\widetilde{k}_g$ .

- If we consider the orientation  $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} = -\mathbf{N}$ , then  $\{T, \widetilde{\mathbf{n}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}\}$  is positively oriented. Hence the geodesic curvature  $\widetilde{k}_g$  with respect to  $\mathbf{N}$  is  $\widetilde{k}_g = -\widetilde{k}_g$ .
- If the orientation of the curved is changed, namely, if
   β(s) = α(-s), say. Then the geodesic curvature of β is equal
   to −k<sub>g</sub> (at the same point).

- If we consider the orientation  $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} = -\mathbf{N}$ , then  $\{T, \widetilde{\mathbf{n}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}\}$  is positively oriented. Hence the geodesic curvature  $\widetilde{k}_g$  with respect to  $\mathbf{N}$  is  $\widetilde{k}_g = -\widetilde{k}_g$ .
- If the orientation of the curved is changed, namely, if
   β(s) = α(-s), say. Then the geodesic curvature of β is equal
   to −k<sub>g</sub> (at the same point).
- $k_{g} = \langle \alpha'', \mathbf{n} \rangle = \langle \alpha'', \mathbf{N} \times \alpha' \rangle = \langle \alpha' \times \alpha'', \mathbf{N} \rangle.$

- If we consider the orientation  $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} = -\mathbf{N}$ , then  $\{T, \widetilde{\mathbf{n}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}\}$  is positively oriented. Hence the geodesic curvature  $\widetilde{k}_g$  with respect to  $\mathbf{N}$  is  $\widetilde{k}_g = -\widetilde{k}_g$ .
- If the orientation of the curved is changed, namely, if  $\beta(s) = \alpha(-s)$ , say. Then the geodesic curvature of  $\beta$  is equal to  $-k_g$  (at the same point).
- $k_{g} = \langle \alpha'', \mathbf{n} \rangle = \langle \alpha'', \mathbf{N} \times \alpha' \rangle = \langle \alpha' \times \alpha'', \mathbf{N} \rangle.$
- $k_g^2 + k_n^2 = k^2$ , where  $k = |\alpha''|$  is the curvature of  $\alpha$  ( if it is not zero).

#### Definition

A regular curve on a regular surface M is called a geodesic if it is parametrized proportional to arc length and has zero geodesic curvature.

So being geodesic means:

- $k_g = 0$  and
- $|\alpha'| = \text{constant}.$

Note that being geodesic (i.e.  $k_g = 0$ , with  $|\alpha'| = \text{constant}$ ) does not depend on orientation.

In the following all curves are assumed to be parametrized by arc length.

• The geodesic curvature of a plane curve on the *xy*-plane is the signed curvature of the curve.

In the following all curves are assumed to be parametrized by arc length.

- The geodesic curvature of a plane curve on the *xy*-plane is the signed curvature of the curve.
- Consider the unit sphere S<sup>2</sup>(1) with center at the origin. Suppose α is a great circle. Then α" is parallel to normal vector on the unit sphere. Hence it is a geodesic. If α is the circle with {z = a} ∩ S<sup>2</sup>(1) with 0 < a < 1 so that α(s) = (b cos <sup>s</sup>/<sub>b</sub>, a sin <sup>s</sup>/<sub>b</sub>, a) with b = √1 - a<sup>2</sup>. Then k<sup>2</sup><sub>g</sub> = b<sup>-2</sup> - 1. The sign of k<sub>g</sub> depends on the choice of the orientations of the sphere and the curve.

Consider the surface of revolution:

$$\mathbf{X}(u,v) = (f(v)\cos u, f(v)\sin u, g(v))$$

with  $f_v^2 + g_v^2 = 1$  and f > 0. A meridian is a curve of the form  $\alpha(t) = \mathbf{X}(c, t)$  for some constant c, and a parallel is a curve of the form  $\alpha(t) = \mathbf{X}(t, c)$  for some constant c. Note that

$$\mathbf{X}_u = (-(f(v)\sin u, f(v)\cos u, 0), \mathbf{X}_v = (f_v\cos u, f_v\sin u, g_v).$$

For any meridian parametrized by arc length, we have

$$\alpha'' = (f'' \cos c, f'' \sin c, g'').$$

Then  $\alpha'' \perp \mathbf{X}_u$  and  $\alpha'' \perp \mathbf{X}_v$ . Hence its geodesic curvature is zero and it is a geodesic.

If  $\alpha$  is a parallel, then

$$\alpha'' = (-f(c)\cos u, -f(c)\sin u, 0).$$

Then  $\langle \alpha'', \mathbf{X}_u \rangle = 0$  and

$$\langle \alpha'', \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{v}} \rangle = -ff_{\mathbf{v}}.$$

which is zero if and only if  $f_v = 0$ .

#### Corollary

The meridians of a surface of revolution are geodesics. A parallel is a geodesic if and only if its tangent vector is parallel to the z-axis.

#### Proposition

Let  $M_1, M_2$  be two oriented regular surfaces. Suppose they are tangent at a regular curve  $\alpha$ . Then the geodesic curvatures as a curve in  $M_1, M_2$  are the same. Here we use the same orientation along  $\alpha$ . In particular, if  $\alpha$  is a geodesic on  $M_1$ , then it is also a geodesic on  $M_2$ .

Let *M* be a regular surface and  $X(u^1, u^2)$  be a coordinate parametrization. Let  $N = X_1 \times X_2/|X_1 \times X_2|$ .

#### Lemma

Let  $\alpha(t)$  be a regular curve on M such that  $\alpha(t) = \mathbf{X}(u^1(t), u^2(t))$ (t may not be proportional to arc length). Then

$$\ddot{\alpha} = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \mathbf{X}_{k} \left( \ddot{u}^{k} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \Gamma_{ij}^{k} \dot{u}^{i} \dot{u}^{j} \right) + \mathbb{II}(\alpha', \alpha') \mathbf{N}$$

Here  $\dot{f} = \frac{df}{dt}$  etc.

# Proof

**Proof**: We have  $\mathbf{X}_{ij} = \Gamma_{ij}^{k} \mathbf{X}_{k} + h_{ij} \mathbf{N}$ , where  $h_{ij}$  are the coefficients of the second fundamental from. Since  $\dot{\alpha} = \sum_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i} \dot{u}^{i}$ , we have (using summation convention)

$$\begin{split} \ddot{\alpha} &= \mathbf{X}_{ij} \dot{u}^{j} \dot{u}^{i} + \mathbf{X}_{i} \ddot{u}^{i} \\ &= \dot{u}^{i} \dot{u}^{j} \left( \Gamma_{ij}^{k} \mathbf{X}_{k} + h_{ij} \mathbf{N} \right) + \mathbf{X}_{i} \ddot{u}^{i} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{2} \mathbf{X}_{k} \left( \ddot{u}^{k} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \Gamma_{ij}^{k} \dot{u}^{i} \dot{u}^{j} \right) + \mathbb{II}(\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\alpha}) \mathbf{N}. \end{split}$$

Let us compute  $k_g$ , we need the following: Lemma: Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_1 \times \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 \times \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle - \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle.$$

**Proof**: Let  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2$  or  $\mathbf{e}_3$  be the standard base vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .  $\mathbf{u}_1 = a_i \mathbf{e}_i, \ \mathbf{u}_2 = b_i \mathbf{e}_i, \ \mathbf{v}_1 = c_i \mathbf{e}_i, \ \mathbf{v}_2 = d_i \mathbf{e}_i.$ 

Then

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_1 \times \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 \times \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle = a_i b_j c_k d_l \langle \mathbf{e}_i \times \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k \times \mathbf{e}_l \rangle.$$

Let us compute  $k_g$ , we need the following: Lemma: Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_1 \times \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 \times \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle - \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle.$$

**Proof**: Let  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2$  or  $\mathbf{e}_3$  be the standard base vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .  $\mathbf{u}_1 = a_i \mathbf{e}_i, \ \mathbf{u}_2 = b_i \mathbf{e}_i, \ \mathbf{v}_1 = c_i \mathbf{e}_i, \ \mathbf{v}_2 = d_i \mathbf{e}_i.$ 

Then

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_1 \times \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 \times \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle = a_i b_j c_k d_l \langle \mathbf{e}_i \times \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k \times \mathbf{e}_l \rangle.$$

۲

$$egin{aligned} &\langle \mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{v}_1
angle\langle \mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{v}_2
angle-\langle \mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{v}_2
angle\langle \mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{v}_1
angle\ &= a_ib_jc_kd_l\left(\langle \mathbf{e}_i,\mathbf{e}_k
angle\langle \mathbf{e}_j,\mathbf{e}_l
angle-\langle \mathbf{e}_i,\mathbf{e}_l
angle\langle \mathbf{e}_j,\mathbf{e}_k
angle 
angle \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─ ���

Let us compute  $k_g$ , we need the following: Lemma: Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_1 \times \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 \times \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle - \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle.$$

**Proof**: Let  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2$  or  $\mathbf{e}_3$  be the standard base vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .  $\mathbf{u}_1 = a_i \mathbf{e}_i, \ \mathbf{u}_2 = b_i \mathbf{e}_i, \ \mathbf{v}_1 = c_i \mathbf{e}_i, \ \mathbf{v}_2 = d_i \mathbf{e}_i.$ 

Then

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_1 \times \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 \times \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle = a_i b_j c_k d_l \langle \mathbf{e}_i \times \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k \times \mathbf{e}_l \rangle.$$

۲

$$egin{aligned} &\langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}_1 
angle \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_2 
angle - \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 
angle \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 
angle \ &= a_i b_j c_k d_l \left( \langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_k 
angle \langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_l 
angle - \langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_l 
angle \langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k 
angle 
ight) \end{aligned}$$

Hence only need to check the relation for base vectors.

Now, suppose  $\alpha$  is parametrized by arc length, then

$$\begin{split} k_{g} = &\langle \dot{\alpha} \times \ddot{\alpha}, \mathbf{N} \rangle \\ = &\langle \dot{\alpha} \times \ddot{\alpha}, \frac{\mathbf{X}_{1} \times \mathbf{X}_{2}}{|\mathbf{X}_{1} \times \mathbf{X}_{2}|} \rangle \\ = &\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}} \left( \langle \dot{\alpha}, \mathbf{X}_{1} \rangle \langle \ddot{\alpha}, \mathbf{X}_{2} \rangle - \langle \dot{\alpha}, \mathbf{X}_{2} \rangle \langle \ddot{\alpha}, \mathbf{X}_{1} \rangle \right). \end{split}$$

To compute:

$$\begin{cases} \langle \dot{\alpha}, \mathbf{X}_1 \rangle = \dot{u}^k g_{k1} \\ \langle \ddot{\alpha}, \mathbf{X}_2 \rangle = \left( \ddot{u}^k + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \Gamma^k_{ij} \dot{u}^j \dot{u}^j \right) g_{k2} \\ \langle \dot{\alpha}, \mathbf{X}_2 \rangle = \dot{u}^k g_{k2} \\ \langle \ddot{\alpha}, \mathbf{X}_1 \rangle = \left( \ddot{u}^k + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \Gamma^k_{ij} \dot{u}^i \dot{u}^j \right) g_{k1}. \end{cases}$$

(ロ ) 《聞 》 《臣 》 《臣 》 三臣 … のへで

#### Hence

$$\begin{aligned} k_{g} = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}} \left[ \left( \dot{u}^{k} g_{k1} \right) \left( \ddot{u}^{l} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \Gamma_{ij}^{l} \dot{u}^{i} \dot{u}^{j} \right) g_{l2} \\ & - \left( \dot{u}^{k} g_{k2} \right) \left( \ddot{u}^{l} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \Gamma_{ij}^{l} \dot{u}^{i} \dot{u}^{j} \right) g_{l1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

・ロト・西ト・西ト・西・ うんの

On the other hand,

$$\dot{u}^{k}\ddot{u}^{\prime}g_{k1}g_{l2}-\dot{u}^{k}\ddot{u}^{\prime}g_{l1}g_{k2}=(\dot{u}^{1}\ddot{u}^{2}-\dot{u}^{2}\ddot{u}^{1})\mathrm{det}(g_{ij}).$$

and

$$\begin{split} \dot{u}^{k}g_{k1}\Gamma_{ij}^{l}\dot{u}^{i}\dot{u}^{j}g_{l2} - \dot{u}^{k}g_{k2}\Gamma_{ij}^{l}\dot{u}^{i}\dot{u}^{j}g_{l1} \\ &= \sum_{k \neq l} \left( \dot{u}^{k}\Gamma_{ij}^{l}\dot{u}^{i}\dot{u}^{j}g_{k1}g_{l2} - \dot{u}^{k}\Gamma_{ij}^{l}\dot{u}^{i}\dot{u}^{j}g_{k2}g_{l1} \right) \\ &= \dot{u}^{1}\Gamma_{ij}^{2}\dot{u}^{i}\dot{u}^{j}g_{11}g_{22} - \dot{u}^{1}\Gamma_{ij}^{2}\dot{u}^{i}\dot{u}^{j}g_{12}g_{21} \\ &+ \dot{u}^{2}\Gamma_{ij}^{1}\dot{u}^{i}\dot{u}^{j}g_{21}g_{12} - \dot{u}^{2}\Gamma_{ij}^{1}\dot{u}^{i}\dot{u}^{j}g_{22}g_{11} \\ &= (\Gamma_{ij}^{2}\dot{u}^{1} - \Gamma_{ij}^{1}\dot{u}^{2})\dot{u}^{i}\dot{u}^{j}\det(g_{kl}) \end{split}$$

**Proposition**: Geodesic curvature is intrinsic. In fact, if  $\alpha$  is parametrized by arc length, then

$$\begin{split} k_g = &\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} \left[ (\dot{u}^1 \ddot{u}^2 - \dot{u}^2 \ddot{u}^1) + (\Gamma^2_{ij} \dot{u}^1 - \Gamma^1_{ij} \dot{u}^2) \dot{u}^i \dot{u}^j \right] \\ = &\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} \Biggl[ \dot{u}^1 \ddot{u}^2 - \dot{u}^2 \ddot{u}^1 + \Gamma^2_{11} (\dot{u}^1)^3 \\ &- \Gamma^1_{22} (\dot{u}^2)^3 + \left( 2\Gamma^2_{12} - \Gamma^1_{11} \right) (\dot{u}^1)^2 \dot{u}^2 - \left( 2\Gamma^1_{12} - \Gamma^2_{22} \right) (\dot{u}^2)^2 \dot{u}^1 \Biggr] \end{split}$$

**Corollary**: Isometry will carry geodesics to geodesics. **Lemma**: Suppose  $\alpha(t)$  is a regular curve on M which satisfies

$$\ddot{u}^k + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \Gamma^k_{ij} \dot{u}^i \dot{u}^j = 0$$

for k = 1, 2. in any coordinate chart. Then  $|\alpha'|$  is constant. **Proof** If  $\alpha$  satisfies the equations, then  $\alpha''$  is proposition to **N**. Hence  $\alpha'' \perp \alpha'$  and so  $\frac{d}{dt} |\alpha'|^2 = 0$ . **Proposition**: Let  $\alpha$  be a regular curve on M, it is a geodesic if and only if in any local coordinates,

$$\ddot{u}^k + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \Gamma^k_{ij} \dot{u}^i \dot{u}^j = 0$$

for k = 1, 2.

That is: the acceleration on the surface is zero:  $(\ddot{\alpha})^T = 0$ , where  $\mathbf{u}^T$  is the tangential part of  $\mathbf{u}$ . Or the tangent vectors are 'constant' or parallel to be precise.

In polar coordinates of the *xy*-plane  $\mathbf{X}(r, \theta) = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, 0)$  we have  $\Gamma_{22}^1 = -r, \Gamma_{12}^2 = r^{-1}$  and all other  $\Gamma$ 's are zeros. So geodesic equations are:

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{r} - r(\dot{\theta})^2 = 0; \\ \ddot{\theta} + 2r^{-1}\dot{r}\dot{\theta} = 0 \end{cases}$$

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

æ

# Example

Consider the surface of revolution given by

$$\mathbf{X}(u, v) = (\alpha(v) \cos u, \alpha(v) \sin u, \beta(v))$$

with  $\alpha > 0$ . Consider  $u^1 \leftrightarrow u, u^2 \leftrightarrow v$ . So

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_{11}^{1} = 0, \Gamma_{12}^{1} = \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}, \Gamma_{22}^{1} = 0; \\ \Gamma_{11}^{2} = -\frac{\alpha\alpha'}{(\alpha')^{2} + (\beta')^{2}}, \Gamma_{12}^{2} = 0, \Gamma_{22}^{2} = \frac{\alpha'\alpha'' + \beta'\beta''}{(\alpha')^{2} + (\beta')^{2}}. \end{cases}$$

Hence geodesic equations are:

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{u} + \frac{2\alpha'}{\alpha} \dot{u}\dot{v} = 0; \\ \ddot{v} - \frac{\alpha\alpha'}{(\alpha')^2 + (\beta')^2} (\dot{u})^2 + \frac{\alpha'\alpha'' + \beta'\beta''}{(\alpha')^2 + (\beta')^2} (\dot{v})^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

# Existence of geodesic

We have the following existence of geodesic.

#### Proposition

At any point  $p \in M$ , and any vector  $\mathbf{v} \in T_p(M)$ , there is a geodesic  $\alpha(t)$  defined on  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\alpha(0) = p$  and  $\alpha'(0) = \mathbf{v}$ .

This follows from the following theorem on ODE.

#### Theorem

Let U be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $I_a = (-a, a) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , with a > 0. Suppose  $\mathbf{F} : U \times I_a \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is a smooth map. Then for any  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in U$ , there is  $0 < \delta < a$ , such that the following IVP has a solution:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x}'(t) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}(t), t), \ -\delta < t < \delta; \\ \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the solutions of the IVP is unique. Namely, if  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are two solutions of the above IVP on (-b, b) for some 0 < b < a,