Definition

Let $\alpha : [a, b] \to M$ be a curve. α is said to be piecewise smooth if there exist $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k = b$ such that

(i) α is continuous;

(ii) α is regular and is smooth on each $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$.

• α is said to be *simple* if $\alpha(t) \neq \alpha(t')$ for $t \neq t'$.

Definition

Let $\alpha : [a, b] \to M$ be a curve. α is said to be piecewise smooth if there exist $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k = b$ such that

(i) α is continuous;

- α is said to be *simple* if $\alpha(t) \neq \alpha(t')$ for $t \neq t'$.
- α is said to be *closed* if $\alpha(a) = \alpha(b)$.

Definition

Let $\alpha : [a, b] \to M$ be a curve. α is said to be piecewise smooth if there exist $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k = b$ such that

(i) α is continuous;

- α is said to be *simple* if $\alpha(t) \neq \alpha(t')$ for $t \neq t'$.
- α is said to be *closed* if $\alpha(a) = \alpha(b)$.
- α is said to be *simple closed* if α is closed and is simple on (a, b].

Definition

Let $\alpha : [a, b] \to M$ be a curve. α is said to be piecewise smooth if there exist $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k = b$ such that

(i) α is continuous;

- α is said to be *simple* if $\alpha(t) \neq \alpha(t')$ for $t \neq t'$.
- α is said to be *closed* if $\alpha(a) = \alpha(b)$.
- α is said to be *simple closed* if α is closed and is simple on (a, b].
- α is said to be smooth and simple closed if α is simple closed and α(a) = α(b) and α'(b) = α'(a).

Definition

Let $\alpha : [a, b] \to M$ be a curve. α is said to be piecewise smooth if there exist $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k = b$ such that

(i) α is continuous;

- α is said to be *simple* if $\alpha(t) \neq \alpha(t')$ for $t \neq t'$.
- α is said to be *closed* if $\alpha(a) = \alpha(b)$.
- α is said to be *simple closed* if α is closed and is simple on (a, b].
- α is said to be smooth and simple closed if α is simple closed and α(a) = α(b) and α'(b) = α'(a).
- α is said to be a *closed geodesic* if α is a geodesic and is smooth simple closed, so that α(a) = α(b) and α'(b) = α'(a).

Definition

Let M be an oriented regular surface and $\mathcal{R} \subset M$ is a bounded domain in M which is bounded by some piecewise smooth simple closed curve $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$. Then α_i is said to be positively oriented if the unit normal $\mathbf{n} \perp \alpha'$ is such that

- (i) α' , **n** are positively oriented; and
- (ii) **n** is pointing to the interior of \mathcal{R} .

 $\iint_{\mathcal{R}} KdA$ for \mathcal{R} inside an isothermal coordinate chart

Consider the following:

Let X : U → M be an isothermal local parametrization of an oriented surface M (i.e. E = G = e^{2f}, F = 0).

Consider the following:

- Let X : U → M be an isothermal local parametrization of an oriented surface M (i.e. E = G = e^{2f}, F = 0).
- Let α = α(s) = X(u(s), v(s)) (0 ≤ s ≤ l), be a simple closed piecewise smooth curve parametrized by arc length in M so that β(s) = (u(s), v(s)) is a piecewise smooth curved in U which bounds a region D in U. Let R = X(D). Assume α is positively oriented.

Consider the following:

- Let X : U → M be an isothermal local parametrization of an oriented surface M (i.e. E = G = e^{2f}, F = 0).
- Let α = α(s) = X(u(s), v(s)) (0 ≤ s ≤ l), be a simple closed piecewise smooth curve parametrized by arc length in M so that β(s) = (u(s), v(s)) is a piecewise smooth curved in U which bounds a region D in U. Let R = X(D). Assume α is positively oriented.
- Let L be the length of β in U and let τ be the arc length of β. Then β(s) = β(s(τ)), 0 ≤ τ ≤ L.

We want to compute $\iint_{\mathcal{R}} KdA$ where K is the Gaussian curvature of M. Recall that in the above settings, if f is a function defined on M then

$$\iint_{\mathcal{R}} f dA = \iint_{U} f \sqrt{EG - F^2} du dv.$$

Here $f = f(u, v) = f(\mathbf{X}(u, v))$.

Lemma

$$\iint_{\mathcal{R}} \mathit{K} \mathit{d} \mathit{A} = - \int_{0}^{L} \langle
abla_{0} \mathit{f},
u_{0}
angle \mathit{d} au$$

where $\nabla_0 f = (\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial v})$. Here τ is the arc length of β as a curve in $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and ν_0 is the unit outward normal of D.

In order to prove the lemma, we need the following Green's theorem (divergence theorem):

Theorem

Let Ω be a bounded domain if \mathbb{R}^2 and let $\gamma = \gamma(\tau)$ parametrized by arc length, $0 \le s \le I$ be the boundary curve of Ω , positively oriented. Assume that γ is piecewise smooth and connected. Let ν be the unit outward normal of Ω . Suppose P and Q are two smooth functions defined on Ω , and let $\mathbf{w} = (P, Q)$. Then

$$\iint_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial v} \right) du dv = \int_{0}^{I} \langle \mathbf{w}, \nu \rangle d\tau.$$

• Note that div $\mathbf{w} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial v}$. Hence the theorem is equivalent to say:

$$\iint_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w} \, du dv = \int_{0}^{t} \langle \mathbf{w}, \nu \rangle d\tau.$$

- The theorem is still true if the boundary consists of finitely many piecewise smooth closed curves. We have to assume that all are positively oriented.
- The theorem is still true in higher dimension.

Proof of the Divergence Theorem for domains in \mathbb{R}^2

Step 1: Assume the domain *D* is bounded by the line segment $L : \{a \le x \le b, y = 0\}$ and the graph *K* of a function $y = \phi(x)$ over *L* with $\phi(x) > 0$ for $x \in (a, b)$ and y(a) = y(b) = 0. If X = (0, g(x, y)) is a smooth vector field, then

$$\int_{D} \operatorname{div} X \, dx dy = \int_{D} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \, dx dy$$
$$= \int_{a}^{b} \left(\int_{0}^{\phi(x)} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \, dy \right) \, dx$$
$$= \int_{a}^{b} \left(g(x, \phi(x)) - g(x, 0) \right) \, dx.$$

The outward unit normal ν of D at the boundary L is (0, -1). Hence

$$\int_{L} \langle X, \nu \rangle ds = -\int_{a}^{b} g(x, 0) dx$$

The unit outward normal of D at the boundary K is $(-\phi'(x),1)/\sqrt{1+(\phi')^2(x)}.$ and

$$\int_{K} \langle X, \nu \rangle ds = \int_{a}^{b} \langle X, \nu \rangle \sqrt{1 + (\phi')^{2}(x)} dx = \int_{a}^{b} g(x, \phi(x)) dx.$$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

3

Step 2: The theorem is true for a domain bounded by a triangle.Step 3: The theorem is true for a domain bounded by a polygon.Step 4: The theorem is true for a domain bounded by a piecewise smooth curve.

Proof of the lemma.

Recall that

$$K = -e^{-2f} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} \right) f.$$

On the other hand,

$$EG-F^2=e^{4f}.$$

Hence

$$\iint_{\mathcal{R}} K dA = -\iint_{U} e^{-2f} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial u^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial v^{2}} \right) f \cdot e^{2f} du dv$$
$$= -\iint_{U} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial u^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial v^{2}} \right) f du dv$$
$$= -\int_{0}^{L} \langle \mathbf{w}, \nu_{0} \rangle d\tau.$$

Here $\mathbf{w} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\right) = \nabla_0 f$.

We want to see what is the boundary integral. Consider:

 Let X(u, v) : U → M be a local isothermal parametrization of a surface M. That is: the 1st fundamental form satisfies E = G > 0, F = 0. Let e^{2f} = E = G. We want to see what is the boundary integral. Consider:

• Let $\mathbf{X}(u, v) : U \to M$ be a local isothermal parametrization of a surface M. That is: the 1st fundamental form satisfies E = G > 0, F = 0. Let $e^{2f} = E = G$.

• Let
$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{X}_u / |\mathbf{X}_u| = e^{-f} \mathbf{X}_u$$
, and $\mathbf{e}_2 = e^{-f} \mathbf{X}_v$.

We want to see what is the boundary integral.

Consider:

• Let $\mathbf{X}(u, v) : U \to M$ be a local isothermal parametrization of a surface M. That is: the 1st fundamental form satisfies E = G > 0, F = 0. Let $e^{2f} = E = G$.

• Let
$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{X}_u / |\mathbf{X}_u| = e^{-f} \mathbf{X}_u$$
, and $\mathbf{e}_2 = e^{-f} \mathbf{X}_v$.

• We also assume that \mathbf{e}_1 , \mathbf{e}_2 are positively oriented. That is the orientation is given by the normal of the surface $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{X}_u \times \mathbf{X}_v / |\mathbf{X}_u \times \mathbf{X}_v|$. We want to compute the geodesic curvature of a curve w.r.t. this orientation.

Let $\alpha : [0, I]$ be a smooth regular curve on $\mathbf{X}(U)$ with arc length parametrization. Let θ_0 be an angle such that $\langle \alpha'(0), \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle = \cos \theta_0$. Once we choose θ_0 , then we can define a function $\theta(s)$ such that it is smooth and $\theta(0) = \theta_0$ with $\langle \alpha'(s), \mathbf{e}_1(s) \rangle = \cos \theta(s)$ and $\langle \alpha'(s), \mathbf{e}_2(s) \rangle = \sin \theta(s)$. Hence

$$\alpha'(s) = \mathbf{e}_1 \cos \theta + \mathbf{e}_2 \sin \theta.$$

Let

$$\mathbf{n} = -\mathbf{e}_1 \sin \theta + \mathbf{e}_2 \cos \theta.$$

Then α' , **n** are positively oriented.

Now we can compute k_g :

$$k_{g} = \langle \alpha'', \mathbf{n} \rangle$$

= $\langle \mathbf{e}'_{1} \cos \theta + \mathbf{e}'_{2} \sin \theta + (-\mathbf{e}_{1} \sin \theta + \mathbf{e}_{2} \cos \theta) \theta', \mathbf{n} \rangle$
= $\langle \mathbf{e}'_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2} \rangle \cos^{2} \theta - \langle \mathbf{e}'_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{1} \rangle \sin^{2} \theta + \theta'$
= $\langle \mathbf{e}'_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2} \rangle + \theta'$
= $e^{-2f} \langle \frac{d}{ds} (\mathbf{X}_{u}), \mathbf{X}_{v} \rangle + \theta'$

where we have used the following facts, $\langle {\bf e}_1', {\bf e}_1 \rangle = \langle {\bf e}_2', {\bf e}_2 \rangle = 0$, $\langle {\bf e}_1', {\bf e}_2 \rangle = - \langle {\bf e}_2', {\bf e}_1 \rangle.$

同 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

æ

Now

$$\langle \frac{d}{ds}(\mathbf{X}_u), \mathbf{X}_v \rangle = \langle u' \mathbf{X}_{uu} + v' \mathbf{X}_{uv}, \mathbf{X}_v \rangle$$
$$= e^{2f} (-f_v u' + f_u v').$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = = -の��

Now as before, let $\alpha(s) = \mathbf{X}(\beta(s))$ where $\beta(s) = (u(s), v(s))$ with arc length τ . We have

$$egin{aligned} &k_g =& rac{d heta}{ds} + ig(-f_v rac{du}{ds} + f_u rac{dv}{ds} ig) \ &= & rac{d heta}{ds} + ig(-f_v rac{du}{d au} + f_u rac{dv}{d au} ig) rac{d au}{d au} \ &= & rac{d heta}{ds} + ig\langle
abla_0 f,
u_0 ig
angle_0 rac{d au}{ds} \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu_0 = (\frac{d\nu}{d\tau}, -\frac{du}{d\tau})$. The inner product is taken w.r.t. the Euclidean inner product in \mathbb{R}^2 . Note that $\beta', -\nu_0$ are positively oriented.

Now go back to what we consider.

- Let X : U → M be an isothermal local parametrization of an oriented surface M (i.e. E = G = e^{2f}, F = 0).
- Let α = α(s) = X(u(s), v(s)) (0 ≤ s ≤ l), be a simple closed piecewise smooth curve parametrized by arc length in M so that β(s) = (u(s), v(s)) is a piecewise smooth curved in U which bounds a region D in U. Let R = X(D).
- Let L be the length of β in U and let τ be the arc length of β. Then β(s) = β(s(τ)), 0 ≤ τ ≤ L.

Assume there exist $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \ldots, s_{k+1} = I$ so that α is continuous and smooth in each $[s_i, s_{i+1}]$. Then we have smooth functions θ on each $[s_i, s_{i+1}]$ as above. By the previous lemma, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}} K dA = -\int_{0}^{L} \langle
abla_{0} f,
u_{0}
angle_{0} d au \ = -\int_{0}^{I} \langle
abla_{0} f,
u_{0}
angle_{0} rac{d au}{ds} ds \ = -\int_{0}^{I} k_{g} ds + \int_{0}^{I} rac{d heta}{ds} ds \ = -\int_{0}^{I} k_{g} ds + \sum_{i=0}^{k} (heta(s_{i+1}) - heta(s_{i})).$$

Or

$$\iint_{\mathcal{R}} K dA + \int_0^l k_g ds = \sum_{i=0}^k (\theta(s_{i+1}) - \theta(s_i)).$$

What is the RHS?

Theorem (Jordan curve theorem)

Let α be a continuous simple closed curve in \mathbb{R}^2 (or in \mathbb{S}^2), then α will separate \mathbb{R}^2 (or \mathbb{S}^2) into two components (i.e. open connected sets).

Now let $\mathcal{R} \subset M$ is a bounded domain in M which is bounded by some piecewise smooth positively oriented simple closed curve $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$. Denote α be one of the α_k parametrized by arc length with length ℓ . Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{m+1} = \ell$ such that α is smooth on $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ and α is smooth near $\alpha(0) = \alpha(\ell)$. Each $\alpha(t_i)$ $(1 \le i \le m)$ is called a *vertex*. The *exterior angle* θ_i at $\alpha(t_i)$ is defined as follows. First let

$$\alpha'(t_i-) = \lim_{t < t_i, t \to t_i} \alpha'(t); \alpha'(t_i+) = \lim_{t > t_i, t \to t_i} \alpha'(t)$$

•
$$\alpha'(t_i-) = \alpha'(t_i+)$$
, then $\theta_i = 0$.

- α'(t_i-) ≠ ±α'(t_i+). Then they are linearly independent. We define θ_i to be the *oriented angle* from α(t_i-) to α(t_i+) between -π, π. θ_i is positive (negative), if α(t_i-), α(t_i+) are positively (negatively) oriented.
- α'(t_i−) = −α'(t_i+), the θ_i = π or −π. The sign is determined by 'approximation'.

The *interior angle* ι_i at $\alpha(t_i)$ is defined as $\iota_i = \pi - \theta_i$.

Hopf's Umlaufsatz

Theorem (Hopf's Umlaufsatz, Theorem of Turning Tangents)

Let $\alpha : [0, I] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a piecewise regular, simple closed curve with $\alpha(0) = \alpha(I)$. Let $\alpha(t_1), \ldots, \alpha(t_k)$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k < t_{k+1} = I$ be the vertices of α with exterior angle θ_i . Let φ_i be smooth choice of angles defined in $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ such that the oriented angle from the positive axis to $\alpha'(t)$ is $\varphi_i(t)$ (i.e. $\alpha' = (\cos \varphi_i(t), \sin \varphi_i(t)))$ for $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \left(arphi_i(t_{i+1}) - arphi_i(t_i)
ight) + \sum_{i=0}^k heta_i = \pm 2\pi.$$

It is +1 if α is positively oriented and -1 if it is negatively oriented, with respect to the usual orientation of \mathbb{R}^2 .

Remark

Umlauf means "rotation" in German; Umlaufzahl = "rotation number," Satz = "theorem."

Theorem

Let $\mathbf{X} : U \to M$ be an isothermal local parametrization of an oriented surface M (i.e. $E = G = e^{2f}$, F = 0). Assume that \mathbf{X} is orientation preserving. Let $\alpha = \alpha(s) = \mathbf{X}(u(s), v(s)), 0 \le s \le l$, be a simple closed curve parametrized by arc length so that (u(s), v(s)) bounds a region D in U. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{X}(D)$. Assume α is piecewise smooth and positively oriented. Let $\alpha(s_0), \ldots, \alpha(s_k)$ be the vertices of α with exterior angles $\varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_k$, where $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_k < s_{k+1} = l$. Then

$$\int_0^l k_g(s) ds + \iint_{\mathcal{R}} K dA + \sum_{i=0}^k \varphi_i = 2\pi.$$

(ロ) 《聞 》 《臣 》 《臣 》 三臣 … のへで

Proof.

Since the parametrization preserves angles and orientation, by Hopf's theorem and the fact that

$$\iint_{\mathcal{R}} K dA + \int_0^l k_g ds = \sum_{i=0}^k (\theta(s_{i+1}) - \theta(s_i)).$$

- - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

æ

the result follows.

Corollary

Suppose k = 3, i.e. we have a triangle then

$$\int_0^l k_g(s) ds + \iint_{\mathcal{R}} K dA = \sum_{i=1}^3 \iota_i - \pi,$$

where $\iota_i = \pi - \theta_i$ are the interior angles. Hence if each side is a geodesic, then K > 0 implies the sum of the interior angles is larger than π , and K < 0, implies the sum of the interior angles is less than π .