THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG Department of Mathematics MATH 2050B Mathematical Analysis I Tutorial 4 (October 7, 9)

1 Subsequences and the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem

Definition. Let (x_n) be a sequence of real numbers and let $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k < \cdots$ be a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers. Then the sequence (x_{n_k}) is called a subsequence of (x_n) .

Subsequence Theorem. If (x_n) converges, then any subsequence (x_{n_k}) of (x_n) also converges to the same limit.

Theorem 1. Let (x_n) be a sequence of real numbers. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) (x_n) does not converge to $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_k \geq k$ and $|x_{n_k} - x| \geq \varepsilon_0$.
- (iii) There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a subsequence (x_{n_k}) of (x_n) such that $|x_{n_k} x| \geq \varepsilon_0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 1. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that a sequence (x_n) converges to ℓ if and only if every subsequence of (x_n) has a further subsequence that converges to ℓ

Example 2. Show that if (x_n) is unbounded, then there exists a subsequence (x_{n_k}) such that $\lim(1/x_{n_k})=0$.

Solution. As (x_n) is unbounded, we have $\forall M > 0$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_n| > M$.

Pick $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_{n_1}| > 1$. Then pick $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_{n_2}| > \max\{2, |x_1|, |x_2|, \ldots, |x_{n_1}|\}$. So $|1/x_{n_2}| < 1/2$ and $n_2 > n_1$.

Suppose $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k$ are chosen so that $|1/x_{n_j}| < 1/j$ for $1 \le j \le k$.

Pick $n_{k+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_{n_{k+1}}| > \max\{k+1, |x_1|, |x_2|, \ldots, |x_{n_k}|\}$. So $|1/x_{n_{k+1}}| < 1/(k+1)$ and $n_{k+1} > n_k$.

Continue in this way, we obtain a subsequence (x_{n_k}) of (x_n) such that

$$
|1/x_{n_k}| < 1/k \qquad \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Now $\lim(1/x_{n_k}) = 0$ follows immediately from Squeeze Theorem.

The Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem. A bounded sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence

Example 3. Prove that a bounded divergent sequence has two subsequences converging to different limits.

Solution. Let (x_n) be a bounded divergent sequence. In particular, any subsequence of (x_n) is also bounded. By Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, (x_n) has a convergent subsequence (x_{n_k}) . Suppose $\lim(x_{n_k}) = \ell$. Since (x_n) does not converge to ℓ , there are $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and another subsequence (x_{m_k}) of (x_n) such that

$$
|x_{m_k} - \ell| \ge \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{for all } k. \tag{\#}
$$

By Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem again, (x_{m_k}) has a further subsequence $(x_{m_{k_j}})$ that converges to some real number ℓ' . By $(\#), \ell \neq \ell'$. Now (x_{n_k}) and $(x_{m_{k_j}})$ are the desired subsequences of (x_n) .

2 Limit Superior and Limit Inferior

Let (a_n) be a bounded sequence of real numbers. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$
t_n = \sup_{m \ge n} a_m = \sup\{a_m : m \ge n\}
$$
 and $s_n = \inf_{m \ge n} a_m = \inf\{a_m : m \ge n\}.$

Then, as required in HW, one can show that (t_n) and (s_n) are both monotone and convergent.

Definition. The limit superior and limit inferior of (a_n) are defined, respectively, by

$$
\limsup_{n} a_n := \lim_{n} t_n = \inf_{n \ge 1} \left(\sup_{m \ge n} a_m \right),
$$

$$
\liminf_{n} a_n := \lim_{n} s_n = \sup_{n \ge 1} \left(\inf_{m \ge n} a_m \right).
$$

Proposition 2. Let (a_n) be a bounded sequence of real numbers. Then

(a) $\liminf_{n} a_n \leq \limsup_{n} a_n$.

(b) (a_n) converges to ℓ if and only if $\limsup_n a_n = \liminf_n a_n = \ell$.

Example 4. Let (x_n) and (y_n) be bounded sequences of real numbers. Show that

(a)
$$
\limsup_n(-x_n) = -\liminf_n x_n;
$$

(b) if $x_n \leq y_n$ for all n, then $\limsup_n x_n \leq \limsup_n y_n$ and $\liminf_n x_n \leq \liminf_n y_n$;

(c)
$$
\liminf_{n} x_n + \liminf_{n} y_n \le \liminf_{n} (x_n + y_n) \le \limsup_{n} (x_n + y_n) \le \limsup_{n} x_n + \limsup_{n} y_n.
$$

Example 5. Let (x_n) be a sequence of positive real numbers. Show that

$$
\liminf_{n} \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \le \liminf_{n} \sqrt[n]{x_n} \le \limsup_{n} \sqrt[n]{x_n} \le \limsup_{n} \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n}.
$$

Solution. We only prove the last inequality. Assume lim sup n x_{n+1} \bar{x}_n $< +\infty$.

Let $\alpha > \limsup$ n x_{n+1} \bar{x}_n $=$ inf
 $\lim_{n\geq 1}$ $\sqrt{ }$ sup m≥n x_{m+1} \bar{x}_m \setminus .

Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{x_{m+1}}{x_m}$ \bar{x}_m $\langle \alpha \rangle$ for all $m \geq n$. Hence, for $m \geq n+1$,

$$
\frac{x_m}{x_n} = \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \cdot \frac{x_{n+2}}{x_{n+1}} \cdots \frac{x_m}{x_{m-1}} < \alpha^{m-n},
$$

so that

$$
\sqrt[m]{x_m} < \alpha^{1 - \frac{n}{m}} x_n^{\frac{1}{m}} = \alpha \cdot \sqrt[m]{C},
$$

where $C = x_n \alpha^{-n}$. Now

$$
\limsup_{m} \sqrt[m]{x_m} \le \limsup_{m} (\alpha \cdot \sqrt[m]{C}) = \lim_{m} (\alpha \cdot \sqrt[m]{C}) = \alpha.
$$

Since α is arbitrary, we have

$$
\limsup_{n} \sqrt[n]{x_n} \le \limsup_{n} \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n}
$$

.

